Early detection of ovarian cancer remains an important unmet medical need. Effective screening could reduce mortality by 10%–30%. Used individually, neither serum CA125 nor transvaginal sonography (TVS) is sufficiently sensitive or specific. Two-stage strategies have proven more effective, where a significant rise above a woman’s baseline CA125 prompts TVS and an abnormal sonogram prompts surgery. Two major screening trials have documented that this strategy has adequate specificity, but sensitivity for early-stage (I–II) disease must improve to have a greater impact on mortality. To improve the first stage, different panels of protein biomarkers have detected cases missed by CA125. Autoantibodies against TP53 have detected 20% of early-stage ovarian cancers 8 months before elevation of CA125 and 22 months before clinical diagnosis. Panels of autoantibodies and antigen-autoantibody complexes are being evaluated with the goal of detecting >90% of early-stage ovarian cancers, alone or in combination with CA125, while maintaining 98% specificity in control subjects. Other biomarkers, including micro-RNAs, ctDNA, methylated DNA, and combinations of ctDNA alterations, are being tested to provide an optimal first-stage test. New technologies are also being developed with greater sensitivity than TVS to image small volumes of tumor.

See all articles in this CEBP Focus section, “NCI Early Detection Research Network: Making Cancer Detection Possible.”

Introduction

There is a strong rationale for ovarian cancer screening. When limited to the ovaries (stage I), ovarian cancer can be cured in up to 90% of women with currently available surgery and chemotherapy. Even when disease has spread to the pelvis (stage II), 5-year survival can exceed 70%. Once cancer has spread throughout the abdominal cavity (stage III) or outside the abdominal cavity and/or into the parenchyma of the liver (stage IV), the cure rate slips to no more than 10 operations should be performed to detect each case preclinically. Gynecologic oncologists and advocates have argued that no more than 10 operations should be performed to detect each case of preclinical disease at an earlier stage could reduce mortality by 10%–30% (2–5).

Given the postmenopausal prevalence of ovarian cancer (1 in 2,500), epidemiologic requirements for screening are stringent. Ultimately, ovarian cancer is generally diagnosed with an operative procedure. Gynecologic oncologists and advocates have argued that a woman’s baseline CA125 prompts TVS and an abnormal sonogram. Two-stage strategies have been shown to detect 20% of early-stage ovarian cancers 8 months before elevation of CA125 and 22 months before clinical diagnosis. Panels of autoantibodies and antigen-autoantibody complexes are being evaluated with the goal of detecting >90% of early-stage ovarian cancers, alone or in combination with CA125, while maintaining 98% specificity in control subjects. Other biomarkers, including micro-RNAs, ctDNA, methylated DNA, and combinations of ctDNA alterations, are being tested to provide an optimal first-stage test. New technologies are also being developed with greater sensitivity than TVS to image small volumes of tumor.

See all articles in this CEBP Focus section, “NCI Early Detection Research Network: Making Cancer Detection Possible.”

Screening Women at Average Risk

Most screening strategies have used the serum biomarker CA125 (7) and transvaginal sonography (TVS). Used alone, neither CA125 nor TVS has adequate sensitivity or specificity to permit effective screening with a PPV >10%. This was well documented in the Prostate, Lung, Colon and Ovary (PLCO) Cancer Screening Trial that included 78,216 women between the ages of 55 and 74 who were screened with CA125 for 6 years and TVS for 4 years (n = 39,105) or were followed with conventional care (n = 39,111; ref. 8). Patients were referred to a gynecologist if CA125 was elevated or if the TVS was abnormal. In the PLCO, the PPV was 3.7% for elevated CA125 and 1% for abnormal TVS. If both tests were abnormal, the PPV rose to 23.5%, but 80% of cases would have been missed.

A similar result was obtained in the Shizuoka district of Japan, where asymptomatic postmenopausal women were randomized to annual screening with TVS and CA125 (41,688) or to conventional care (40,799; ref. 9). The fraction of stage I cases was higher in the screened group (63%) than in the control group (38%), but this difference did not achieve statistical significance (P = 0.23).

Over the last two decades, two-stage strategies have been developed using both CA125 and TVS sequentially. As cancers grow progressively, CA125 generally rises exponentially over time reflecting tumor doubling, whereas benign disease grows slowly, if at all, and CA125 levels do not change dramatically (Fig. 1). In two major trials (10, 11), CA125 has been measured annually in postmenopausal women at average risk for developing ovarian cancer. If CA125 rises significantly above a woman’s baseline level of the biomarker, producing an elevated risk estimated with a Bayesian Risk of Ovarian Cancer Algorithm (ROCA), TVS is performed and if imaging suggests possible malignancy, an operation is undertaken (Fig. 2). If CA125 increases more modestly, producing an intermediate risk, CA125 is repeated in 3 months. A further increase in CA125 usually results in an elevated risk, which then triggers ultrasound and possible surgery. If the values remain stable or decline resulting in a normal risk, the participant returns in one year. This permits each woman to establish her own normal CA125 baseline, individualizing screening.
The two-stage approach has improved both specificity and sensitivity. When individual cases were reviewed, some women with ovarian cancer experienced a rapid rise over 12 months, whereas others had a more gradual increase in CA125 over more than one year within a normal range, improving sensitivity (Fig. 3). Improved specificity has been observed in two major screening trials.

In the Normal Risk Ovarian Screening Study (NROSS; ref. 10), some 6,872 postmenopausal women at average risk of developing ovarian cancer have been monitored over the last 19 years with 36,599 blood draws. Less than 1.5% have been referred for TVS after each annual blood test and only 2.2% of CA125 tests have undergone TVS during multiple years on study. Twenty-four operations have been indicated by the ROCA and have detected 15 cases of ovarian cancer—2 borderline and 13 invasive high-grade cancers—with 10 of the 15 (67%) in stage I or II. In addition, two stage I endometrial cancers were detected. Using this two-stage strategy, no more than two operations were required to detect each case of ovarian cancer. In this trial, 2 borderline cancers, both in stage I, were not detected, as were three invasive cancers with one in stage I and two in stage III. To date, the sensitivity of this strategy for detecting early-stage invasive disease is 13/20 (65%) with a PPV of 15/24 (62%), far above the minimum required of 10%, while maintaining a first-stage test specificity of 98.5%.

The United Kingdom Collaborative Trial of Ovarian Cancer Screening (UKTOCS) included more than 200,000 postmenopausal women at average risk who were randomized to three groups and followed initially for 14 years (11). A control group (101,359) was followed through the UK tumor registries. A second group (50,639) received TVS annually for 7 to 11 years. A third group (50,640) was screened annually from 7 to 11 years with CA125 interpreted by ROCA followed by TVS in a small fraction of cases, exactly the same protocol that was carried out in NROSS. Once again, the two-stage strategy was sufficiently specific so that there were only 3–4 operations required for each case of ovarian cancer detected. Approximately 40% of ovarian cancers were found by screening or clinically diagnosed in stage I or II, doubling the detection rate for early-stage disease. Moreover, half of the ovarian cancers were detected with the algorithm before the cancers would have been detected using a single threshold of 35 U/mL, the traditional cutoff-point for CA125 (12). ROCA is most effective when a baseline CA125 is established for each woman from which to judge whether a rise is significant. ROCA is less effective in detecting a case in early stage if the CA125 is already rising on the first test and no baseline has been established; these are prevalent ovarian cancer cases. Although including all ovarian cancer cases in the analysis missed achieving statistical significance, in a pre-specified subgroup analysis that excluded prevalent cases, a 20% reduction in mortality was observed ($P = 0.021$) for the multimodality group that was screened with annual CA125 interpreted by ROCA followed by TVS (Fig. 4). As had been observed in screening for prostate cancer with PSA, mortality curves were similar for 7 years and then diverged. The contrasting results between an analysis, including all ovarian cancer cases and an analysis excluding prevalent cases prompted an additional five years of follow-up to June 2020, analysis of which will occur later in 2020. At present, it appears that 641 subjects must be screened to prevent one death. In a recent systematic review of the cost-effectiveness of ovarian cancer early detection, four different analyses of two-stage screening in postmenopausal women achieved incremental cost-effectiveness ratios of approximately $11,564 to $96,052/quality adjusted life year (QALY) depending on assumptions regarding extrapolation of mortality data, costs, and test performance (13). As the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) in the UK has used approximately $26,200 to $39,300/QALY as acceptable values for screening, multimodal screening could be cost-effective, particularly if mortality were reduced by 20% on updated analysis of data from the UKTOCS.

---

**Figure 1.** Serial pattern of CA125 levels in 6 women from a UK study prospective trial of 22,000 postmenopausal women (87). CA125 values for 3 women with occult ovarian cancer (red dots) and 3 women without ovarian cancer (green dots).

**Figure 2.** Two-stage screening strategy using the Risk of Ovarian Cancer Algorithm (ROCA).
Screening Women at Increased Genetic Risk

Germ-line mutations with BRCA1 or BRCA2 confer a lifetime ovarian cancer risk of 40%–50% or 15%–20%, respectively, compared with 1.3% in the general population (14). High-grade serous cancers occur more frequently in carriers of BRCA1/2 mutations (15). In the absence of a reliable strategy for early detection in this group, risk reducing bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy is recommended as soon as women have completed their families. When surgery is delayed, TVS and CA125 are generally performed every 6 months, but there is no evidence that this improves survival. Judged by the presence of premalignant lesions, up to 70% of "ovarian" cancers that develop in women carrying BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations may arise not from the ovary, but rather from the fimbriae of the fallopian tubes (16). Although many high-grade cancers can also arise from the fallopian tube in women at conventional genetic risk, this poses an even greater challenge for early detection. As soon as malignant cells can resist "anoikis" and survive floating free from the underlying matrix, high-grade serous cancers can spread throughout the peritoneal cavity, accounting for reports of the sudden appearance of widespread peritoneal metastasis and elevated CA125 no more than 3 months after the last apparently normal examination.

Annual screening has not been effective in women at high risk. When the ROCA was evaluated every 3 months and followed by TVS to screen 4,348 women at >10% risk of developing ovarian cancer in the UK Familial Ovarian Cancer Screening Study (UKFOCSS), 10 of 19 cancers (53%) were detected in stage I or II, reflecting a significant stage shift (17). In the United States, a similar strategy used the ROCA every 3 months to screen 3,692 patients at increased risk in two distinct trials (18). Nineteen cancers were detected: 4 were prevalent, 6 were incident and 9 were detected at risk reducing surgery (RRS). Among incident cases, 3 of 6 (50%) were in early stage (I–II) also reflecting a significant stage shift compared with historical controls, whereas 6 of 9 (67%) of cancers found at RRS were in stage I. Early-stage patients remained free from recurrence at 6 years. Although these trials are encouraging, in that they document increased detection of early-stage disease by ROCA in women at increased genetic risk, they do not provide definitive evidence of improved survival. Definitive evidence would require screening trials that randomized patients to a screened...
arm or to a control arm (with mortality as an endpoint) and such trials are not likely to be performed given the very high risk of developing ovarian cancer.

**Improving the Initial Stage of Screening**

Whatever the outcome of the reanalysis of the UKCTOCS, there is room for improvement in both the first and second stages of a two-stage screening strategy. Within primary tumors, only 80% of ovarian cancers express significant levels of CA125. Consequently, using CA125 alone during initial screening will miss at least 20% of ovarian cancers. Computer simulations suggest that a panel of biomarkers that improves the sensitivity of CA125 without compromising specificity could reduce overall mortality by 25% compared with 13% with CA125 alone (4).

Improved sensitivity is likely to be required for effective screening. Brown and Palmer (19) had modeled the growth of high-grade serous ovarian cancers in women with BRCA1 germ line mutations, and estimated that the median diameter of a serous ovarian cancer when it progresses to an advanced stage (III–IV) is approximately 3 cm. If their model is correct, to achieve a sensitivity of 50%, a screening strategy would need to detect cancers of 1.3 cm in diameter and a 50% reduction in mortality would require detecting cancers 0.5 cm in diameter. Hori and Gambhir (20) have estimated, on the basis of likely rates of shedding for CA125, that tumors must grow to 2.5 cm to raise blood levels of the antigen above the standard cutoff. Given the fact that early-stage (I–II) disease has been detected in 40%–67% of patients with ROCA through rising levels of CA125 in the UKCTOCS and NROSS trials, these estimates may be pessimistic, but do point to the need to identify biomarkers and panels with greater sensitivity and comparable specificity with ROCA using CA125 alone.

**Protein antigens**

Over the last two decades, our laboratory and those of our collaborators have evaluated 110 potential biomarkers with the goal of increasing the fraction of early-stage ovarian cancers detected by CA125 alone from approximately 60%–70% to >90%, while retaining 98% specificity. In published studies to date, more than 35 different biomarkers have been reported to improve the sensitivity of CA125 for detecting early-stage disease (21–25). Many of these biomarkers detect only a small fraction of cases missed by CA125; most studies include a relatively small number of early-stage cases; and often the utility of combinations has not been confirmed with an independent validation set.

The combination of CA125 and human epididymis protein 4 (HE4) has received particular attention (26–28). HE4, also known as Whey acidic protein (WFDC2), is a 25-kD–secreted protein that was elevated in 73% of ovarian cancers of all stages at 89% specificity in a meta-analysis of 31 reports (29). HE4 is slightly less sensitive than CA125 for detecting early-stage ovarian cancer, but has better specificity for distinguishing malignant from benign pelvic masses. CA125 and HE4 have been used in combination to triage patients for specialized surgery. Significantly better outcomes have been observed when women with ovarian cancer are treated by specially trained gynecologic oncologists who can perform more aggressive cytoreductive surgery and provide intensive chemotherapy (30). As only 20% of pelvic masses are malignant, it is often not clear who needs the care of the specially trained surgeon. A combination of CA125 and HE4 has been used in the risk of malignancy algorithm (ROMA; refs. 32, 33) and has been supplemented by three other biomarkers (transferrin, apolipoprotein A1, and follicle stimulating hormone) in the OVERA test (34) to distinguish malignant from benign pelvic masses. Development of both the ROMA algorithm and OVERA test was supported by the Early Detection Research Network (EDRN) and both have been cleared by the FDA. These tests can aid in referring women with pelvic masses to the physicians best qualified to manage their cancer or benign disease. In their registration trials, both the ROMA and OVERA predicted women likely to have a malignant pelvic mass with 91%–94% sensitivity, 69%–74% specificity, and 97%–99% negative-predictive value.
In a recent direct comparison, OVERA detected more cancers than ROMA (35), but would also have prompted substantially more referrals of patients with benign disease to gynecologic oncologists. Although additional trials will be required to confirm these differences, the greatest need is to use either test more consistently to enhance referral of appropriate patients to the best qualified surgeons.

In developing panels of biomarkers for early detection, several studies have incorporated CA125 and HE4 in combination with CA72.4 (36), CA72.4 and CA15–3 (37), CEA and V-CAM1 (38), glycodulin (22), E-cadherin and IL-6 (39) or transthyretin (40). Addition of HE4 and CA72.4 to CA125, for example, detects 16% of cases missed by CA125, but does not provide lead-time before elevation of CA125 (36). Although CA125 and HE4 levels are elevated in serous (41, 42) and endometrioid ovarian cancers (42, 43), they are less frequently elevated with the mucinous histotype (41, 44), whereas serous (41, 42) and endometrioid ovarian cancers (42, 43), they are missed by CA125, but does not provide lead-time before elevation of CA125 (41, 44). CA72.4 has, however, proven difficult to model statistically, but a new longitudinal ROCA algorithm that combines CA125 and HE4 is being developed with support from the EDRN.

Using preclinical serum samples in the UKCTOCS biobank from women destined to develop ovarian cancer, investigators at Manchester, UK, have identified three panels of biomarkers in combination with CA125: The following are lecithin–cholesterol acyltransferase (LCAT) and insulin-like growth factor-binding protein 2 (IGFBP2; ref 23); phosphatidylcholine–sterol acyltransferase, vitamin K-dependent protein Z and C-reactive protein (24); and HE4, CH3L1, PEBP4 and/or AGR2 (25). Each panel detected a fraction of cases missed by CA125 and produced lead time over CA125 of 5–6 months (23) to a year (25) or more (24). Finding an adequate number of preclinical serum samples to validate these panels and to identify the optimal combinations of biomarkers will be a challenge.

High-grade serous cancers could arise from the fimbriae of the fallopian tube both in women at high and normal genetic risk. Although the exact fraction of these cases remains unknown, at least 70% of the 15% of BRCA 1/II-mutated cancers or 10% of all ovarian cancers are likely to arise from the fallopian tube (16). In addition, 20% of the “primary peritoneal” high-grade serous ovarian cancers coat the ovary, rather than grow from it at the time of primary surgery and these cancers could well arise from the fallopian tube. Taken together, at least 30% of high-grade serous cancers arising from the fallopian tube could be shed into the peritoneal cavity when still quite small in volume. To obtain elevated levels of shed protein antigens in blood, a larger volume of cancer may be required.

Autoantibodies

Autoantibodies against cancer associated proteins could be stimulated by very small ovarian cancers. Nearly all high-grade serous cancers have TP53 mutations and autoantibodies against TP53 have been reported in approximately 20%–25% of cases in multiple reports. Our group, with the support of the EDRN, had studied anti-TP53 autoantibodies in sera from women who had participated in the UKCTOCS and had donated blood months to years before the diagnosis of ovarian cancer. Elevated levels of anti-TP53 could be detected on average 8 months before the elevation of CA125 and 22 months before clinical diagnosis in patients who did not experience an increase in CA125 (46).

Panels of autoantibodies have been identified that include anti-TP53. From a screen of 5,177 potential autoantigens, anti-prostaglandin F receptor (anti-PGFR) and anti-protein tyrosine phosphatase, receptor type A (anti-PTPRA) were found to complement anti-TP53 (47). Although each autoantibody detected 22%–32% of ovarian cancers at 95% specificity, a sensitivity of 23% could be achieved at 98.3% specificity, when levels of 2 of the 3 autoantibodies were elevated. Recently, a panel of autoantibodies against TP53, TRIM-21, NY-ESO-1 (CTAG-1A), and PAX-8 achieved a sensitivity of 46%–56% at a specificity of 98% (48). Interestingly, additional autoantibodies have been detected to other proteins at nodes in TP53- and MYC-driven pathways that are known to underly ovarian oncogenesis (49).

Other investigators have identified a number of other autoantibodies that have been well reviewed (50–52). Earlier results by other groups have been difficult to replicate, but IL-8 autoantibodies were increased in sera from patients with ovarian cancer (53). Building on previous studies (54) and supported by the EDRN, our group had found that a combination of CA125, osteopontin, macrophage inhibitory factor, and anti-IL8 autoantibodies could detect 82% of early-stage ovarian cancers compared with 64% with CA125 alone at 98% specificity (55). Although most studies have focused on IgG autoantibodies, IgA autoantibodies against HSF-1, as well as IgG autoantibodies against antigens and HE4 is being developed with support from the EDRN.

Autoantibodies against HE4 and HE4 antigen–autoantibody complexes have been evaluated by our group in early-stage (I–II) ovarian cancer, once again supported by the EDRN. Although free autoantibodies were observed in less than 5% of cases, antigen–autoantibody complexes were found in 38% of early-stage cases at 98% specificity (57). Use of CA125 and HE4 antigen–autoantibody complexes in combination, increases the fraction of cases detected from 63% with CA125 alone to 81% with both biomarkers. The levels of HE4 antigen–autoantibody complexes did not, however, rise earlier than did levels of CA125.

Noncoding RNA

Serum miRNAs also have potential for early detection of ovarian cancer. Changes in different miRNA levels in ovarian cancer cells or tissue have been correlated with proliferation, migration, invasion, and chemosensitivity (58). From a recent review, at least 15 miRNAs are upregulated and 9 downregulated in serum or plasma from patients with ovarian cancer. Neural network analysis has been applied to develop an algorithm that uses multiple miRNAs to achieve a PPV of 91.3% and a negative-predictive value of 78.6% in a validation set of sera from 51 patients with ovarian disease (29 ovarian cancer cases, 22 benign controls; ref. 59). Studies with larger numbers of sera from patients with early-stage ovarian cancer and healthy controls will be required to determine the full potential of miRNAs for early detection.

DNA

Circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) in blood and cervical secretions can be detected in 55% of early-stage ovarian cancers and detects cases missed by CA125 alone in preliminary studies from Johns Hopkins (60). Obtaining cervical material may be important as tumors of ovarian cancers at 95% specificity, a sensitivity of 23% could be achieved at 98.3% specificity, when levels of 2 of the 3 autoantibodies were elevated. Recently, a panel of autoantibodies against TP53, TRIM-21, NY-ESO-1 (CTAG-1A), and PAX-8 achieved a sensitivity of 46%–56% at a specificity of 98% (48). Interestingly, additional autoantibodies have been detected to other proteins at nodes in TP53- and MYC-driven pathways that are known to underly ovarian oncogenesis (49).

Other investigators have identified a number of other autoantibodies that have been well reviewed (50–52). Earlier results by other groups have been difficult to replicate, but IL-8 autoantibodies were increased in sera from patients with ovarian cancer (53). Building on previous studies (54) and supported by the EDRN, our group had found that a combination of CA125, osteopontin, macrophage inhibitory factor, and anti-IL8 autoantibodies could detect 82% of early-stage ovarian cancers compared with 64% with CA125 alone at 98% specificity (55). Although most studies have focused on IgG autoantibodies, IgA autoantibodies against HSF-1, as well as IgG autoantibodies against antigens and HE4 is being developed with support from the EDRN.

Autoantibodies against HE4 and HE4 antigen–autoantibody complexes have been evaluated by our group in early-stage (I–II) ovarian cancer, once again supported by the EDRN. Although free autoantibodies were observed in less than 5% of cases, antigen–autoantibody complexes were found in 38% of early-stage cases at 98% specificity (57). Use of CA125 and HE4 antigen–autoantibody complexes in combination, increases the fraction of cases detected from 63% with CA125 alone to 81% with both biomarkers. The levels of HE4 antigen–autoantibody complexes did not, however, rise earlier than did levels of CA125.

Noncoding RNA

Serum miRNAs also have potential for early detection of ovarian cancer. Changes in different miRNA levels in ovarian cancer cells or tissue have been correlated with proliferation, migration, invasion, and chemosensitivity (58). From a recent review, at least 15 miRNAs are upregulated and 9 downregulated in serum or plasma from patients with ovarian cancer. Neural network analysis has been applied to develop an algorithm that uses multiple miRNAs to achieve a PPV of 91.3% and a negative-predictive value of 78.6% in a validation set of sera from 51 patients with ovarian disease (29 ovarian cancer cases, 22 benign controls; ref. 59). Studies with larger numbers of sera from patients with early-stage ovarian cancer and healthy controls will be required to determine the full potential of miRNAs for early detection.

DNA

Circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) in blood and cervical secretions can be detected in 55% of early-stage ovarian cancers and detects cases missed by CA125 alone in preliminary studies from Johns Hopkins (60). Obtaining cervical material may be important as tumors >1 cm in diameter may be required to raise blood levels of ctDNA (61). Shed tumor cells and cell-free DNA can pass through the fallopian tube and uterine cavity to the cervical os, without the significant dilution that occurs when DNA is shed from the cancer into peripheral blood.

Recent development of a repetitive element aneuploidy sequencing system (RealSeq) to detect aneuploidy in ctDNA from as little as 3 pg of DNA in relatively small amounts of plasma promises to improve sensitivity for early-stage high-grade ovarian cancers with copy-number abnormalities (62). Using this approach, aneuploidy was detected in 62% and mutations in 73% of ovarian cancer plasma samples, although only 25% of cases were in early stage (I–II).
DNA methylation

Methylation-specific PCR has been used to compare ctDNA in sera from patients with early-stage ovarian cancer and healthy individuals for seven different genes, including APC, RASSF1A, CHDH1, RUNX3, TPFF2, SRP5, and OPCML (63). The panel achieved 85% sensitivity at 91% specificity for early-stage disease, compared with 56% sensitivity and 64% specificity for CA125. In a more recent study, a three methylated gene panel was used to evaluate sera from the control arm of the UKCTOCS trial where 57.9% of women who developed ovarian cancer within 2 years were detected at a specificity of 88.1% (64). Data are not yet available for the sensitivity of ctDNA methylation analysis at the high specificity (95%–98%) required in the first stage of a two-stage screening strategy.

Universal cancer screening

Detection of abnormal cancer–derived DNA sequences, copy number and methylation in plasma has raised the possibility of screening for cancer at multiple sites using a single blood sample. Once abnormalities are detected in DNA, there is still the challenge of identifying the organ from which the DNA was shed, using organ-specific protein biomarkers or imaging. Although this approach could enhance the convenience and efficiency of early detection, universal cancer screening must attain the sensitivity, specificity, and cost-effectiveness of organ-specific cancer screening. Ovarian cancer has been included in multisite screening strategies that detect ctDNA mutations in blood and then use concomitantly elevated CA125 levels to localize the source of mutant DNA from the ovary or fallopian tube (65). The CancerSEEK combination of DNA sequencing and protein biomarkers detected ovarian cancer with 98% sensitivity at 99% specificity among a group of 1,005 patients clinically detected with different types of cancer, but 76% of patients detected were in stage III where long-term survival is less than 30% and where CA125 alone would have a sensitivity of >90% at 97% specificity. In the subsequent DETECT-A study of 10,006 women not previously known to have cancer, testing for DNA mutations and protein biomarkers detected 26 cancers and 15 were confirmed by PET-CT (66). Among the 26 cancers, 6 were ovarian and only one was in early-stage (17%). To assure specificity, the CA125 threshold was set at 577 U/mL, 16 times the usual threshold of CA125, that would have missed all 10 early-stage cancers found in the NROSS. Although universal cancer screening is an attractive concept, additional work needs to be done to improve upon strategies specific for a given cancer.

Screening Symptomatic Women

Ovarian cancer is not a “silent killer,” as 89% of early-stage (I–II) disease is associated with new onset of symptoms, including (i) gastrointestinal symptoms of nausea, diarrhea and constipation, (ii) abdominal and pelvic pain, (iii) bloating, increased girth and early satiety, and (iv) urinary urgency and frequency. These symptoms are, however, associated with many more common conditions. A case-control study with 2,025 participants found that a symptom index detected <0.5% of early-stage (I–II) disease and <1.1% of ovarian cancers overall (67). Addition of CA125 might improve detection. A recent study of 50,780 women in the UK found that an elevated CA125 (>35 U/mL) in symptomatic women was associated with PPVs of 15.2% (>50 years) and 3.4% (<50 years) for developing ovarian cancer within 12 months (68).

Improving the Second Stage of Screening

Ovarian cancers can be imaged by multiple techniques, including TVS, CT, PET-CT, and MRI. Aside from higher cost, CT’s ability to detect malignancy in an adnexal mass is comparable with TVS and exposes healthy individuals to ionizing radiation (69). PET-CT is also associated with radiation exposure and has relatively low spatial resolution, limiting detection of small tumors. PET/CT is also associated with physiologic uptake in normal structures that may obscure small pelvic malignancies or lead to false positives (67). Using CT or PET-CT, a wide range in sensitivity (58%–100%) and specificity (67%–92%) has been reported for the detection of ovarian malignancies in women with adnexal masses (70–73). MRI has reported greater accuracy and specificity in the diagnosis of malignant adnexal masses (89% and 84%, respectively, vs. 64% and 40%; ref. 74). Because of high cost and more limited availability of MRI, TVS is generally the first-line test for conventional diagnosis of a pelvic mass.

Although the consensus of most critical reviewers is that TVS lacks both adequate sensitivity and specificity for early detection (75), one large cohort study at the University of Kentucky has monitored 46,101 asymptomatic women over age 50 as well as women greater than 25 years of age with a positive family history of ovarian cancer using TVS and Doppler flow ultrasonography (76). Among the 71 invasive epithelial ovarian cancers detected over three decades, 63% were early stage (I–II). Disease-specific survival at 5, 10, and 20 years for women whose invasive ovarian cancers were detected by screening was significantly greater than that observed in unscreened patients from the same geographic area treated at the same institution (P < 0.001). Although the PPV for ultrasound screening was 15.6%, the prevalence of ovarian cancer in the screened population was 24-fold higher than in the general population and if the observed sensitivity and specificity were applied to the general population, the PPV would drop to 0.7% (77). The high prevalence of ovarian cancer in the screened population may relate to a family history of ovarian cancer in 23% and of breast cancer in 43%. Moreover, 27% had type I cancers that are commonly diagnosed in early stage. The remarkable results from Kentucky may also relate to highly competent investigators using a technology that depends critically on experience and expertise.

Although well-trained imagers can obtain concordant results (78), re-review of 1,000 archived cases with apparently normal morphology from the early years of the UKCTOCS indicated unsatisfactory visualization of the ovaries and tubes in 50% of cases (79). Imaging of the fallopian tubes, the site of origin of at least one third of high-grade serous ovarian cancers, can be particularly difficult in the absence of conditions that cause thickening of the tube or accumulation of intra-luminal fluid. Even in the most expert centers, fallopian tubes could not be visualized in 23% of 549 healthy women (80).

Conversely, detecting irregularities of ovarian size and shape can lead to operative intervention, accounting for the limited specificity of TVS in the PLCO trial. Similar challenges in distinguishing malignant from benign lesions, increased cost and limited resolution of small lesions, argue against the use of MRI, CT or PET-CT for primary screening (75).

Specificity of TVS has been improved by Doppler flow (81) or use of microbubbles (82) that characterize blood flow within the tumor, but sensitivity is not necessarily improved. Photoacoustic imaging can detect early-tumor vascularization, but this technique is limited to a tissue depth of 5 cm with a decline in spatial resolution with increasing
depth. Combination of photoacoustic tomography with ultrasound can, however, partially compensate for these limitations (83).

In the UKCTOCS study, a fraction of patients was found to have a rising CA125 as judged by an elevated ROCA and normal TVS. In this setting, a method is needed to detect ovarian cancer with or without precise imaging. One technology that shows promise is Superconducting Quantum Interference Detection (SQUID), a very sensitive method to detect faint magnetic fields (84). To provide a probe to detect ovarian cancer, anti-CA125 antibodies have been conjugated with ferritin nanospheres. After injection intravenously or interperitoneally, unbound nanoparticles fail to give a signal when exposed to a magnetic pulse. When antibody-conjugated nanospheres bind to ovarian cancer cells, relaxation of the magnetic field is delayed. By measuring this delay in magnetic relaxation, 10 9 ovarian cancer cells (0.1 mm) can be detected ex vivo (85, 86). Preclinical studies are underway to determine whether antibody-conjugated nanoparticles can localize effectively to human ovarian cancers xenografts. To compensate for intertumoral heterogeneity, a panel of four antibodies has been identified that can bind to >99% of ovarian cancers.

Conclusions

If the UKCTOCS shows a mortality advantage when an additional five years of follow-up is analyzed, the similarly designed NROSS trial has demonstrated that screening with CA125 followed by TVS is feasible in the United States. The first stage of screening can be improved by the addition of other protein antigens, autoantibodies, antigen–autoantibody complexes and possibly by miRNAs, ctDNA, and methylated ctDNA. Development of more sensitive imaging and detection methods could detect small amounts of cancer on the ovary or fallopian tube missed by TVS. Finding preclinical disease at an earlier stage could reduce long-term ovarian cancer mortality by 10%–30%.
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