

*Short Communication*Genetic Variant of Luteinizing Hormone and Risk of Breast Cancer in Older Women<sup>1</sup>

Arslan Akhmedkhanov,<sup>2</sup> Paolo Toniolo, Anne Zeleniuch-Jacquotte, Kim Pettersson, and Ipo Huhtaniemi

Departments of Obstetrics and Gynecology [A. A., P. T.] and Environmental Medicine [A. A., P. T., A. Z.-J.] and Kaplan Comprehensive Cancer Center [P. T., A. Z.-J.], New York University School of Medicine, New York, New York 10016, and Departments of Biotechnology [K. P.] and Physiology [I. H.], University of Turku, 20520 Turku, Finland

**Abstract**

**A genetic variant of luteinizing hormone (LH) characterized by two point mutations in codons 8 (TGG→CGG) and 15 (ATC→ACC) of the LH  $\beta$ -subunit gene has been described recently. As compared with wild-type LH, the variant LH appears to have higher *in vitro* bioactivity but a shortened circulatory half-life, and it has been reported to affect circulating levels of sex hormones. Our purpose was to determine whether the variant form of LH is associated with an altered risk of breast cancer. This hypothesis was addressed in a case-control study nested within a prospective cohort that included 270 cases of breast cancer and twice as many matching control subjects. The study was limited to subjects diagnosed at age 50 years or older. The LH status was determined by the combination of two immunofluorometric assays of serum using monoclonal antibodies. Frequency of the variant LH was similar in breast cancer cases and controls (11.5% versus 10.7%). In conditional regression models, the presence of the variant LH was not associated with a considerable increase of breast cancer risk (odds ratio, 1.07; 95% confidence interval, 0.68–1.69). Adjustment for potential confounders did not notably change the risk estimate (odds ratio, 1.11; 95% confidence interval, 0.69–1.78). These observations do not appear to support the hypothesis that this particular variant of LH is associated with altered risk of breast cancer diagnosed at age 50 years and older.**

**Introduction**

Recently, an immunologically anomalous form of LH<sup>3</sup> has been described in a healthy Finnish woman (1) and was subsequently

described in Japan (2). Nucleotide sequencing revealed two missense point mutations in the gene of the LH  $\beta$ -subunit on chromosome 19. One of them (codon 8, TGG→CGG) changes tryptophan to arginine, and the other (codon 15, ATC→ACC) changes isoleucine to threonine (2, 3). Studies of worldwide occurrence of this variant LH revealed a broad variation in frequency from 55.5% in aboriginal Australians to 0% in Kotas from South India (4, 5). The frequency of the variant LH appears to be higher in Scandinavian countries (20–42%), intermediate in Western Europe (15%) and Asia (12–14%), and lower in the Hispanic population in the United States (7%), indicating considerable geographic and racial variation (4).

Analyses of the biological properties of the variant LH suggest that the described mutations may alter the physiological function of LH. The mutation at codon 15 is of particular interest because it introduces an additional glycosylation site to the LH  $\beta$ -subunit, with the potential of altered circulatory half-life and bioactivity (6, 7). *In vitro* studies have shown that the variant LH has elevated bioactivity in homozygous subjects compared with those homozygous for wild-type LH (8, 9), whereas the *in vivo* half-life of the variant LH in circulation was shorter than that for wild-type LH (8). In addition, women heterozygous for the variant LH have somewhat higher serum levels of estradiol, testosterone, and sex hormone binding globulin than women without the variant, indicating alterations in the bioactivity of the variant LH (10). These findings prompted the suggestion of a more potent form of LH with a shorter life span.

Several groups reported that the variant LH is associated with polycystic ovary syndrome (10–12), characterized by increased levels of circulating LH, increased ovarian androgen production, hyperinsulinemia, and multiple cysts in the ovaries, as a result of arrested follicular development. The variant LH may contribute to infertility (2), premature ovarian failure (13, 14), and slow progression of puberty (15). Because LH is an important regulator of steroidogenesis, we hypothesized that the variant form of LH may affect the levels of endogenous sex hormones and the subsequent risk of hormone-dependent cancers. A positive association between endogenous estrogens and breast cancer risk in postmenopausal women was observed in our study population (16) as well as in another prospective cohort (17).

The aim of this study was to determine whether the variant LH is associated with breast cancer in a prospective cohort of mostly Caucasian women from New York City. The present report was concerned exclusively with the risk of cancer diagnosed at or after menopause. Because information about menopausal status at diagnosis was not available for all subjects, we used age as a proxy of menopausal status at diagnosis. Therefore, subjects diagnosed before age 50 years, the median age of menopause at enrollment in the NYU Women's Health Study cohort, were excluded.

Received 9/30/99; revised 5/10/00; accepted 6/5/00.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked *advertisement* in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

<sup>1</sup> Supported primarily by United States Department of Defense Research Grant DAMD17-97-1-7226 and by USPHS Grants R01 CA34588 and P30 CA16087 from the National Cancer Institute.

<sup>2</sup> To whom requests for reprints should be addressed, at Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, New York University School of Medicine, 550 First Avenue, NB 9E2, New York, NY 10016. Phone: (212) 263-7763; Fax: (212) 263-8887; E-mail: akhmea01@popmail.med.nyu.edu.

<sup>3</sup> The abbreviations used are: LH, luteinizing hormone; mAb, monoclonal antibody; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; NYU, New York University.

## Materials and Methods

**Study Population.** Between March 1985 and June 1991, the NYU Women's Health Study enrolled a cohort of 14,275 healthy women, ages 34–65 years, at a breast cancer screening center in New York City. Details concerning subject recruitment have been published elsewhere (16, 18). Women who in the preceding 6 months had neither used hormonal medications nor been pregnant were eligible for enrollment. Blood was drawn before breast examination, between 9 a.m. and 3 p.m., in nonfasting subjects. After centrifugation, serum was divided in 1-ml aliquots and stored at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$  for subsequent biochemical analyses. Written informed consent was obtained from all cohort members. The study was reviewed and approved annually by the Institutional Board of Research Associates of the NYU School of Medicine.

**Nested Case-Control Study.** Breast cancer cases were identified primarily by active follow-up either at annual mammographic screening (up to 1991) or through questionnaires mailed to each cohort member every 2–3 years; by computer linkages with Tumor Registries of the states of New York, New Jersey, Connecticut and Florida; by linkages with the United States National Death Index to identify cancer-related deaths; and by review of medical records. Assessment of the quality of follow-up, including capture-recapture analyses within the NYU Women's Health Study, had shown a high rate (95%) of detection of cancer cases (19). By January 1995, of the initial cohort of 14,275 women, 113 (0.8%) were lost to follow-up, and 180 (1.3%) had withdrawn their collaboration. As of January 1, 1995, after 109,111 person-years of follow-up, a total of 417 cases of breast cancer had been identified and confirmed by review of individual clinical and pathological records. Of these, 270 women were 50 years of age or older at the time of diagnosis and were included in the present nested case-control study. For each case, two controls were selected at random from among cohort members who were alive and free of disease at the time of diagnosis of the case and who matched the case on age at entry ( $\pm 6$  months), date of enrollment ( $\pm 3$  months), and number and dates of subsequent blood donations at the screening clinic.

**Laboratory Methods.** Because DNA material was not readily available for all subjects, we used a common indirect method using serum to determine the variant LH status. Serum samples from each case and her matched controls were analyzed in the same batch by a laboratory technician who was unaware of their disease status. The LH phenotypes were determined using two different immunofluorometric assays for serum LH determination (DELFA, Wallac, Finland). The assays used different combinations of mAbs. In the first assay, which recognizes wild-type LH only, the capture mAb recognizes an epitope in the intact  $\alpha/\beta$ -dimer, and the detection mAb recognizes the  $\alpha$ -subunit (1). In the second assay, which recognizes both wild-type and variant LH (reference method), two LH $\beta$ -specific mAbs were used (20). The ratios of the LH levels measured by these two assays (assay 1:assay 2) fell into three separate categories indicating the LH genotype: (a)  $\geq 1.0$  (normal ratio), the subject has two normal LH $\beta$  alleles; (b) 0.15–0.99 (low ratio), the subject is heterozygous for the mutant LH $\beta$  gene; and (c) 0–0.14 (zero ratio), the subject is homozygous for the variant LH $\beta$  gene (8, 11). The intra- and interassay coefficients of variation of assays 1 and 2 were less than 4% and 5%, respectively, at LH concentrations at and above the lowest standard concentration of 0.6 IU/liter of the WHO International Reference Preparation 80/552. Comparison of LH status determination by the immunofluorometric assay and DNA hybrid-

Table 1 Selected characteristics of breast cancer cases and controls, NYU Women's Health Study<sup>a</sup>

Characteristic	Breast cancer cases (n = 270)	Controls (n = 540)	P <sup>b</sup>
Age at blood donation (yrs)	58 (44–68)	58 (43–68)	0.86
Age at menarche (yrs)	12 (9–17)	13 (8–17)	0.09
Ever pregnant (%)	79.8	83.1	0.28
Age at first full-term pregnancy (yrs)	26 (16–41)	25 (16–43)	0.04
Breast cancer in first-degree relative (%)	21.9	22.0	0.95
Prior benign breast condition (%)	62.4	51.2	0.005
Height (cm)	162.4 (150–183)	161.6 (145–183)	0.10
Weight (kg)	69 (47–123)	67 (45–141)	0.06
Quetelet index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	25.9 (17.0–43.5)	25.5 (17.0–54.8)	0.24

<sup>a</sup> Values represent means (range), unless otherwise specified.

<sup>b</sup>  $\chi^2$  test for proportions and mixed-effects regression test for continuous variables.

ization assay showed identical results regarding the variant LH, and either method can be used as an alternative to determine the LH status (5).

**Statistical Methods.** Mixed-effects regression models were used to test for differences in continuous variables between case and control subjects, taking into account the matched design (21). For categorical variables, the  $\chi^2$  test was used. All reported Ps are two-sided, and Ps less than 0.05 are considered statistically significant.

Conditional univariate and multivariate logistic regression models were used to assess the association between LH status and breast cancer. Potentially confounding variables were included in multivariate logistic models. They included height, weight, Quetelet index (weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared), age at menarche, age at first full-term pregnancy, history of a prior benign breast condition (positive *versus* negative), and first-degree family history of breast cancer (positive *versus* negative). All variables (except history of a prior benign breast condition and family history of breast cancer) were analyzed as both continuous variables and by quartiles. All analyses were carried out using SAS Version 6.12 software. Results are expressed as ORs and 95% CIs.

## Results

A total of 270 postmenopausal breast cancer cases diagnosed at age 50 years or older (229 invasive and 41 noninvasive carcinomas) and 540 matching control subjects were included in the analysis. Some characteristics of the study group are given in Table 1. The majority of study subjects were Caucasian (72%); 8% were African American, 3% were Hispanic, 2% reported other ethnicity, and 15% had missing ethnicity data. The ethnic composition reflects the characteristics of the patient population at the screening clinic at the time of recruitment. The median age at diagnosis of breast cancer was 61 years, and the median period between initial blood donation and diagnosis was 2.3 years. Compared with controls, case subjects were more likely to report a prior benign breast condition (62.4% *versus* 51.2%;  $P = 0.005$ ) and had a higher weight (mean, 69 *versus* 67 kg;  $P = 0.06$ ) and Quetelet index (mean, 25.9 *versus* 25.5;  $P = 0.24$ ). Breast cancer cases also had an earlier age of menarche (mean, 12 *versus* 13 years;  $P = 0.09$ ) and a later age at first full-term pregnancy (mean, 26 *versus* 25 years;  $P = 0.04$ ).

Table 2 LH status of breast cancer cases and controls, NYU Women's Health Study

LH status	Breast cancer cases (n = 270)	Controls (n = 540)	OR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	OR (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>
Normal LH (wild type)	239 (88.5%)	482 (89.3%)	1.00	1.00
Variant LH (heterozygotes)	29 (10.7%)	54 (10.0%)	1.08 (0.68–1.72)	1.11 (0.69–1.78)
Variant LH (homozygotes)	2 (0.7%)	4 (0.7%)	1.00 (0.18–5.50)	1.03 (0.18–5.71)
Variant LH (heterozygotes + homozygotes)	31 (11.5%)	58 (10.7%)	1.07 (0.68–1.69)	1.10 (0.70–1.74)

<sup>a</sup> Adjusted only for matching factors.

<sup>b</sup> Adjusted for height, Quetelet index, age at menarche, age at first full-term pregnancy (all as continuous variables), history of a prior benign breast condition (positive versus negative), and first-degree family history of breast cancer (positive versus negative).

Table 2 shows distribution of the variant LH among breast cancer cases and controls. Of 810 subjects included in the analysis, 89 had a low assay 1:assay 2 LH ratio (83 heterozygous and 6 homozygous subjects), corresponding to the variant LH prevalence rate of 11.0%. There was no significant difference in the frequency of variant LH between cases and controls (11.5% versus 10.7%, respectively;  $P = 0.75$ ). Among cases, the median age of breast cancer diagnosis was similar in women with wild-type LH (61.4 years) and variant LH (60.2 years).

In logistic regression analyses, we computed ORs for breast cancer associated with LH status. The presence of the variant LH (heterozygotes plus homozygotes) was not associated with an apparent increase in breast cancer risk (OR, 1.07; 95% CI, 0.68–1.69). Adjustment for height, Quetelet index, age at menarche, age at first full-term pregnancy, history of a prior benign breast condition, and first-degree family history of breast cancer did not notably change the risk estimate (OR, 1.11; 95% CI, 0.69–1.78). Because frequency of the variant LH varies with ethnicity, we restricted analyses to Caucasian subjects, the most common ethnic group in the study, but the risk estimate remained close to unity (OR, 0.97; 95% CI, 0.53–1.77).

## Discussion

This study was undertaken to determine whether the recently discovered variant LH, which is characterized by higher *in vitro* bioactivity in the stimulation of steroidogenesis (8, 9) and higher circulating levels of estradiol and testosterone (10) but a shorter circulatory half-life (8), is associated with breast cancer risk. It has previously been shown that the variant LH may be associated with clinical conditions including polycystic ovary syndrome (10, 11), menstrual disorders (13, 14), and delayed puberty (15) but not, to our knowledge, with breast cancer. In a cohort of mostly Caucasian women, we found no evidence that the variant LH genotype is associated with the risk of breast cancer diagnosed at age 50 years and older.

The major limitation of the study was its relatively small sample size, especially considering the low prevalence of the variant LH (heterozygous and homozygous) in our cohort (11%) as compared with previous observations in Scandinavia and Western Europe (4). As a result, the statistical power of the study was limited. Nevertheless, given our adjusted risk estimate of 1.11 (95% CI, 0.69–1.78), the upper confidence limit of 1.78 indicates that an increase in risk larger than 78% is unlikely, suggesting that the variant LH is not a major risk factor for breast cancer among women ages 50 years and older.

Only 6 of 810 subjects (2 cases and 4 controls) were homozygous for the variant LH. Although these numbers are small, a similar prevalence of homozygosity in cases and controls does not give substance to the argument that the effect of the variant LH on breast cancer is more pronounced in homozygous subjects than in heterozygous subjects.

With regard to the relationships between the variant LH and endogenous hormone levels, we had measured endogenous estrogens in postmenopausal women (16), but the previous publication included only a subset of the participants included in this study. We are currently in the process of performing laboratory analyses for endogenous steroid hormones among all breast cancer cases and controls in our cohort, and we plan to analyze the relationship between the variant LH and endogenous hormone levels.

In conclusion, the results of the present study do not appear to support the hypothesis that the variant form of LH is associated with an altered risk of breast cancer diagnosed at age 50 years and older. It is conceivable that the higher bioactivity of the variant LH, coupled with its shorter half-life, could compensate for each other with no apparent effect on breast cancer risk.

## Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Amy Davidow for help with statistical analyses; Lynne Quinones, Joan Szimczak, Daniela Masciangelo, Aila Metsävuori, and Tarja Laiho for technical assistance; and Yelena Afanasyeva for computer programming.

## References

- Pettersson, K. S., Ding, Y. Q., and Huhtaniemi, I. An immunologically anomalous luteinizing hormone variant in a healthy woman. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.*, *74*: 164–171, 1992.
- Furui, K., Suganama, N., Tsukahara, S. I., Asada, Y., Kikkawa, F., Tanaka, M., Ozawa, T., and Tomoda, Y. Identification of two point mutations in the gene coding luteinizing hormone (LH)  $\beta$ -subunit, associated with immunologically anomalous LH variants. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.*, *78*: 107–113, 1994.
- Pettersson, K., Mäkelä, M. M., Dahlén, P., Lamminen, T., Huoponen, K., and Huhtaniemi, I. Gene polymorphism found in the LH  $\beta$  gene of an immunologically anomalous variant of human luteinizing hormone. *Eur. J. Endocrinol.*, *130* (Suppl. 2): 65, 1994.
- Nilsson, C., Pettersson, K., Millar, R. P., Coerver, K. A., Matzuk, M. M., and Huhtaniemi, I. T. Worldwide frequency of a common genetic variant of luteinizing hormone: an international collaborative research. *Fertil. Steril.*, *67*: 998–1004, 1997.
- Nilsson, C., Jiang, M., Pettersson, K., Iitia, A., Mäkelä, M., Simonsen, H., Easteal, S., Herrera, R. J., and Huhtaniemi, I. Determination of a common genetic variant of luteinizing hormone using DNA hybridization and immunoassays. *Clin. Endocrinol.*, *49*: 369–376, 1998.
- Wilson, C. A., Leigh, A. J., and Chapman, A. J. Gonadotrophin glycosylation and function. *J. Endocrinol.*, *125*: 3–14, 1990.
- Sairam, M. R. Role of carbohydrates in glycoprotein hormone signal transduction. *FASEB J.*, *3*: 1915–1926, 1989.
- Haavisto, A. M., Pettersson, K., Bergendahl, M., Virkamäki, A., and Huhtaniemi, I. T. Occurrence and biological properties of a common genetic variant of luteinizing hormone. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.*, *80*: 1257–1263, 1995.
- Suganuma, N., Furui, K., Kikkawa, F., Tomoda, Y., and Furuhashi, M. Effects of the mutations (Trp8→Arg and Ile15→Thr) in human luteinizing hormone (LH)  $\beta$ -subunit on LH bioactivity *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Endocrinology*, *137*: 831–838, 1996.
- Rajkhowa, M., Talbot, J. A., Jones, P. W., Pettersson, K., Haavisto, A. M., Huhtaniemi, I., and Clayton, R. N. Prevalence of an immunological LH  $\beta$ -subunit

variant in a UK population of healthy women and women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Clin. Endocrinol.*, *43*: 297–303, 1995.

11. Tapanainen, J. S., Koivunen, R., Fauser, B. C., Taylor, A. E., Clayton, R. N., Rajkhowa, M., White, D., Franks, S., Anttila, L., Pettersson, K. S., and Huhtaniemi, I. T. A new contributing factor to polycystic ovary syndrome: the genetic variant of luteinizing hormone. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.*, *84*: 1711–1715, 1999.
12. Elter, K., Erel, C. T., Cine, N., Ozbek, U., Hacıhanefioglu, B., and Ertunçalp, E. Role of the mutations Trp8→Arg and Ile15→Thr of the human luteinizing hormone  $\beta$ -subunit in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Fertil. Steril.*, *71*: 425–430, 1999.
13. Suganuma, N., Furui, K., Furuhashi, M., Asada, Y., Kikkawa, F., and Tomoda, Y. Screening of the mutations in luteinizing hormone  $\beta$ -subunit in patients with menstrual disorders. *Fertil. Steril.*, *63*: 989–995, 1995.
14. Takahashi, K., Ozaki, T., Okada, M., Kurioka, H., Kanasaki, H., and Miyazaki, K. Increased prevalence of luteinizing hormone  $\beta$ -subunit variant in patients with premature ovarian failure. *Fertil. Steril.*, *71*: 96–101, 1999.
15. Raivio, T., Huhtaniemi, I., Anttila, R., Siimes, M. A., Hagenäs, L., Nilsson, C., Pettersson, K., and Dunkel, L. The role of luteinizing hormone- $\beta$  gene polymorphism in the onset and progression of puberty in healthy boys. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.*, *81*: 3278–3282, 1996.
16. Toniolo, P. G., Levitz, M., Zeleniuch-Jacquotte, A., Banerjee, S., Koenig, K. L., Shore, R. E., Strax, P., and Pasternack, B. S. A prospective study of endogenous estrogens and breast cancer in postmenopausal women. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.*, *87*: 190–197, 1995.
17. Hankinson, S. E., Willett, W. C., Manson, J. E., Colditz, G. A., Hunter, D. J., Spiegelman, D., Barbieri, R. L., and Speizer, F. E. Plasma sex steroid hormone levels and risk of breast cancer in postmenopausal women. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.*, *90*: 1292–1299, 1998.
18. Toniolo, P. G., Pasternack, B. S., Shore, R. E., Sonnenschein, E., Koenig, K. L., Rosenberg, C., Strax, P., and Strax, S. Endogenous hormones and breast cancer: a prospective cohort study. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.*, *18* (Suppl. 1): S23–S26, 1991.
19. Kato, I., Toniolo, P., Koenig, K. L., Kahn, A., Schymura, M., and Zeleniuch-Jacquotte, A. Comparison of active and cancer registry-based follow-up for breast cancer in a prospective cohort study. *Am. J. Epidemiol.*, *149*: 372–378, 1999.
20. Pettersson, K. S., and Söderholm, J. R. Ultrasensitive two-site immunometric assay of human lutropin by time-resolved fluorometry. *Clin. Chem.*, *36*: 1928–1933, 1990.
21. Liang, I. K., and Zeger, S. L. Longitudinal data analysis using generalized linear models. *Biometrika*, *73*: 13–22, 1986.

## Genetic Variant of Luteinizing Hormone and Risk of Breast Cancer in Older Women

Arslan Akhmedkhanov, Paolo Toniolo, Anne Zeleniuch-Jacquotte, et al.

*Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2000;9:839-842.

**Updated version** Access the most recent version of this article at:  
<http://cebp.aacrjournals.org/content/9/8/839>

**Cited articles** This article cites 20 articles, 3 of which you can access for free at:  
<http://cebp.aacrjournals.org/content/9/8/839.full#ref-list-1>

**E-mail alerts** [Sign up to receive free email-alerts](#) related to this article or journal.

**Reprints and Subscriptions** To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at [pubs@aacr.org](mailto:pubs@aacr.org).

**Permissions** To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, use this link  
<http://cebp.aacrjournals.org/content/9/8/839>.  
Click on "Request Permissions" which will take you to the Copyright Clearance Center's (CCC) Rightslink site.