

Title Page

Soy Food Consumption and Breast Cancer Prognosis

Bette J. Caan¹, Loki Natarajan², Barbara Parker², Ellen B. Gold³, Cynthia Thomson⁴,
Vicky Newman², Cheryl L. Rock², Minya Pu², Wael Al-Delaimy², and John P Pierce²

Authors' Affiliations: ¹Division of Research, Kaiser Permanente, Oakland, CA; ²Moore's Cancer Center, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA; ³Department of Public Health Sciences, UC Davis School of Medicine, Davis, CA; and ⁴Department of Nutritional Sciences, Arizona Cancer Center, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ

Corresponding Author: Bette J. Caan, Division of Research, Kaiser Permanente, 2000 Broadway, Oakland, CA 94612. Phone: 510-891-3719; Fax: 510-891-3836. E-mail: bette.caan@kp.org

ABSTRACT

Background

Contrary to earlier clinical studies suggesting soy may promote breast tumor growth, two recent studies demonstrate that soy-containing foods are not adversely related to breast cancer prognosis. Using data from the Women's Healthy Eating and Living (WHEL) study, we examined the effect of soy intake on breast cancer prognosis.

Methods

3088 breast cancer survivors, diagnosed between 1991 and 2000 with early stage breast cancer and participating in WHEL were followed for a median of 7.3 years. Isoflavone intakes were measured post-diagnosis using a food frequency questionnaire (FFQ). Women self-reported new outcome events semi-annually which were then verified by medical records and/or death certificates. Hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) representing the association between either a second breast cancer event or death and soy intake were computed, adjusting for study group and other covariates using the delayed entry Cox proportional hazards model.

Results

As isoflavone intake increased, risk of death decreased (p for trend=0.02). Women at the highest levels of isoflavone intake (>16.3 mg isoflavones) - had a non-significant 54% reduction in risk of death.

Conclusions

Our study is the third epidemiological study to report no adverse effects of soy foods on breast cancer prognosis.

Impact

These studies, taken together, which vary in ethnic composition (two from the US and one from China) and by level and type of soy consumption, provide the necessary epidemiological evidence that clinicians no longer need to advise against soy consumption for women diagnosed with breast cancer.

Introduction

Soy foods, a major source of phyto-estrogens demonstrate both anti-estrogenic and estrogen-like properties. Many studies have demonstrated that soy consumption may protect against breast cancer, while in contrast, other studies have shown that isoflavones, the major component of soy, enhance the proliferation of breast cancer cells in vitro(1), promote mammary tumor growth in rats(2), and possibly interfere with the effectiveness of Tamoxifen(3, 4). As a result, clinicians treating women with breast cancer frequently caution them to either avoid soy foods entirely or use them in moderation(2, 5, 6).

To add to the uncertainty, two recent epidemiological studies examining breast cancer survivors, one in Asian women from the Shanghai Breast Cancer Survival Study (SBCS)(7) and one in US women from the Life After Cancer Epidemiology study (LACE)(8), suggest that soy-containing foods do not adversely affect breast cancer prognosis, do not counteract the benefits of Tamoxifen and may, in fact offer some potential benefits in decreasing risk of recurrence or death from breast cancer. Before clinical recommendations can be made, these findings need to be replicated in other large cohorts with longer follow-up. We have explored this question further in secondary analyses using data from the Women's Healthy Eating and Living (WHEL) study, a randomized controlled trial of a high fruit/vegetable/fiber and low fat dietary intervention in early stage breast cancer survivors in the US.

Materials and Methods

The WHEL study population has been previously described(9). Briefly, 3088 breast cancer survivors diagnosed between 1991 and 2000 who participated in a dietary intervention trial were followed throughout and after completion of the trial which ended

in 2006. Enrolled participants were diagnosed with and completed treatment (within the previous 4 years) for Stage I, II or III (AJCC VI classification) invasive breast cancer. Participants were 18-70 years of age and had no evidence of disease within the 12 months prior to study enrollment. During semi-annual telephone interviews, women were queried regarding the occurrence of new outcome events. Any report of a breast cancer event was confirmed by medical records and/or death certificates and oncologist review. Finally, we searched the National Death Index using Social Security Number, name, and date of birth to confirm cause of death.

Women included in this study had a median follow-up of 7.3 years from the time of enrollment. Soy intake (mg isoflavones) was measured post-diagnosis (median 2 years, range: 2 months to 4years) at study entry using the Arizona Food Frequency Questionnaire, a 153-item, semiquantitative, scannable questionnaire(10, 11) which included specific line items for “Meat Substitutes (such as Tofu, Veggie Burgers),” and “Soy Milk” as well as an opportunity to include other soy food items on the “additional foods” list portion of the FFQ. Modified from the Block-National Cancer Institute Health Habits and History Questionnaire the AFFQ which has previously been validated against both 4-day food records(11)and 24 hour recalls(10) and elicits information regarding the usual foods consumed and the frequency of consumption, using age- and gender-specific estimates of portions estimated as small, medium, or large.

Additionally, information on soy supplement use since diagnosis was obtained by a separate questionnaire(12) asking respondents about the frequency and duration of use using an extensive list of supplements and herbs and allowing participants to report their supplements not listed on the pre-determined list in an open-ended question. A yes/no

use variable was created since the responses indicating the frequency and duration of soy supplement use were low.

Daily isoflavone intake, derived from totaling isoflavone intake across all line items in the FFQ were generated using the USDA-Iowa State University Database on the Isoflavone Content of Foods(13). Isoflavone contents of foods in the USDA database were collected from scientific articles published in refereed journals and were generated by extensive sampling of soy-containing foods and subsequent analysis at Iowa State University.

Data Analyses: Women were divided into four groups with the highest category (upper 5th percentile: 6.3-86.9mg/day) representing intakes similar to those consumed in Asian populations. Delayed entry multiple Cox proportional hazards models(14) were developed and hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) representing the association between either a second breast cancer event or all-cause mortality and level of soy intake were computed. A second breast cancer event included both local and distant recurrences as well as new breast primaries. All cause mortality included death due to any cause, although 81% of the deaths were due to breast cancer. The models were adjusted for randomization group, soy supplement use and other demographic and clinical covariates known to be associated with breast cancer outcomes. Score tests were used to assess trends across quintiles. Residual plots were used to examine model fit. Likelihood ratio tests were used to examine interactions between isoflavone intake and each of tamoxifen use and ER/PR status, to examine if these factors modified the association between isoflavones and outcomes. The software package R was used for all statistical analysis(15).

Results

Baseline isoflavone intakes did not differ between the intervention and control groups. Among women in the intervention and control groups, 19.2% and 20.8 % respectively consumed greater than 10.1mg of isoflavones daily (data not shown). Isoflavone intake differed by age, race/ethnicity and education but did not differ by Tamoxifen use, hormone receptor status or menopausal status. Younger women, Asians and women with a college degree or higher were the most likely to consume soy in the upper category (Table 1). Isoflavone intake was unrelated to the risk of a second breast cancer event overall or within strata of women defined by hormone receptor status or whether they ever used Tamoxifen (Table 2). Furthermore, no significant increased or decreased risk was associated with any level of intake within strata (Table 2). In contrast, for overall mortality: risk of death tended to be lower as isoflavone intake increased (p for trend=0.02). Women at the highest levels of isoflavone intake (>16.3 mg isoflavones-equivalent to at least ½ cup soymilk or 2 oz tofu each day) had a non-significant 54% reduction in risk of death compared to the lowest quintile of soy intake. Although the interaction between soy intake and Tamoxifen use on mortality was not statistically significant (Table 2), the observed trend toward lower mortality with increased soy appeared stronger in women who had ever used Tamoxifen (p for trend=0.05). The trend toward lower mortality with increasing soy did not differ by hormone receptor status of the tumor. Sensitivity analyses were conducted by repeating the analysis restricted to the subgroup of women who were currently (at baseline) taking Tamoxifen (N=1642); the results did not change (data not shown).

Table 1: Number and Percent of Women Enrolled In WHEL across Isoflavone category by Demographic, Medical and Tumor Characteristics

Baseline Characteristics	Soy Isoflavone Intake(mg/day)				P-value*
	Level 1 [0-0.7] median= 0 n (%)	Level 2 (0.7-1.01] median= 0.3 n (%)	Level 3 (1.01-16.33] median= 4.8 n (%)	Level 4 (16.33-86.9] median= 26.7 n (%)	
Age (years)					0.008
< 45	182(37.9)	192(40)	80(16.7)	26(5.4)	
45-54	440(39)	441(39.1)	180(15.9)	68(6)	
55-60	179(37.9)	194(41.1)	75(15.9)	24(5.1)	
>=60	294(44.9)	267(40.8)	75(11.5)	19(2.9)	
Race/Ethnicity					<0.001
White	910(39.0)	951(40.7)	350(15)	123(5.3)	
Black	69(65.7)	26(24.8)	9(8.6)	1(1)	
Hispanic	91(61.1)	42(28.2)	12(8.1)	4(2.7)	
Asian	8(9.5)	45(53.6)	27(32.1)	4(4.8)	
Other*	17(26.6)	30(46.9)	12(18.8)	5(7.8)	
Education					<0.001
Some college	625(50.2)	455(36.6)	128(10.3)	36(2.9)	
College degree or higher	470(31.5)	639(42.8)	282(18.9)	101(6.8)	
Menopausal Status					0.189
Post or Perimenopausal	985(40.6)	967(39.9)	357(14.7)	117(4.8)	
Premenopausal	108(35.3)	126(41.2)	52(17)	20(6.5)	
Baseline Tamoxifen Use					0.321
Current	646(39.3)	668(40.7)	247(15)	81(4.9)	
Never	376(42.5)	334(37.8)	128(14.5)	46(5.2)	
Past	59(33.9)	81(46.6)	27(15.5)	7(4)	
ER/PR status					0.127
ER+ or PR+	835(38.9)	865(40.3)	331(15.4)	113(5.3)	
ER-/PR-	238(43.6)	214(39.2)	74(13.6)	20(3.7)	
Stage					0.25
I	430(40.5)	438(41.2)	143(13.5)	52(4.9)	
II	495(39.5)	489(40.0)	202(16.1)	68(5.4)	
III	170(40.6)	167(39.9)	65(15.5)	17(3.3.)	
Isoflavone Supplements**					0.001
Yes	17(29.3)	16(27.6)	18(31)	7(12.1)	
No	1078(40.3)	1078(40.3)	392(14.6)	130(4.9)	

* Pacific Islander, American Indian /mixed race

**p-value for differences of isoflavone intake between strata within category

Table 2: Adjusted* Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% CI's for Breast Cancer Recurrence and Mortality for levels of Isoflavone Intake at Baseline (all women and stratified by Tamoxifen use and hormone receptor status) for Women enrolled in WHEL

	N	Number of New Breast Cancer Events	HR(95% CI)for New Invasive Breast Cancer Event** (n=448)	Number of Deaths	HR(95% CI) for Overall Mortality (n=271)
All Women (n=2736)					
<i>Total Isoflavones(mg/day)</i>					
Level 1 (0-0.07)	1095	190	Reference:1	133	Reference:1
Level 2:(0.07-1.01]	1094	167	0.89(0.72-1.11)	95	0.75(0.57-0.99)
Level 3:(1.01-16.33]	410	73	0.99(0.75-1.32)	37	0.79(0.54-1.15)
Level 4:(16.33-86.9]	137	18	0.78(0.46-1.31)	6	0.46(0.2-1.05)
			P for trend = 0.47		P for trend=0.02
Women who used Tamoxifen(n=1816)					
<i>Total Isoflavones (mg/day)</i>					
Level 1 (0-0.07)	705	112	Reference: 1	79	Reference:1
Level 2:(0.07-1.01]	749	106	0.91 (0.69 -1.21)	64	0.79(0.56-1.12)
Level 3:(1.01-16.33]	274	44	0.97(0.67 -1.41)	23	0.81(0.5-1.3)
Level 4:(16.33-86.9]	88	8	0.59 (0.27 -1.29)	2	0.26(0.06-1.08)
			P for trend=0.35		P for trend=0.05
Non-users of Tamoxifen(n=884)					
<i>Isoflavones (mg/d)</i>					
Level 1 (0-0.07)	376	77	Reference: 1	53	Reference:1
Level 2:(0.07-1.01]	334	58	0.82(0.57-1.17)	28	0.61(0.38-0.99)
Level 3:(1.01-16.33]	128	28	1.09(0.69-1.71)	13	0.79(0.42-1.49)
Level 4:(16.33-86.9]	88	10	0.96(0.46-1.99)	4	0.68(0.24-1.99)
			P for trend=1.0		P for trend=0.20
Interaction between Tamoxifen and Isoflavones			P for interaction =0.54	P for interaction=0.45	
Women with tumors that were ER+ or PR+ (n=2144)					
Level 1 (0-0.07)	835	137	Reference:1	93	Reference:1
Level 2:(0.07-1.01]	865	125	0.9(0.7-1.16)	70	0.76(0.55-1.05)
Level 3:(1.01-16.33]	331	58	1.06(0.77-1.46)	30	0.91(0.59-1.39)
Level 4:(16.33-86.9]	113	14	0.84(0.47-1.51)	3	0.31(0.1-0.98)
			P for trend = 0.83		P for trend=0.07
Women with tumors that were ER-, PR-					

(n=546)

Level 1 (0-0.07)	238	50	Reference:1	38	Reference:1
Level 2:(0.07-1.01]	214	39	0.78(0.5-1.22)	24	0.62(0.36-1.07)
Level 3:(1.01-16.33]	74	14	0.79(0.42-1.49)	7	0.46(0.19-1.1)
Level 4:(16.33-86.9]	20	3	0.62(0.19-2.03)	3	0.86(0.25-2.9)

P for trend = 0.25

P for trend=0.10

**Interaction between
 receptor status and
 isoflavones**

P for interaction=0.91

P for interaction=0.31

*Models adjusted for stage, grade, ER/PR status, menopausal status, chemotherapy treatment, radiation, age, education, race, soy supplements intervention group, presence of hot flash symptoms and their interaction; the unstratified model was also adjusted for Tamoxifen use; the model subset to women not taking Tamoxifen did not adjust for race due to small cell sizes.

**New breast cancer event includes an invasive breast cancer recurrence or a new invasive primary breast cancer

Discussion

Our study is now the third epidemiologic study in the recent past to report no adverse effects of soy food intake on breast cancer recurrence(7, 8) or total mortality(7) either alone or in combination with Tamoxifen(7, 8). Contrary to soy being harmful, these recent reports to varying degrees, suggest possible benefits for breast cancer survivors.

Although the mean soy intake in the WHEL population is much lower than that observed among Chinese women in the SBCS(7), the suggested protective associations seen with total mortality for women in WHEL at the highest soy intake level, are comparable to what was seen for Chinese women consuming similar levels of isoflavones. Also similar to results from both the SBCS study(7) and the LACE study(8), the largest benefits associated with soy consumption, in our study were seen in women who used Tamoxifen. Continued research is needed to further understand and confirm these relationships since in WHEL power to detect associations at the highest level of soy intake was limited.

Strengths of the WHEL study include being one of the few existing studies of early-stage breast cancer survivors with long-term follow-up on both recurrence and survival as well as information on post-diagnosis soy food intake. Although, our analyses relied on self-report of soy food intake from the Arizona FFQ, several validation studies have reported that assessment of soy intake by food frequency questionnaires (FFQ) that use soymilk and tofu alone correlate well with isoflavone biomarkers either in blood or urine(16-19). In one study of US adults(17)where they compared a soy-specific 40 item FFQ to the more general 122 item WHI FFQ and examined intakes from both with plasma concentrations, they found that isoflavone intake was highly correlated between the two FFQ instruments ($r=0.84$) and that isoflavone intake derived from both instruments were significantly correlated with plasma concentration of isoflavones. They also found that soymilk and tofu were the two major contributors to isoflavone intake and accounted for approximately 40% of total intake.

Because the WHEL cohort consists of early-stage breast cancer survivors who were enrolled on average 2 years after diagnosis, our results are not generalizable to women diagnosed with advanced-stage breast cancer and apply only to women who have survived, on average, 2 years since diagnosis.

In summary, we found no adverse associations of soy food consumption with breast cancer prognosis, even at levels similar to those consumed in Asian populations. Our study and the two previous epidemiological studies, taken together, which vary in racial/ethnic composition (two from the US and one from China) and by level and type of soy consumption, provide the necessary evidence that clinicians no longer need to advise against soy food consumption for women diagnosed with breast cancer.

Reference List

- (1) Taylor CK, Levy RM, Elliott JC, Burnett BP. The effect of genistein aglycone on cancer and cancer risk: a review of in vitro, preclinical, and clinical studies. *Nutr Rev* 2009;67(7):398-415.
- (2) Helferich WG, Andrade JE, Hoagland MS. Phytoestrogens and breast cancer: a complex story. *Inflammopharmacology* 2008;16(5):219-26.
- (3) Ju YH, Doerge DR, Allred KF, Allred CD, Helferich WG. Dietary genistein negates the inhibitory effect of tamoxifen on growth of estrogen-dependent human breast cancer (MCF-7) cells implanted in athymic mice. *Cancer Res* 2002;62(9):2474-7.
- (4) Schwartz JA, Liu G, Brooks SC. Genistein-mediated attenuation of tamoxifen-induced antagonism from estrogen receptor-regulated genes. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 1998;253(1):38-43.
- (5) Doyle C, Kushi LH, Byers T, et al. Nutrition and physical activity during and after cancer treatment: an American Cancer Society guide for informed choices. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2006;56(6):323-53.
- (6) Velentzis LS, Woodside JV, Cantwell MM, Leathem AJ, Keshtgar MR. Do phytoestrogens reduce the risk of breast cancer and breast cancer recurrence? What clinicians need to know. *Eur J Cancer* 2008;44(13):1799-806.
- (7) Shu XO, Zheng Y, Cai H, et al. Soy food intake and breast cancer survival. *JAMA* 2009;302(22):2437-43.
- (8) Guha N, Kwan ML, Quesenberry CP, Jr., et al. Soy isoflavones and risk of cancer recurrence in a cohort of breast cancer survivors: the Life After Cancer Epidemiology study. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2009;118(2):395-405.
- (9) Pierce JP, Natarajan L, Caan BJ, et al. Influence of a diet very high in vegetables, fruit, and fiber and low in fat on prognosis following treatment for breast cancer: the Women's Healthy Eating and Living (WHEL) randomized trial. *JAMA* 2007;298(3):289-98.
- (10) Thomson CA, Giuliano A, Rock CL, et al. Measuring dietary change in a diet intervention trial: Comparing food frequency questionnaire and dietary recalls. *Am J Epidemiol* 2003;157(8):754-62.

- (11) Martinez ME, Marshall JR, Graver E, et al. Reliability and validity of a self-administered food frequency questionnaire in a chemoprevention trial of adenoma recurrence. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 1999;8(10):941-6.
- (12) Newman V, Rock CL, Faerber S, et al. Dietary supplement use by women at risk for breast cancer recurrence. The Women's Healthy Eating and Living Study Group. *J Am Diet Assoc* 1998;98(3):285-92.
- (13) USDA-Iowa State University Database on the Isoflavone Content of Foods, Release 1.3 - 2002. 2002.
- (14) Therneau TM, Grambsch PM. *Modeling Survival Data: Extending the Cox Model*. New York: Springer-Verlag; 2000.
- (15) R-Project.org [Internet]. Vienna: Vienna University of Economics and Business, Institute for Statistics and Mathematics c2011 [updated 2009 May 13; cited 2010 Nov 1]. Available from: <http://www.r-project.org/>.
- (16) Frankenfeld CL, Patterson RE, Horner NK, et al. Validation of a soy food-frequency questionnaire and evaluation of correlates of plasma isoflavone concentrates in postmenopausal women. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2003;77:674-80.
- (17) Frankenfeld CL, Patterson RE, Kalhorn TF, et al. Validation of a soy food frequency questionnaire with plasma concentrations of isoflavones in US adults. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2002;102(10):1407-13.
- (18) Jaceldo-Siegl K, Fraser GE, Chan J, Franke A, Sabate J. Validation of soy protein estimates from a food-frequency questionnaire with repeated 24-h recalls and isoflavonoid excretion in overnight urine in a Western population with a wide range of soy intakes. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2008;87(5):1422-7.
- (19) Williams AE, Maskarinec G, Hebshi S, et al. Validation of a soy questionnaire with repeated dietary recalls and urinary isoflavone assessments over one year. *Nutr Cancer* 2003;47(2):118-25.

Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention

AACR American Association
for Cancer Research

Soy Food Consumption and Breast Cancer Prognosis

Bette J. Caan, Loki Natarajan, Barbara A Parker, et al.

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev Published OnlineFirst February 25, 2011.

Updated version Access the most recent version of this article at:
doi:[10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-10-1041](https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-10-1041)

Author Manuscript Author manuscripts have been peer reviewed and accepted for publication but have not yet been edited.

E-mail alerts [Sign up to receive free email-alerts](#) related to this article or journal.

Reprints and Subscriptions To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at pubs@aacr.org.

Permissions To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, use this link <http://cebp.aacrjournals.org/content/early/2011/02/21/1055-9965.EPI-10-1041>. Click on "Request Permissions" which will take you to the Copyright Clearance Center's (CCC) Rightslink site.