Research Article

Vitamin D–Binding Protein and Risk of Renal Cell Carcinoma in the Cancer Prevention Study-II Cohort

Alison M. Mondul[1], Stephanie J. Weinstein[2], Dominick Parisi[3], Caroline Y. Um[4], Marjorie L. McCullough[4], and Demetrius Albanes[2]

Abstract

Background: Kidney cancer has several well-established risk factors, including smoking, obesity, and hypertension. These factors do not, however, completely account for its etiology. One previous study of vitamin D–binding protein (DBP) and risk of renal cell carcinoma found a striking inverse association that warranted replication.

Methods: We conducted a nested case–control study in the American Cancer Society Cancer Prevention Study-II Nutrition Cohort to prospectively examine circulating DBP concentration and renal cell carcinoma risk. Cases ($n = 87$) were matched 1:1 to controls on gender, race, age (±5 years), and date of blood collection (±30 days). ORs and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated for quartiles of DBP using conditional logistic regression.

Results: There was a statistically significant inverse trend across quartiles of DBP such that participants with higher DBP had a markedly decreased risk of renal cell carcinoma (vs. Q1: Q2 OR, 0.93; 95% CI, 0.41–2.11; Q3 OR, 0.42; 95% CI, 0.15–1.15; Q4 OR, 0.33; 95% CI, 0.10–1.06; $P_{trend} = 0.03$).

Conclusions: Our findings demonstrate a strong inverse association between circulating DBP and risk of renal cell carcinoma, supporting the findings from previous research.

Impact: This is only the second study to examine DBP and risk of kidney cancer, and one of only a handful of studies to examine circulating DBP and risk of cancer at any site. Our findings support emerging evidence for an etiologic role of DBP in cancer and may provide insights into the etiology of kidney and other cancers. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev; 27(10); 1203–7. ©2018 AACR.*

Introduction

Kidney cancer is the 6th and 10th most commonly diagnosed cancer among men and women, respectively, in the United States with an estimated 63,990 new cases diagnosed in 2017 and 14,400 deaths due to the disease (1). The most common histologic subtype is renal cell carcinoma, which has several well-established risk factors, including smoking, obesity, and hypertension (2). However, these risk factors do not completely explain the etiology of this malignancy.

Vitamin D in circulation is primarily bound to vitamin D–binding protein (DBP), which, in addition to its transport role, has been hypothesized to influence cancer risk through its non–vitamin D-related biological functions, including being a member of the extracellular actin scavenger system, as well as playing a role in chemotaxis, macrophage activation, apoptosis,

and angiogenesis (3, 4). The association between DBP and cancer is understudied, but a recent meta-analysis concluded that higher DBP concentrations were associated with a lower risk of cancer overall based on eight studies of six different cancers (5).

Because the kidney is the major organ site for vitamin D metabolism and resorption, several studies have examined whether higher vitamin D status may protect against risk of kidney cancer (6). Prospective studies examining 25-hydroxy-vitamin D (25(OH)D) in relation to kidney cancer risk do not support a strong association (6). In contrast, to our knowledge, only one investigation has examined circulating DBP in relation to risk of renal cell carcinoma, showing a striking inverse association with DBP status (7). This study was a nested case–control study conducted within the Alpha-Tocopherol Beta-Carotene Cancer Prevention (ATBC) Study cohort, which was a study population of male smokers from southwestern Finland (7). Although the findings of this previously conducted study were promising, they warranted replication, particularly in broader populations that included women and nonsmokers. We, therefore, conducted a nested case–control study in the American Cancer Society Cancer Prevention Study-II (CPS-II) Nutrition Cohort to examine whether circulating DBP concentration was prospectively associated with risk of renal cell carcinoma.

[1]Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan. [2]Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, Metabolic Epidemiology Branch, National Cancer Institute, NIH, Rockville, Maryland. [3]Information Management Services, Inc., Silver Spring, Maryland. [4]Epidemiology Research Program, American Cancer Society, Atlanta, Georgia.

M.L. McCullough and D. Albanes contributed equally to this article.

Corresponding Author: Alison M. Mondul, University of Michigan School of Public Health, 1415 Washington Heights, #4646, Ann Arbor, MI 48109. Phone: 734-764-3834; Fax: 734-764-3192; E-mail: amondul@umich.edu

Materials and Methods

Study population

The CPS-II Nutrition Cohort is a prospective study of cancer incidence that began in 1992. Details of the study have been

AACR

Mondul et al.

described previously (8). Briefly, participants from 21 states in the United States provided detailed information on demographic, dietary, and lifestyle factors at baseline. Beginning in 1997, updated exposure and outcome information was collected from participants every 2 years, and between 1998 and 2001, a subset of the cohort provided a blood sample. The current analysis is a case–control study nested within the subset of CPS-II Nutrition who provided a blood sample and who were free of cancer at the time of blood collection ($n = 39,371$). All cases of renal cell carcinoma that were diagnosed as the first primary cancer after blood collection through June 30, 2009, were included ($n = 87$). Cases were identified one of two ways: (i) through self-report on the biennial questionnaires and were subsequently verified through linkage with medical records or state cancer registries or (ii) through linkage with National Death Index. Cases were matched 1:1 with controls on age (± 1 year), date of blood collection (± 30 days), race, and gender.

Laboratory measurements

Serum DBP concentration was measured by the Clinical Support Laboratory, SAIC-Frederick, Frederick National Laboratory for Cancer Research using the DBP polyclonal assay from ALPO Diagnostics. Each batch contained blinded quality control samples from two individuals comprising approximately 10% of the total samples. The inter- and intrabatch coefficients of variation ranged from 5.7% to 8.7% and from 8.3% to 8.5%, respectively.

Ethics approval

The Emory University Institutional Review Board approves all aspects of the CPS-II Cohort. The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Statistical analysis

Conditional logistic regression was used to estimate ORs and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for risk of renal cell carcinoma by quartiles of DBP. Factors that are known or hypothesized to be associated with either DBP or renal cell carcinoma were evaluated as potential confounding factors, including the following: body mass index (BMI); smoking status; history of diabetes or kidney failure; physical activity; education level; dietary intakes of vitamin D, calcium, alcohol, and total energy; use of supplemental vitamin D or calcium; and family history of kidney or other cancers. Only BMI was associated with both renal cell carcinoma and DBP in our data. Thus, in addition to being conditioned on the matching factors (i.e., age, date of blood collection, race, and gender), models are presented further adjusted for BMI. We also present models additionally adjusted for supplemental vitamin D use, family history of cancer, and smoking status, as these variables were associated with either the exposure or outcome in our data. The significance of the trend across quartiles was assessed by creating a variable assigning the median DBP value to each quartile and modeling that as a continuous variable. Statistical significance was assessed using the Wald test. Exploratory analyses were conducted stratifying by sex, smoking status (never/ever), vitamin D supplement use (no, yes), BMI (<25, ≥ 25 kg/m^2), and family history of cancer (no and yes). Sensitivity analyses were conducted excluding cases diagnosed within one year of blood collection to address the possibility of

reverse causation. Stratified and sensitivity analyses using unconditional logistic regression adjusted for the matching factors were conducted examining DBP dichotomized at the median. Statistical interaction was assessed using the likelihood ratio test.

Results

Characteristics of the study sample by case status and by DBP quartile are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Few differences were observed between cases and controls, with the exception of a slightly higher BMI among cases and greater vitamin D supplement use among controls (Table 1). Those in the highest quartile of DBP were younger, more likely to be female, had a lower BMI, and were more likely to have never smoked. There were also suggestions that those with the highest DBP were less likely to have a history of diabetes, were less likely to be a college graduate, ate fewer calories, drank less alcohol, were more likely to use vitamin D supplements, and were more likely to have a family history of cancer, although these associations were not statistically significant (Table 2).

We observed a strong inverse association between DBP and risk of renal cell carcinoma (OR, 0.29; 95% CI, 0.09–0.91; $P_{trend} = 0.02$; Table 3). This finding was slightly attenuated with further adjustment for BMI (OR, 0.33; 95% CI, 0.10–1.06; $P_{trend} = 0.03$; Table 3). Further adjustment for supplemental vitamin D use, family history of cancer, and smoking status did not change the association (Table 3). We observed no statistically significant interaction between DBP and any of the factors examined, including sex, smoking, supplemental vitamin D use, family history of any cancer, and BMI (all $P > 0.10$). When cases diagnosed within one year of blood collection were excluded ($n = 18$), results were very similar ($\geq$median DBP vs. <median; OR, 0.22; 95% CI, 0.07–0.75).

Discussion

In this prospective investigation, we found that higher serum DBP concentration was strongly inversely associated with risk of renal cell carcinoma. This finding is consistent with the only previously published analysis on this topic that was conducted in the ATBC Study (7) among male smokers in southwestern Finland. Therefore, there was the possibility that the findings would not be generalizable to U.S. populations, or to women or nonsmokers. However, results from the current analysis, which was conducted in a mostly white U.S. population, including women and nonsmokers, suggest that the inverse association between DBP and kidney cancer is generalizable to these populations.

The kidney is the key organ for vitamin D metabolism. DBP-bound 25(OH)D in the glomerular filtrate is absorbed by the proximal tubule epithelium through endocytic ligand binding and uptake by the plasma membrane megalin–cubilin receptor complex. Subsequently, 25(OH)D is converted to the active hormonal form, 1–25-dihydroxyvitamin D $(1,25(OH)_2D)$ in parenchymal mitochondria and DBP undergoes lysosomal proteolysis (9, 10). Previous studies have examined circulating 25(OH)D in relation to risk of kidney cancer and found no strong associations (6). Furthermore, in the previous analysis of DBP and kidney cancer, DBP did not appear to modify the risk association with circulating 25(OH)D

Table 1. Selected characteristics [medians (interquartile range) or percent] for renal cell carcinoma case and control subjects in the CPS-II cohort

Characteristics	Controls (n = 87)	Cases (n = 87)	P^a
Age, y	70.4 (65.7–75.4)	70.8 (66.5–75.4)	Matched
Male (%)	64.4	64.4	Matched
Race			
White	97.7	97.7	Matched
BMI[b] (kg/m^2)	25.1 (22.9–28.1)	26.5 (24.0–28.7)	0.10
Smoking status[b]			
Never	47.5	35.8	0.31
Former	50.0	61.7	
Current	2.5	2.5	
History of diabetes[b] (%)	10.3	17.2	0.19
History of kidney failure[c] (%)	0.0	1.2	1.00
Physical activity[b] (MET-h/wk)	14.0 (7.0–24.5)	14.0 (7.0–24.9)	0.89
College graduate[c] (%)	47.7	52.9	0.17
Intake/day[b]			
Total energy (kcal)	1,788 (1,488–2,147)	1,778 (1,437–2,082)	0.88
Dietary vitamin D (IU)	188 (148–283)	179 (128–292)	0.87
Dietary calcium (mg)	730 (583–1,112)	744 (563–1,071)	0.94
Alcohol (drinks)	0.10 (0–0.72)	0.10 (0–0.75)	0.98
Use of dietary supplements[b] (%)			
Vitamin D	17.7	6.9	0.09
Calcium	38.2	36.1	0.80
Family history of kidney cancer[c]	2.3	0.0	0.50
Family history of any other cancer[b]	65.5	58.6	0.35

[a]The χ^2 test or Fisher exact test (when cells have expected counts <5) for categorical variables and Wilcoxon test for continuous variables.
[b]Assessed at the time closest to blood collection.
[c]Assessed at baseline in 1982.

(and vice versa). Taken together, the findings suggest that the biologic mechanism through which DBP influences risk of kidney cancer may be unrelated to its canonical role in vitamin D status and transport. DBP has other biologic actions that may play an important role in carcinogenesis and cancer progression, such as it being the parent molecule for DBP-macrophage–activating factor (MAF) that has been shown to induce apoptosis

as well as reduce proliferation and migration of cancer cells *in vitro*, and inhibit cancer in animal models (11–17).

Another possible explanation for our findings is reverse causality. That is, undiagnosed renal malignancies with disrupted physiology would incompletely resorb DBP and lead to decreased concentrations in circulation. However, our results were essentially identical when cases diagnosed within one year of blood

Table 2. Selected characteristics [medians (interquartile range) or percent] by quartile of circulating DBP concentration in the CPS-II cohort

Characteristics	Q1 (<272 µg/mL)	Q2 (272–<294 µg/mL)	Q3 (294–<334 µg/mL)	Q4 (≥334 µg/mL)	P^a
Age, y	71.6 (66.1–75.9)	72.5 (68.3–76.0)	70.1 (65.4–74.9)	67.8 (64.0–73.8)	0.06
Male (%)	74.0	72.6	64.1	38.2	0.003
Race (%)					
White	96.0	98.0	97.4	100.0	0.69
BMI[b] (kg/m^2)	27.3 (23.6–29.6)	24.8 (23.0–27.4)	25.1 (22.1–27.5)	25.4 (23.2–28.2)	0.09
Smoking status[b] (%)					
Never	37.0	42.2	36.8	53.1	0.52
Former	63.0	55.6	57.9	43.8	
Current	0.0	2.2	5.3	3.1	
History of diabetes[b] (%)	22.0	13.7	12.8	2.9	0.10
History of kidney failure[c] (%)	0	0	0	2.9	0.25
Physical activity[b] (MET-h/wk)	14.0 (7.0–26.5)	14.0 (7.0–24.5)	14.0 (7.0–24.0)	13.9 (7.0–29.0)	0.89
College graduate[c] (%)	62.0	51.0	38.5	45.5	0.77
Intake/day[b]					
Total energy (kcal)	1,881 (1,445–2,254)	1,842 (1,538–2,159)	1,719 (1,378–2,082)	1,628 (1,495–2,137)	0.56
Dietary vitamin D (IU)	178 (141–283)	183 (114–303)	167 (125–283)	188 (160–220)	0.85
Dietary calcium (mg)	738 (630–945)	786 (539–1,144)	671 (500–1,133)	742 (633–1,115)	0.81
Alcohol (drinks)	0.17 (0–0.87)	0.20 (0–1.13)	0.07 (0–0.37)	0.07 (0–0.60)	0.38
Use of dietary supplements[b] (%)					
Vitamin D	11.9	9.8	6.5	22.3	0.29
Calcium	36.6	25.6	42.9	48.3	0.21
Family history of kidney cancer[c] (%)	0	0	2.6	2.9	0.42
Family history of any other cancer[b] (%)	60.0	51.0	64.1	79.4	0.07

[a]The χ^2 test or Fisher exact test (when cells have expected counts <5) for categorical variables and Wilcoxon test for continuous variables.
[b]Assessed at the time closest to blood collection.
[c]Assessed at baseline in 1982.

Mondul et al.

Table 3. Association between circulating DBP concentration and risk of renal cell carcinoma in the CPS-II cohort

	Q1 (<272 µg/mL)	Q2 (272–<294 µg/mL)	Q3 (294–<334 µg/mL)	Q4 (≥334 µg/mL)	P_{trend}
#Cases/#controls	28/22	29/22	17/22	13/21	
OR (95% CI)[a]	1.0 (ref)	0.86 (0.40–1.88)	0.42 (0.16–1.12)	0.29 (0.09–0.91)	0.02
OR (95% CI)[b]	1.0 (ref)	0.93 (0.41–2.11)	0.42 (0.15–1.15)	0.33 (0.10–1.06)	0.03
OR (95% CI)[c]	1.0 (ref)	0.98 (0.42–2.31)	0.39 (0.14–1.08)	0.36 (0.11–1.22)	0.048
OR (95% CI)[d]	1.0 (ref)	1.00 (0.42–2.38)	0.36 (0.13–1.05)	0.33 (0.09–1.16)	0.041

[a]Conditioned on matching factors (age, sex, race, date of blood collection).
[b]Conditioned on matching factors (age, sex, race, date of blood collection); additionally adjusted for BMI.
[c]Conditioned on matching factors (age, sex, race, date of blood collection); additionally adjusted for BMI, supplemental vitamin D use, and family history of any cancer.
[d]Conditioned on matching factors (age, sex, race, date of blood collection); additionally adjusted for BMI, supplemental vitamin D use, family history of any cancer, and smoking status.

collection were excluded from the analysis, arguing against reverse causality as the basis for our findings.

Our study has many strengths, including the prospective design, reliable laboratory measurement of DBP, and detailed information on many potential confounding factors and effect modifiers. Although we used all available cases of renal cell carcinoma in the large CPS-II cohort, our sample size was still somewhat small, as this is a relatively rare cancer. Thus, although we conducted exploratory analyses of effect modification, we had very limited statistical power to detect meaningful interactions with lifestyle factors, and these will require further investigation in larger study samples. Another possible limitation is that 98% of our study population was of European ancestry. There are several common isoforms of DBP that have different binding affinities for vitamin D compounds, and the prevalence of these isoforms differs markedly by race, particularly between white and black populations (18, 19). However, the impact of the different isoforms on non–vitamin D-related biological activities of DBP is less clear (19), although one study did find different inflammation-mediated MAF activity by isoform (20). In addition, there is evidence from other cancer sites that the DBP–cancer association may differ by race (21). The potential associations of DBP isoforms with risk of renal cell carcinoma requires further study. Given that there is an existing racial disparity in kidney cancer incidence in the United States with higher rates among black men compared with white men, further study in non-white populations is warranted (2).

Our findings demonstrate a strong inverse association between circulating DBP and risk of renal cell carcinoma, supporting the findings from the one previously published study on this topic.

Further study is required to determine whether this association is causal and, if so, through what biological mechanisms. Understanding the latter, and examining the association in diverse populations, could provide new insights into the etiology of kidney and other cancers.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Authors' Contributions

Conception and design: A.M. Mondul
Acquisition of data (provided animals, acquired and managed patients, provided facilities, etc.): M.L. McCullough, D. Albanes
Analysis and interpretation of data (e.g., statistical analysis, biostatistics, computational analysis): A.M. Mondul, S.J. Weinstein, D. Parisi
Writing, review, and/or revision of the manuscript: A.M. Mondul, S.J. Weinstein, C.Y. Um, M.L. McCullough, D. Albanes
Administrative, technical, or material support (i.e., reporting or organizing data, constructing databases): S.J. Weinstein, D. Parisi
Study supervision: A.M. Mondul

Acknowledgments

References

1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2017. CA Cancer J Clin 2017;67:7–30.
2. Cho E, Adami HO, Lindblad P. Epidemiology of renal cell cancer. Hematol Oncol Clin North Am 2011;25:651–65.
3. Pike JW, Feldman D, Glorieux FH. Vitamin D. San Diego, CA: Academic Press; 1997.
4. Speeckaert M, Huang G, Delanghe JR, Taes YE. Biological and clinical aspects of the vitamin D binding protein (Gc-globulin) and its polymorphism. Clin Chim Acta 2006;372:33–42.
5. Tagliabue E, Raimondi S, Gandini S. Meta-analysis of vitamin D-binding protein and cancer risk. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2015;24:1758–65.
6. Mondul AM, Weinstein SJ, Layne TM, Albanes D. Vitamin D and cancer risk and mortality: state of the science, gaps, and challenges. Epidemiol Rev 2017;39:28–48.

7. Mondul AM, Weinstein SJ, Moy KA, Mannisto S, Albanes D. Vitamin D binding protein, circulating vitamin D, and risk of renal cell carcinoma. Int J Cancer 2014;134:2699–706.
8. Calle EE, Rodriguez C, Jacobs EJ, Almon ML, Chao A, McCullough ML, et al. The American Cancer Society Cancer Prevention Study II Nutrition Cohort: rationale, study design, and baseline characteristics. Cancer 2002;94:2490–501.
9. Andreassen TK. The role of plasma-binding proteins in the cellular uptake of lipophilic vitamins and steroids. Horm Metab Res 2006;38:279–90.
10. Willnow TE, Nykjaer A. Cellular uptake of steroid carrier proteins–mechanisms and implications. Mol Cell Endocrinol 2010;316:93–102.
11. Gregory KJ, Zhao B, Bielenberg DR, Dridi S, Wu J, Jiang W, et al. Vitamin D binding protein-macrophage activating factor directly inhibits proliferation, migration, and uPAR expression of prostate cancer cells. PLoS One 2010;5:e13428.

12. Hirota K, Nakagawa Y, Takeuchi R, Uto Y, Hori H, Onizuka S, et al. Antitumor effect of degalactosylated gc-globulin on orthotopic grafted lung cancer in mice. Anticancer Res 2013;33:2911–5.
13. Kisker O, Onizuka S, Becker CM, Fannon M, Flynn E, D'Amato R, et al. Vitamin D binding protein-macrophage activating factor (DBP-maf) inhibits angiogenesis and tumor growth in mice. Neoplasia 2003;5:32–40.
14. Koga Y, Naraparaju VR, Yamamoto N. Antitumor effect of vitamin D-binding protein-derived macrophage activating factor on Ehrlich ascites tumor-bearing mice. Proc Soc Exp Biol Med 1999;220:20–6.
15. Nonaka K, Onizuka S, Ishibashi H, Uto Y, Hori H, Nakayama T, et al. Vitamin D binding protein-macrophage activating factor inhibits HCC in SCID mice. J Surg Res 2012;172:116–22.
16. Pacini S, Punzi T, Morucci G, Gulisano M, Ruggiero M. Effects of vitamin D-binding protein-derived macrophage-activating factor on human breast cancer cells. Anticancer Res 2012;32:45–52.
17. Thyer L, Ward E, Smith R, Fiore MG, Magherini S, Branca JJ, et al. A novel role for a major component of the vitamin D axis: vitamin D binding protein-derived macrophage activating factor induces human breast cancer cell apoptosis through stimulation of macrophages. Nutrients 2013;5: 2577–89.
18. Corder EH, Friedman GD, Vogelman JH, Orentreich N. Seasonal variation in vitamin D, vitamin D-binding protein, and dehydroepiandrosterone: risk of prostate cancer in black and white men. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 1995;4:655–9.
19. Malik S, Fu L, Juras DJ, Karmali M, Wong BY, Gozdzik A, et al. Common variants of the vitamin D binding protein gene and adverse health outcomes. Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci 2013;50:1–22.
20. Nagasawa H, Sasaki H, Uto Y, Kubo S, Hori H. Association of the macrophage activating factor (MAF) precursor activity with polymorphism in vitamin D-binding protein. Anticancer Res 2004;24: 3361–6.
21. Layne TM, Weinstein SJ, Graubard BI, Ma X, Mayne ST, Albanes D. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D, vitamin D binding protein, and prostate cancer risk in black men. Cancer 2017;123:2698–704.

Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention

Vitamin D–Binding Protein and Risk of Renal Cell Carcinoma in the Cancer Prevention Study-II Cohort

Alison M. Mondul, Stephanie J. Weinstein, Dominick Parisi, et al.

Updated version	Access the most recent version of this article at: doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-18-0263

Cited articles	This article cites 20 articles, 5 of which you can access for free at: http://cebp.aacrjournals.org/content/27/10/1203.full#ref-list-1

E-mail alerts	Sign up to receive free email-alerts related to this article or journal.
Reprints and Subscriptions	To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at pubs@aacr.org.
Permissions	To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, use this link http://cebp.aacrjournals.org/content/27/10/1203. Click on "Request Permissions" which will take you to the Copyright Clearance Center's (CCC) Rightslink site.