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Abstract

Overall cancer death rates in the United States have declined since 1990. The decline could be accelerated by eliminating socioeconomic and racial disparities in major risk factors and screening utilization. We provide an updated review of the prevalence of modifiable cancer risk factors, screening, and vaccination for U.S. adults, focusing on differences by educational attainment and race/ethnicity. Individuals with lower educational attainment have higher prevalence of modifiable cancer risk factors and lower prevalence of screening versus their more educated counterparts. Smoking prevalence is 6-fold higher among males without a high school (HS) education than female college graduates. Nearly half of women without a college degree are obese versus about one third of college graduates. Over 50% of black and Hispanic women are obese compared with 38% of whites and 15% of Asians. Breast, cervical, and colorectal cancer screening utilization is 20% to 30% lower among those with <HS education compared with college graduates. Screening for breast, cervical, and colorectal cancers is also lower among Hispanics, Asians, and American Indians/Alaska Natives relative to whites and blacks. Enhanced, multilevel efforts are needed to further reduce the prevalence of modifiable risk factors and improve screening and vaccination, particularly among those with lower socioeconomic status and racial/ethnic minorities.

Introduction

In the United States, cancer death rates have steadily declined since the 1990s (1). Yet in 2019 about 607,000 cancer deaths are expected to occur (2), approximately 45% of which are caused by potentially modifiable risk factors such as cigarette smoking, excess body weight (EBW), alcohol intake, physical inactivity, and unhealthy diet (3). For example, cigarette smoking accounts for approximately 29% of all cancer deaths, but this proportion is expected to be larger among populations that continue to smoke at high rates, including those with lower educational attainment (4). Among women, EBW accounts for about 7% of all cancer deaths, but this estimate could be larger among certain racial/ethnic groups, including black and Hispanic women among whom more than 50% are obese (5, 6).

Assessing the current prevalence of cancer risk factors, vaccination, and screening is an important component of monitoring progress and strengthening cancer control efforts. We previously provided an overview of prevalence patterns of major risk factors, cancer screening, and vaccination for both adults and youth (where applicable) in the United States (7); herein, we update with data through 2017, focusing on educational and racial/ethnic disparities.

Materials and Methods

Data from publicly available population-based surveys were used to estimate the prevalence of cancer risk factors and screening utilization. These surveys included the National Health Interview Survey (NHIS), Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), and National Immunization Survey-Teen (NIS-Teen). NHIS is an annual computer-assisted in-person household survey of noninstitutionalized adults ≥18 years designed to provide national estimates for health and sociodemographic factors. In 2015 and 2017, the response rates were 55.2% and 53.0%, respectively (8, 9). Data from the 2017 NHIS were used to estimate cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, and physical inactivity prevalence as well as sociodemographic and healthcare characteristics. The most recent ultraviolet radiation (UVR) exposure and cancer screening data were ascertained in the 2015 survey. BRFSS is a computer-assisted telephone-based survey of adults ≥18 years designed to provide state-level estimates for health behaviors and was used to estimate fruit and vegetable intake in 2017; the median response rate for this survey was 45.8% (10). NHANES collects data through in-person interviews and physical examinations by trained personnel. The 2015–2016 NHANES data were used to estimate the prevalence of overweight and obesity among adults ≥20 years based on physical examination data, with a response rate of 54.8% (6). Published data on human papillomavirus (HPV) and hepatitis B virus (HBV) vaccination were obtained from the 2017 NIS-Teen (11); HPV initiation rates were computed using methods described elsewhere (12).

Educational attainment is often used as a marker of socioeconomic status (SES) in studies evaluating disparities in cancer mortality (1, 13). We similarly examined cancer risk factor and screening prevalence by self-reported educational...
Tobacco

According to the 2014 Surgeon General’s Report, smoking increases the risk of cancers of the oral cavity and pharynx, larynx, lung, esophagus, pancreas, uterine cervix, kidney, bladder, stomach, colorectum, liver, and acute myeloid leukemia (18). Additionally, evidence suggests that tobacco smoking may increase the risk of fatal prostate cancer and a rare type of ovarian cancer (18, 19).

Cigarette smoking

In 2017, more than 34 million adults (≥18 years, overall: 14.1%, men: 16.0%, women: 12.3%) were current cigarette smokers (Table 1). Despite significant overall declines, smoking prevalence remains substantially higher among some populations (4, 20). For example, smoking prevalence ranged from 5.0% among female college graduates to 30.1% of males with <HS education (Fig. 1A). Among both men and women, smoking prevalence was lowest among Asians (10.6% and 3.6%, respectively) and highest among Al/AIs (27.3% and 21.5%, respectively; Fig. 2A).

Use of other forms of tobacco

Cigar smoking increases the risk of lung, oral cavity, larynx, and esophageal (24–26) cancers. In 2017, 6.8% of men and 1.1% of women were current cigar smokers (9). Among men, 6.5% of those with some college education were current cigar smokers compared with 7.4% of those with HS education. Among women, 1.8% of those with <HS education were cigar smokers compared with <1% of those with at least some college education. Cigar smoking was more common in blacks (5.8%) and Al/AIs (5.3%) than in whites (4.2%) and Hispanics (2.0%; ref. 9).

Tobacco used in other combustible forms such as pipes, roll-your-own products, and waterpipes increases the risk of lung, gastric, and esophageal cancers (24–26). In the United States, waterpipe smoking among college students is of particular concern as 30% to 50% have reported ever use (27–29). In 2017, 1.1% of adults were current pipe users; prevalence was lower among Hispanics (<1%) than whites (1.2%) and blacks (1.3%; ref. 9). There was little variation by education.

Smokeless tobacco products (e.g., chewing tobacco, moist snuff, snus) can increase the risk of oral, esophageal, and pancreatic cancers (19). Among adults, smokeless tobacco use has remained stable since 2003 (30). In 2017, an estimated 4.1% of men and <1% of women were current smokeless tobacco users (9). Use was most common among white males (5.9%) and males with a HS education (5.7%).

E-cigarettes are newer products promoted as an alternative to conventional cigarettes. Although evidence suggests that currently available e-cigarettes are likely less harmful than conventional cigarettes (e.g., fewer and lower concentrations of toxicants have been found in e-cigarette vapor compared with conventional cigarette smoke), long-term risks are unknown (31, 32). Additionally, adolescent and young adult

| Table 1. Current cigarette smoking, adults 18 years and older, NHIS 2017 |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                | Males Weight % | Females Weight % | Overall Weight % |
| Age category   |                |                 |                 |
| 18–24          | 12.0           | 8.8             | 10.4             |
| 25–44          | 19.3           | 13.0            | 16.1             |
| 45–64          | 17.4           | 15.6            | 16.5             |
| 65–74          | 11.3           | 9.3             | 10.2             |
| 75+            | 5.5            | 5.1             | 5.3              |
| Race/ethnicity |                |                 |                 |
| Hispanic       | 12.9           | 6.9             | 9.8              |
| White          | 16.9           | 14.8            | 15.3             |
| Black          | 19.1           | 11.6            | 15.9             |
| Asian          | 10.6           | 3.6             | 6.8              |
| Al/AN          | 27.3           | 21.5            | 24.5             |
| Other          |                |                 |                  |
| Educational attainment (≥25 years) | | |
| <HS            | 30.1           | 19.7            | 24.9             |
| HS             | 24.1           | 18.9            | 21.7             |
| Some college   | 18.0           | 16.5            | 17.2             |
| College graduate | 7.0          | 5.0             | 5.9              |
| Health insurance |            |                 |                  |
| Uninsured      | 27.9           | 18.2            | 23.0             |
| Private        | 11.6           | 8.9             | 10.3             |
| Medicaid/public| 31.5           | 24.9            | 27.5             |
| Medicare       | 10.2           | 6.9             | 8.3              |
| Medicare + Medicaid | 13.9        | 10.1            | 11.5             |
| Other          | 22.5           | 16.6            | 19.6             |
| Visited a physician in the past year | | |
| Yes            | 14.1           | 11.6            | 12.7             |
| No             | 25.7           | 19.5            | 23.2             |
| Income         |                |                 |                  |
| Poor <100% FPL | 26.8           | 22.6            | 24.2             |
| Near poor 100%–199% FPL | 25.1        | 16.4            | 20.3             |
| Non-poor ≥200% FPL | 13.1         | 9.4             | 11.3             |
| Immigration status |            |                 |                  |
| Born in the USA* | 17.2          | 14.4            | 15.8             |
| In the USA, fewer than 10 years | 12.7         | b               | 7.1              |
| In the USA, 10+ years | 11.5       | 4.2             | 7.8              |
| Region         |                |                 |                  |
| Northeast      | 12.1           | 10.7            | 11.3             |
| Midwest        | 18.2           | 16.0            | 17.1             |
| South          | 18.6           | 13.0            | 15.7             |
| West           | 13.2           | 8.8             | 11.1             |

NOTE: Estimates are age adjusted to 2000 standard U.S. population. Estimates for white, black, Al/AN, Asian, and other are among non-Hispanics. Estimate for Asian does not include Native Hawaiians or other Pacific Islanders. Abbreviation: FPL, federal poverty level.

*Includes 50 states and the District of Columbia.

*Estimate not provided due to instability.

e-cigarette users may be 2 to 4 times more likely than nonusers to begin using combustible tobacco products (33–35).

The proportion of adults who reportedly ever tried e-cigarettes increased dramatically between 2010 (36) and 2017 (9). In 2017, 2.9% of adults were current e-cigarette users, with differences by education (college: 1.4%; HS: 3.9%) and race/ethnicity (Hispanic: 1.6%; white: 3.7%; ref. 9). Half (50.6%) of current e-cigarette users were also current cigarette (conventional) smokers. Conventional smokers may concurrently use e-cigarettes, for example, to reduce or stop smoking, circumvent some smoke-free policies, or comply with social norms (37–39).

Tobacco cessation
Smokers who quit can expect to live as many as 10 years longer than those who continue to smoke, with the largest benefit for those who quit earliest (18, 40). Approximately 61.7% of the 89.5 million Americans who have ever smoked 100 cigarettes in their lifetime are former smokers (9). Among current smokers, in 2017, 16.8 million (48.8%) reported attempting to quit for at least one day in the past year. By education, the proportion of current smokers who made quit attempts ranged from about 45% to 50%; the variation by race/ethnicity was wider (approximately 40% to 60%; ref. 9). Those with lower levels of educational attainment are less often successful at quitting possibly because they are less likely to both use nicotine replacement therapy (NRT) for longer periods and have smoking banned at home (41). Smoking-related morbidity can be reduced with the use of evidence-based tobacco dependence interventions (42). However, less than one third of all those who try to quit report using counseling, NRT, and/or other medication (43).

Secondhand smoke
In the United States, secondhand smoke (SHS) exposure was attributed to about 3% of lung cancer deaths in 2014 (3). Among nonsmokers, SHS exposure declined from 88% in 1988–1991 to 25% in 2013–2014 (unchanged from 2011–2012; ref. 44). However, people living below the poverty level (47.9%), blacks (50.3%), and people living in rental housing (38.6%) had considerably higher exposure (44). Almost 60% of the U.S. population is covered by comprehensive laws that prohibit smoking in all non-hospitality workplaces (such as offices, factories, and warehouses), restaurants, and bars; 25 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands have comprehensive, state-wide, smoke-free laws (45). However, among states without such laws, people residing in areas with lower measures of SES are less likely to be covered by local smoke-free restrictions, possibly contributing to disparities in SHS exposure (46).
Figure 2.
A–I, Prevalence of major, modifiable cancer risk factors and screening utilization by race/ethnicity, US adults, NHIS 2015 and 2017, NHANES 2015–16, and BRFSS 2017. AIAN, American Indian/Alaska Native; W, white; B, black; H, Hispanic; A, Asian; BMI, body mass index; UTD, up-to-date; CRC, colorectal cancer. aHeavier alcohol consumption defined as ≥12 drinks in lifetime, and (male) ≥14 drinks per week in past year OR (female) ≥7 drinks per week in past year. Estimates for Asian males and AIAN are not presented due to instability. bWithin the past year. *Cervical cancer screening estimate is among women with intact uteri. Up-to-date cervical cancer screening: Pap test in the past 3 years among women 21–65 years of age OR Pap test and human papillomavirus test within the past 5 years among women 30–65 years of age. Up-to-date colorectal cancer screening: either a fecal occult blood test or fecal immunochemical test within the past year, sigmoidoscopy within the past 5 years, or a colonoscopy within the past 10 years. Note: Estimates for white, black, Asian, and AIAN are among non-Hispanics. Sources: National Health Interview Survey 2015 and 2017. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2015–16. Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System 2017.

EBW, Alcohol, Diet, and Physical Activity

Approximately 16% of cancer deaths in the United States can be attributed to a combination of overweight and obesity, excess consumption of alcoholic beverages, poor diet, and insufficient physical activity (3). Adults who most closely follow the American Cancer Society’s Guidelines on Nutrition and Physical Activity for Cancer Prevention (Supplementary Table S1; ref. 47) are less likely to be diagnosed with and die from cancer (48).

EBW

Being overweight or obese increases the risk of uterine corpus, esophageal (adenocarcinoma), liver, stomach (gastric cardia), kidney (renal cell), brain (meningioma), multiple myeloma, pancreatic, colorectum, gallbladder, ovarian, female breast (post-menopausal), and thyroid cancers (49). Excess body fatness may also be associated with an increased risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma (diffuse large B-cell lymphoma), male breast cancer, and fatal prostate cancer (49). In 2014, about 7% of cancer deaths could be attributed to EBW (3).

The prevalence of overweight (BMI ≥ 25.0–29.9 kg/m²) has remained relatively stable over the past several decades. In 2015–2016, 36.5% of men and 26.9% of women were overweight (5). Among both men and women, prevalence was lowest among those with some college education (Table 2; Fig. 1B). By race/ethnicity, among men, overweight prevalence ranged from 34.0% among blacks to 40.0% among Hispanics (Fig. 2B). The prevalence among women was slightly lower, ranging from about 24% of Asians and blacks to 29.4% of Hispanics. The prevalence of obesity (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²) in adults has drastically increased over time. In 1960–1962, 10.7% of men and 15.8% of women were obese; in 2015–2016, these proportions were 37.9% and 41.1%, respectively (5), representing about 93.3 million adults (https://www.cdc.gov/obesity/data/adult.html). By education, obesity prevalence among women was far lower among college graduates (31.4%) than those with less education (47%–49%; Table 2; Fig. 1B). Among men, different patterns were observed; obesity was lower among those with <HS education and college graduates (33.2%) than those with some college education (48.5%). By race/ethnicity, over half of black (54.9%) and Hispanic (50.6%) women were classified as obese compared with 38.0% of white women (Fig. 2B). Differences in obesity rates were not as striking among men (Hispanic: 43.1%, white: 37.9%, black: 36.9%). Asian men (10.1%) and women...
(14.8%) had lower obesity prevalence. Overall, 69.8% of whites, 75.1% of blacks, and 81.7% of Hispanics had EBW.

Alcohol consumption increases the risk of mouth, pharyngeal, laryngeal, and esophageal cancers far more than the independent effect of either drinking or smoking alone (52). In 2014, about 4% of all cancer deaths in the United States could be attributed to alcohol consumption (3). In 2017, an estimated 5.3% of adults were classified as heavier drinkers [12+ drinks in lifetime and (male) >14 drinks per week OR (female) >7 drinks per week in the past year], with similar prevalence by gender (Table 2). Heavier alcohol consumption increased with higher levels of education among women.
(<HS: 2.6%, college graduate: 7.3%); among men there was little variation by education (Fig. 1C). Among both men and women, the proportion of heavier drinking was higher among whites (men: 5.9%, women: 7.0%) than other race/ethnicities (Fig. 2C).

Diet

Unhealthy dietary patterns are associated with a higher risk of developing cancer (primarily colon; ref. 53), whereas adhering to a diet that contains a variety of fruits and vegetables, whole grains, and fish or poultry is associated with reduced cancer risk (54, 55). About 5% of cancer deaths in 2014 were attributed to poor diet (3). Overall, most Americans do not meet the guidelines for healthy eating (56). From 1999 to 2012, Mexican Americans and blacks as well as those with less than a college education consistently reported a less healthful diet than whites and college graduates, respectively (56).

Processed meats and red meat. The IARC has classified processed meat as a human carcinogen and unprocessed red meat as a probable carcinogen based on the evidence of their association with increased colorectal cancer risk (57). In the United States, intake of both unprocessed red meat and processed meat was stable from 1999–2000 to 2011–2012 (56). Since the mid-2000s, consumption of red meat has been similar among whites, blacks, and Hispanics (58).

Vegetables and fruits. There is probable evidence that greater consumption of non-starchy vegetables and fruits is associated with lower risk of mouth, pharyngeal, laryngeal, esophageal, and stomach cancers (30, 59). Some evidence also suggests that higher vegetable intake may lower the risk of aggressive, hard-to-treat breast tumors (60, 61).

In 2017, only about 16% of adults reported consuming ≥3 servings of vegetables per day (Supplementary Table S2). Variations by education were wider among women (<HS: 12.5%, college graduate: 23.4%) than men (<HS: 10.9%, college graduate: 15.4%; Fig. 1D). About one third of adults reported eating ≥2 servings of fruit daily, ranging from 25% to 26% among men with ≤HS degree to 43.4% of college-educated women (Fig. 1E). Vegetable consumption was substantially lower among Hispanics and blacks relative to whites, Asians, and AIANs for both sexes; fruit consumption was less variable (Fig. 2D and E).

Whole grains. The association between whole-grain foods and cancer has limited evidence, though higher intake of whole-grain foods and dietary fiber may reduce the risk of colorectal cancer (62). From 1999 to 2012, the average daily consumption of whole grains increased from 0.56 to 1 serving per day, yet the majority of adults do not meet recommended levels of consumption (56).

Physical Activity

An estimated 2% of cancer deaths can be attributed to physical inactivity (3). Physical activity can decrease the risk of colon (but not rectal) cancer and also probably reduce the risk of endometrial and postmenopausal breast cancers, among others (50).

In 2017, over a quarter (26.2%) of adults reported no leisure-time physical activity (Table 2). The disparity in education was vast, ranging from nearly half (48.7%) of people with <HS education compared with 14.4% of college graduates and was similar between sexes (Fig. 1F). The racial/ethnic disparity was narrower and varied by sex. Among men, Hispanics (34.3%) and blacks (31.3%) were more likely to report no leisure-time physical activity than whites (21.0%), Asians (21.2%), and AI/ANs (14.7%; Fig. 2F). Among women, Hispanics (37.2%), blacks (38.3%), and AI/ANs (36.5%) were more likely to be inactive than Asians (28.6%) and whites (22.9%). However, individuals with lower levels of educational attainment, blacks, and Hispanics are more likely to engage in work-related physical activity (63). The proportions of adults meeting leisure-time physical activity recommendations (Supplementary Table S1) are presented in Supplementary Table S3.

Ultraviolet Radiation

Ultraviolet radiation (UVR) causes basal cell and squamous cell carcinomas, as well as melanomas, which account for only about 1% of all skin cancer cases but the majority of skin cancer deaths (64). Approximately 7,000 invasive melanoma deaths are expected to occur in 2019 (2). UV-emitting indoor tanning devices are considered carcinogenic to humans based on their association with increased risk of cutaneous and ocular melanoma (65). The risk of melanoma is about 60% higher for people who began using indoor tanning devices before age 35 and increases with the number of total hours, sessions, or years of use (66, 67). An estimated 1.5% of cancer deaths can be attributed to UVR from sun exposure and indoor tanning (3).

UVR exposure and sun protection

Among adults, indoor tanning in the past year declined from 6.1% in 2010 to 3.6% in 2015 (8, 68). In 2015, indoor tanning device use in the past year ranged from 1.6% in those with <HS education to 3.8% and 4.0% to those with HS and some college education, respectively (Supplementary Table S4; Figs. 1C and 2G).

In 2015 (most recent available data), 35.4% of adults (men: 35.5%; women: 35.4%) reported having a sunburn in the past year either through outdoor exposure or indoor tanning device use (Supplementary Table S4). Those with <HS education were less likely to report having had a sunburn (19.7%) than those with higher levels of education (31%–39%; Fig. 1H). Sunburns in both men and women were more commonly reported among whites (46.5%) than other race/ethnicities (Fig. 2H). In 2015, about two thirds of men and three fourths of women reported that they typically take ≥1 action to protect themselves from the sun (69).

Infectious Agents

There are several infectious agents including HPV, Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori), HBV, and hepatitis C virus (HCV) that are known to cause cancer (70). In the United States in 2014, about 3% of all cancer deaths were attributed to infectious agents (3).
Human papillomavirus

Persistent infection with HPV accounts for virtually all cervical cancers, 90% of anal cancers, about 70% of oropharyngeal cancers, and 60% to 70% of vaginal, vulvar, and penile cancers (71). Incidence rates for several HPV-related cancers, including oropharyngeal, anal, and vulvar cancers, have increased in recent years; however, cervical cancer incidence rates have declined because of widespread screening that can prevent this cancer (72). There are more than 100 types of HPV; about 13 (“high-risk” types) are known to cause cancer. The current HPV vaccine protects against 9 types and has the potential to avert about 90% of HPV-related cancers (71).

In 2011–2014, an estimated 4% of adults had high-risk oral HPV and 23% had high-risk genital HPV infection. High-risk HPV (hrHPV) infection was higher among men (oral: 7%, genital: 25%) than women (oral: 1%, genital: 20%; ref. 73). hrHPV prevalence by educational attainment has not yet been reported. By race/ethnicity, high-risk oral HPV was lower among Asians (1%) than among Hispanics, whites, and blacks (3% to 4%). High-risk genital prevalence was more variable, ranging from 12% in Asians to 34% in blacks (73).

HPV vaccination is increasing among youth (11), though in 2017 only 53.1% and 44.3% of girls and boys age 13 to 17 years, respectively, were up-to-date (UTD) with HPV vaccination, well below nationwide goals of 80% (https://www.healthypeople.gov/2020/topics-objectives/topic/immunization-and-infectious-diseases/objectives; Supplementary Table S5). Vaccination before age 13 is recommended as it is more effective because this birth cohort represents the vast majority of the U.S. population. The U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) recommends one-time screening for those born between 1945 and 1965 because this birth cohort represents the vast majority of the HPV infections in the United States, and HPV-associated death rates are highest in this group (90).

In the United States, approximately 3.5 million persons are living with chronic HPV infection (91). HPV infection is more common among men, blacks, and those with lower SES (92). HPV infection prevalence is particularly high in certain groups, including the homeless (22%–53%), the incarcerated (23%–41%), and veterans (5%–11%; ref. 93). In 2015, approximately 14% of adults (men: 15%, women: 12%) born between 1945 and 1965 had ever been tested for HPV (94); testing was least common among people who were uninsured, Hispanic, and those with <HS education.

Helicobacter pylori

Chronic infection with H. pylori, a bacterium that grows in and causes damage to the stomach lining, may lead to stomach cancer and gastric lymphoma (75, 76). In the United States, about 21% of stomach cancer deaths are attributable to H. pylori infection (3). Most people will remain unaware of their infection because they do not experience symptoms and will not develop stomach cancer (77). In the United States, H. pylori infection ranges from 21.2% in whites to 52.0% in blacks and 64.0% in Mexican Americans (78), with higher prevalence among those who recently immigrated to the United States (79).

HBV

Chronic infection with HBV can cause liver cancer and is increasingly recognized as a risk factor for non-Hodgkin lymphoma (80, 81). In the United States, about 7% of liver cancer deaths are attributable to HBV (3). The overall prevalence of chronic HBV in the United States has remained unchanged since 1999 (0.3%). Approximately 850,000 to 2.2 million people are living with chronic HBV infection in the United States (82, 83). Based on 2007–2012 data, <0.1% whites and Mexican Americans, 0.6% of blacks, and 3.1% of Asians had chronic HBV infection (82). In general, HBV prevalence is higher among immigrants, particularly those born in South East Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa (83–85).

Vaccination against HBV is the primary prevention strategy. In 2017, 91.9% of adolescents had received ≥3 HBV vaccine doses (Supplementary Table S5) ranging from 82.1% in AI/ANs to about 92% in blacks, whites, and Hispanics (11). HBV vaccination was greater among adolescents at or above the poverty level than below poverty. Only 24.6% adults age ≥19 years received 3 doses of the HBV vaccine and among adults 19 to 49 years, vaccination was lower among Hispanics (22.5%) and blacks (29.4%) than whites (34.9%) and Asians (38.3%; ref. 86).

HCV

Chronic HCV infection can cause liver cancer and has been shown to increase the risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma (80, 87). Nearly a quarter of liver cancers in the United States are attributable to HCV (3). Liver cancer incidence and mortality rates have increased rapidly in the United States for several decades, as has HCV-related mortality; these increases are thought to be, in part, due to the HCV epidemic that began in the late 1960s primarily through injection drug use (88, 89). The U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) recommends one-time screening for those born between 1945 and 1965 because this birth cohort represents the vast majority of the HCV infections in the United States, and HCV-associated death rates are highest in this group (90).

In the United States, approximately 3.5 million persons are living with chronic HCV infection (91). HCV infection is more common among men, blacks, and those with lower SES (92). HCV infection prevalence is particularly high in certain groups, including the homeless (22%–53%), the incarcerated (23%–41%), and veterans (5%–11%; ref. 93). In 2015, approximately 14% of adults (men: 15%, women: 12%) born between 1945 and 1965 had ever been tested for HCV (94); testing was least common among people who were uninsured, Hispanic, and those with <HS education.

Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)

The weakened immune system of people with HIV/AIDS increases their risk of several cancers, including Kaposi sarcoma, non-Hodgkin and Hodgkin lymphomas, as well as anal and cervical cancers (80). Approximately 86%, 12%, 8%, 6%, and <1% of Kaposi sarcoma, anal cancer, non-Hodgkin and Hodgkin lymphomas, and cervical cancer deaths in the United States are attributed to HIV infection (3).

Since the mid-1990s, the prevalence of HIV infection has increased due to improvements in survival among those with HIV, which has also resulted in increased cumulative incidence and burden of cancer, including non–AIDS-related cancers, among persons living with HIV (95). In 2015, nearly 1 million adults and adolescents were estimated to be living with HIV, many of whom were unaware of their infection; the majority of people living with HIV are men and men who have sex with
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men (96). The prevalence of persons diagnosed with HIV is seven times higher in blacks and 2.5 times higher in Hispanics compared with whites (96).

**Cancer Screening**

Early detection of cancer through screening reduces mortality from cancers of the breast, uterine cervix, colon, rectum, and lung. In addition to detecting cancer early, screening for colorectal and cervical cancers can prevent these cancers by identifying precancerous lesions that can be removed (97).

**Breast cancer screening**

In 2019, approximately 42,800 breast cancer deaths were estimated to occur among U.S. women (2). In the United States, female breast cancer death rates overall have been declining since 1989, largely due to earlier detection and improvements in treatment (2).

In 1987, less than one third (29%) of women ≥40 years reported having a mammogram within the past 2 years compared with 70% in 2000 and 64% in 2015 (8, 98). In 2015, the American Cancer Society updated its recommendations stating that women ≥45 years be screened annually or biennially depending on age, including informed decision-making with their healthcare provider; women 40 to 44 years should have the opportunity to begin annual mammography (ref. 99; Supplementary Table S6). In 2015, about half (53.0%) of women ≥45 years reported having a mammogram within the past year, and two thirds (67.8%) reported having a mammogram within the past 2 years (Supplementary Table S7). The USPSTF recommends biennial mammography in women age 50 to 74 years, and in this age group, 71.5% reported receiving a mammogram in the past 2 years (100).

More than half of women ≥45 years with <HS degree (55.0%) reported having a mammogram in the past 2 years compared with 77.5% of college graduates (Fig. 11). Less than 65% of Hispanic, AI/AN, and Asian women had a mammogram in the past 2 years compared with 68.4% of whites and 71.0% of blacks (Fig. 21). However, overestimates of self-reported mammography are more prominent among racial/ethnic minorities, masking some disparities (101).

**Cervical cancer screening**

In 2019, 1,400 cervical cancer deaths were estimated to occur (2). Cervical cancer incidence and mortality rates have decreased by >50% over the past three decades, with most of the reduction attributed to Pap testing (refs. 2, 102).

Between 2000 and 2015, cervical cancer screening rates in women 21 to 65 years modestly declined (103). In 2015, 81.4% of women 21 to 65 years reported having a Pap test within the past 3 years and 83.2% reported being UTD with cervical cancer screening, which incorporates HPV cotesting (ref. 104; Supplementary Tables S6 and S7). Though cervical cancer screening rates are relatively high compared with other types of screening, an estimated 14 million women are not UTD (103). Furthermore, there is a disproportionate number of women with lower educational attainment in need of screening; only about 7 in 10 women with <HS education (71.7%) were UTD with cervical cancer screening compared with about 9 in 10 college graduates (90.5%; Fig. 11). Less than 80% of Hispanic (79.4%), AI/AN (79.0%), and Asian women (75.3%) were UTD with cervical cancer screening compared with ≥85% of black (85.6%) and white (85.0%) women (Fig. 21).

**Colorectal cancer screening**

In 2019, approximately 51,000 colon and rectal cancer deaths are estimated to occur in the United States (2). Among those ≥50 years, declines in colorectal cancer incidence since the mid-1980s and mortality since the early 1970s are attributed to increased colorectal cancer screening utilization, changing patterns in risk factors, and improved treatment (mortality; ref. 105). However, colorectal cancer incidence has been increasing among people born since 1950, prompting the American Cancer Society to recommend that screening begin at age 45 (refs. 106, 107; Supplementary Table S6).

Among those ≥50 years, UTD colorectal cancer screening has increased rapidly from <40% in 2000 (108) to nearly 60% in 2010 (97) and further increased to 62.6% in 2015 (Supplementary Table S7), primarily the result of increased utilization of colonoscopy. The 2015 screening prevalence based on the USPSTF colorectal cancer screening recommendations (ages 50–75) was similar (62.4%; ref. 100). Among those ≥45 years, colorectal cancer screening prevalence was 53.6% (8).

Endoscopic screening, primarily colonoscopy, continues to be more common (60%) than stool-based tests (7%; ref. 97). Less than half of adults with <HS education were UTD with colorectal cancer screening (47.4%) compared with 71.3% of college graduates (Fig. 11). Only half of Hispanics (49.9%) and Asians (49.4%) were UTD with colorectal cancer screening compared with 54.3% of AI/ANs, 61.8% of blacks and 65.4% of whites (Fig. 21).

**Lung cancer screening**

Among men and women in the United States, 143,000 lung cancer deaths were estimated to occur in 2019 (2). Lung cancer death rates have declined by 48% since 1990 in men and by 23% since 2002 in women due to reductions in smoking (2). In 2013, recommendations were issued for annual low-dose spiral computed tomography (LDCT) for healthy individuals 55 to 74 years (American Cancer Society; Supplementary Table S6; ref. 97; USPSTF recommendations: 55–80 years; ref. 109) who are current smokers with at least a 30 pack-year history of smoking or former smokers who quit within the past 15 years. Approximately 6.8 to 8.0 million former and current smokers were eligible for lung cancer screening in 2015, though only 3.2% of current high-risk smokers and 4.6% of former smokers had undergone LDCT for lung cancer screening within the past year (110, 111). Use of LDCT was similarly low across education levels and race/ethnicity (110). Recommendations also state that patients should be involved in shared decision-making (SDM) about the benefits, harms, and limitations of lung cancer screening, yet emerging evidence suggests that such discussions may be lacking (112).

**Prostate cancer screening**

In 2019, about 175,000 diagnosed cases of prostate cancer and 32,000 deaths among U.S. men are estimated to occur (2). Death rates for prostate cancer haven been declining since the mid-1990s, in part, due to improvements in treatment,
management of recurrent disease, and early detection with the prostate-specific antigen (PSA) test (113). The role of PSA testing in reducing mortality is debated because results of two large clinical trials designed to determine its efficacy were not in agreement. Furthermore, the harms of PSA testing (e.g., overtreatment and side effects) have shifted in recent years as more men with non-aggressive disease are receiving noninvasive treatment options (114). Since 2010, the American Cancer Society has recommended the use of SDM (discussing the advantages, disadvantages, and uncertainty) for PSA testing of men at average risk beginning at age 50, indicating that PSA testing should only occur if SDM has been conducted (Supplementary Table S6; ref. 97). In 2012, the USPSTF did not recommend routine PSA testing for asymptomatic men. However, the USPSTF’s 2018 recommendation states that men between the ages of 55 and 69 years should make an individual choice on whether to have PSA testing after discussing its benefits and harms with a clinician (115). PSA testing and prostate cancer incidence rates declined following the 2012 USPSTF recommendation against routine PSA testing (116). In 2015, about a third (34.4%) of men ≥50 years reported having a PSA test within the past year (Supplementary Table S7). PSA testing varied widely by educational attainment (<HS: 20.1%; college: 44.0%) and race/ethnicity (Asians: 17.4%; whites: 37.1%). The process of SDM for PSA testing is infrequently implemented (117). In 2015, only 17.4% of men with recent PSA testing reported full SDM; men with <HS, HS, and some college were 55%, 38%, and 42% less likely to receive SDM compared with college graduates. Black men receiving PSA testing were 45% more likely to report SDM than white men (118).

Discussion

This review provides a contemporary and comprehensive overview of modifiable cancer risk factors and screening in the United States where 14.1% of adults smoked, 71.2% had EBW, 5.3% were heavy alcohol drinkers, 26.2% reported no leisure-time physical activity, and 35.4% of adults reported at least one sunburn in the past year. High-risk oral (4%) and genital (23%) HPV infection was relatively common, and HPV vaccination was suboptimal, especially in socioeconomically deprived populations (120). For example, tobacco taxation has a considerable impact on smoking uptake, especially among those with lower SES (121, 122), but taxation in the United States is well below the World Health Organization’s recommendations (123), the national tobacco tax has remained at $1.01 since 2009 (124), and state taxation varies widely, from 17 cents per pack in Missouri to $4.50 in the District of Columbia (125). Furthermore, improving the availability of affordable healthy food and beverages, especially in neighborhoods with lower SES, is one of several unmet recommendations (47). Additionally, barriers to accessing healthcare hinders a variety of preventive services, including receipt of provider recommendations for smoking cessation in current smokers, weight loss in obese adults, as well as vaccination and screening (12, 42, 126–128). The most recent policy to address barriers to healthcare is the 2010 Affordable Care Act (ACA), which has led to gains in health insurance and the use of some preventive services by expanding dependent insurance coverage, subsidizing insurance through the federal marketplace for individuals with low and medium incomes (100%–400% of the federal poverty levels), expanding Medicaid eligibility to low-income adults in some states, and removing the cost of some preventive services for privately and Medicare insured adults (129–133). However, 14 states have not expanded Medicaid eligibility, and these states have a disproportionate number of lower socioeconomic and racial/ethnic minorities (Supplementary Table S8). In 2017, almost a quarter (23.3%) of the 24.47 million adults with <HS degree were uninsured compared with <5% of college graduates (Table 3). Similarly, nearly a quarter of the 39.42 million Hispanics represented in the 2017 NHIS were uninsured.
28% of AI/ANs, and 12% of blacks were uninsured. Furthermore, even the insured may face logistical and financial barriers to timely care, which may be addressed with other policies and navigation efforts (134). Additionally, targeted, tailored communication may be needed to address cultural and language barriers (135, 136).

Limitations of this review include the use of national and state-based surveys with variable response rates, though analyses were weighted to mitigate nonresponse biases (137). Additionally, most estimates were based on self-reports, which may be influenced by recall and social desirability bias, although some measures are reasonably accurate according to validation studies (101, 138, 139).

This review provides a comprehensive overview of major cancer risk factors and screening utilization. Focused cancer prevention and control efforts to reduce tobacco use and EBV and increase screening and vaccination uptake are necessary to further reduce the suffering and death from cancer. Such systematic efforts should be enhanced across all populations, particularly those most at risk, including those with lower SES and racial/ethnic minorities.
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Table 3. Sociodemographic and healthcare characteristics according to educational attainment and race/ethnicity, NHIS 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Educational attainment, adults ≥25 years</th>
<th>Race/ethnicity, adults ≥18 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>Hispanic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some college</td>
<td>Black</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College</td>
<td>Asian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (weighted n, in millions)</td>
<td>AI/AN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age category</th>
<th>Total (%)</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Age category</th>
<th>Total (%)</th>
<th>Male</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18-24</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>25-44</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>49.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-64</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>65+</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75+</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health insurance</th>
<th>Uninsured</th>
<th>Private</th>
<th>Medicaid/public</th>
<th>Medicare</th>
<th>Medicaid + Medicaid</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Visited a physician in the past year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total (%)</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age category</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor &lt;100% FPL</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Near poor 100%-199% FPL</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-poor ≥200% FPL</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Estimates for white, black, AI/AN, Asian, and other are among non-Hispanics. Estimate for Asian does not include Native Hawaiians or other Pacific Islanders.

Abbreviations: AI/AN, American Indian/Alaska Native; FPL, federal poverty level.

aIncludes 50 states and the District of Columbia.
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