



Association of Pancreatic Cancer Susceptibility Variants with Risk of Breast Cancer in Women of European and African Ancestry

Shengfeng Wang^{1,2}, Yonglan Zheng², Temidayo O. Ogundiran³, Oladosu Ojengbade⁴, Wei Zheng⁵, Katherine L. Nathanson⁶, Barbara Nemesure⁷, Stefan Ambbs⁸, Olufunmilayo I. Olopade², and Dezheng Huo^{2,9}

Abstract

Background: Pancreatic cancer mutation signatures closely resemble breast cancer, suggesting that both cancers may have common predisposition mechanisms that may include commonly inherited SNPs.

Methods: We examined 23 genetic variants known to be associated with pancreatic cancer as breast cancer risk factors in the Root genome-wide association study (GWAS; 1,657 cases and 2,029 controls of African diaspora) and GAME-ON/DRIVE GWAS (16,003 cases and 41,335 controls of European ancestry).

Results: None of the pancreatic cancer susceptibility variants were individually associated with breast cancer risk after adjust-

ment for multiple testing (at $\alpha = 0.002$) in the two populations. In Root GWAS, a change by one SD in the polygenic risk score (PRS) was not significantly associated with breast cancer. In addition, we did not observe a trend in the relationship between PRS percentiles and breast cancer risk.

Conclusions: The association between reported pancreatic cancer genetic susceptibility variants and breast cancer development in women of African or European ancestry is likely weak, if it does exist.

Impact: Known GWAS-derived susceptibility variants of pancreatic cancer do not explain its shared genetic etiology with breast cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*; 27(1); 116–8. ©2017 AACR.

Introduction

Patients with pancreatic cancer have been found to carry rare germline deleterious variants in breast cancer susceptibility genes, such as *BRCA1*, *BRCA2*, *PALB2*, and *ATM* (1–3). In addition, a number of common SNPs were identified to be associated with common diseases, including pancreatic and breast cancers, by hypothesis-free genome-wide association study (GWAS) approach. Couch and colleagues evaluated the SNPs associated with breast cancer risk for influence on pancreatic cancer risk

and found the SNP rs1045485 retained significance after adjusting for multiple testing (4). Furthermore, an interesting phenomenon indicated in the Catalogue of Somatic Mutations in Cancer was that the 7 mutational signatures of pancreatic cancer were all shared by those of breast cancer (<http://cancer.sanger.ac.uk/signatures/matrix.png>). These lines of evidence suggest that breast cancer and pancreatic cancer might have common predisposition mechanisms.

To date, many of the common variants associated with the risk of pancreatic cancer have been identified by the GWAS approach, and more than 20 loci reached the standard GWAS significance threshold (5), but no study has explored the possible associations between these SNPs and breast cancer risk. Here, we examined whether GWAS-identified genetic susceptibility to pancreatic cancer is related to breast cancer risk using GWAS datasets from two breast cancer consortia: Root and GAME-ON/DRIVE.

Materials and Methods

The Root consortium contained 1,657 cases and 2,029 controls of African diaspora, in which genotyping was conducted using the Illumina HumanOmni2.5-8v1 array, and imputation was conducted with the 1000 Genomes Project Phase 1 as reference panel (6). The GAME-ON/DRIVE GWAS is a meta-analysis of 12 breast cancer GWAS, including 16,003 cases and 41,335 controls of European ancestry (<http://gameon.dfci.harvard.edu>). Both studies were conducted in accordance of U.S. common rule, have been approved by their institutional review board, and obtained informed written consent from all the participants (6).

¹Department of Epidemiology & Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Peking University Health Science Center, Beijing, China. ²Center for Clinical Cancer Genetics and Global Health, Department of Medicine, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois. ³Department of Surgery, College of Medicine, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria. ⁴Center for Population and Reproductive Health, College of Medicine, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria. ⁵Division of Epidemiology, Department of Medicine, Vanderbilt Epidemiology Center, Vanderbilt-Ingram Cancer Center, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee. ⁶Department of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. ⁷Department of Preventive Medicine, State University of New York at Stony Brook, Stony Brook, New York. ⁸Laboratory of Human Carcinogenesis, NCI, Bethesda, Maryland. ⁹Department of Public Health Sciences, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois.

Corresponding Authors: Dezheng Huo, Department of Public Health Sciences, University of Chicago, 5841 S. Maryland Ave., MC 2000, Chicago, IL 60637. Phone: 773-834-0843; Fax: 773-702-1979; E-mail: dhuo@health.bsd.uchicago.edu; and Olufunmilayo I. Olopade, folopade@bsd.uchicago.edu

doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-17-0755

©2017 American Association for Cancer Research.

A total of 23 known independent pancreatic cancer susceptibility loci ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) were extracted from the NHGRI-EBI GWAS Catalog (<https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/>, accessed on January 14, 2017). For Root GWAS, we used logistic regression with case status as the outcome and an additive model for genotype, adjusting for age (10-year groups), study site, and the first four eigenvectors from principal component analysis. For GAME-ON/DRIVE GWAS, fixed-effects meta-analysis was used and the SEs were adjusted by the inflation factors. We also constructed a polygenic risk score (PRS) based on risk allele frequencies across all loci and evaluated its relation to breast cancer risk in Root. The PRS was calculated as the log OR-weighted sum of risk allele counts, defined as $PRS = \sum_{i=1}^{23} n_i \times \ln(OR_i)$, where n_i is the number of risk alleles carried by an individual at the i_{th} SNP with $n_i = \{0, 1, 2\}$, and OR_i is the per-allele OR associated with the i_{th} SNP. Adjusted ORs and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were used to measure the association of breast cancer risk with genetic variants or PRS. Statistical significance was declared at the 0.0022 (0.05/23) level for each SNP.

Results

For women of African ancestry, rs31490-G was a risk allele in both pancreatic and breast cancers, whereas the risk allele rs401681-T in pancreatic cancer became a protective allele in breast cancer (Table 1). Similarly, for women of European ancestry, rs11655237-T and rs2736098-C were found to be risk alleles in both pancreatic and breast cancers, but two pancreatic cancer risk alleles rs505922-C and rs687289-A turned to be protective for breast cancer (Table 1). The aforementioned SNPs were nominal significantly associated with breast cancer risk; however, none of them remained significant after the adjustment for multiple testing.

Table 2. Performance of PRS based on pancreatic cancer susceptibility variants in the GWAS of breast cancer in the African diaspora

Percentile of PRS	Cases (n = 1,657)	Controls (n = 2,029)	OR ^a (95% CI)
<5	165	204	0.99 (0.76-1.27)
5-10	168	200	1.02 (0.79-1.32)
10-20	155	214	0.85 (0.66-1.10)
20-40	158	211	0.88 (0.68-1.13)
40-60	336	400	1.00 (ref)
60-80	171	199	1.00 (0.78-1.29)
80-90	166	201	0.98 (0.76-1.27)
90-95	173	197	1.01 (0.78-1.30)
>95	165	203	0.97 (0.75-1.25)
Continuous (per SD = 0.571)	—	—	1.01 (0.95-1.08)

^aORs are for different percentiles of the polygenic PRS relative to the middle quintile (40%-60%) and were adjusted for age, study site, and the first four eigenvectors from principal components analysis.

For Root GWAS, one SD change [0.571] in the PRS was not significantly associated with breast cancer. The ORs for developing breast cancer by percentiles of the PRS, relative to women in the middle quintile, showed no linear trend (Table 2). The discriminative accuracy of the pancreatic cancer PRS, as measured by the C-statistic, was 0.508 (95% CI, 0.489-0.526) for breast cancer.

Discussion

We found that known GWAS risk variants for pancreatic cancer do not have a significant association with breast cancer risk among women of African or European ancestry. It is also worth noting that the direction in the associations for half of the nominal significant SNPs was not consistent with those observed in the pancreatic cancer studies that identified these

Table 1. Association of pancreatic cancer susceptibility variants with risk of breast cancer

SNP	Reference/effect allele	Pancreatic cancer		Root			GAME-ON/DRIVE	
		OR (95% CI)	Type ^a	OR (95% CI)	P	OR (95% CI)	P	
rs10919791	G/A	1.27 (1.18-1.35)	Genotyped	1.01 (0.86-1.18)	0.940	1.02 (0.97-1.06)	0.465	
rs11655237	C/T	1.26 (1.19-1.34)	0.96	0.97 (0.87-1.09)	0.643	1.09 (1.02-1.16)	0.007 ^b	
rs12413624	A/T	1.23 (1.16-1.31)	0.99	0.99 (0.85-1.15)	0.902	1.03 (1.00-1.08)	0.051	
rs1486134	T/G	1.14 (1.09-1.19)	Genotyped	1.02 (0.90-1.16)	0.719	0.99 (0.95-1.03)	0.538	
rs1547374	G/A	1.27 (1.19-1.35)	Genotyped	1.01 (0.92-1.11)	0.833	1.01 (0.97-1.04)	0.767	
rs16986825	C/T	1.18 (1.12-1.25)	Genotyped	0.98 (0.76-1.26)	0.848	1.00 (0.96-1.05)	0.997	
rs17688601	A/C	1.14 (1.09-1.19)	Genotyped	0.93 (0.74-1.15)	0.479	1.01 (0.97-1.05)	0.512	
rs2736098	T/C	1.25 (1.18-1.32)	0.95	1.09 (0.92-1.28)	0.330	1.05 (1.01-1.11)	0.022 ^b	
rs31490	A/G	1.20 (1.14-1.27)	Genotyped	1.12 (1.02-1.23)	0.020 ^b	0.99 (0.95-1.02)	0.491	
rs372883	C/T	1.27 (1.19-1.33)	Genotyped	1.00 (0.91-1.10)	0.988	1.00 (0.96-1.03)	0.899	
rs3790844	A/G	1.30 (1.19-1.41)	Genotyped	1.03 (0.89-1.20)	0.703	1.01 (0.97-1.05)	0.675	
rs401681	C/T	1.20 (1.13-1.28)	1.00	0.89 (0.81-0.98)	0.018 ^b	1.01 (0.98-1.05)	0.416	
rs505922	T/C	1.27 (1.19-1.35)	1.00	1.04 (0.94-1.14)	0.458	0.96 (0.93-1.00)	0.032 ^b	
rs5768709	A/G	1.25 (1.17-1.34)	0.98	0.98 (0.89-1.09)	0.738	0.99 (0.95-1.03)	0.624	
rs687289	G/A	1.27 (1.20-1.35)	Genotyped	1.06 (0.96-1.16)	0.264	0.96 (0.93-0.99)	0.021 ^b	
rs6971499	C/T	1.27 (1.19-1.35)	Genotyped	0.99 (0.87-1.14)	0.927	1.02 (0.96-1.08)	0.537	
rs7190458	G/A	1.46 (1.30-1.65)	0.91	0.93 (0.83-1.04)	0.179	1.05 (0.97-1.15)	0.225	
rs9543325	T/C	1.24 (1.16-1.32)	Genotyped	1.05 (0.89-1.25)	0.529	0.99 (0.96-1.03)	0.684	
rs9554197	C/T	1.14 (1.10-1.19)	Genotyped	1.03 (0.91-1.17)	0.606	1.00 (0.97-1.03)	0.986	
rs9573163	C/G	1.26 (1.18-1.34)	0.99	0.90 (0.79-1.04)	0.157	1.01 (0.97-1.04)	0.741	
rs9581943	G/A	1.15 (1.10-1.20)	Genotyped	1.03 (0.87-1.22)	0.705	—	—	
rs962856	T/C	1.12 (1.08-1.17)	Genotyped	1.09 (0.97-1.22)	0.164	1.00 (0.97-1.04)	0.954	
rs9854771	A/G	1.12 (1.08-1.18)	Genotyped	1.06 (0.95-1.18)	0.276	0.97 (0.93-1.01)	0.107	

^aNumbers indicate the imputation scores.

^bThe P values are significant at nominal level (<0.05), but turn out to be nonsignificant after multiple correction.

Wang et al.

SNPs as risk factors (Table 1). Our result is consistent with a recently published study using cancer-specific GWAS summary statistics data based on subjects of European ancestry, which did not find significant genetic correlations between pancreatic and breast cancer (genetic correlation coefficient = 0.17, $P = 0.37$; ref. 7). In conclusion, the association between known GWAS-identified pancreatic cancer susceptibility variants and breast cancer risk is likely weak in women of African or European ancestry, if it does exist.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Authors' Contributions

Conception and design: S. Wang, Y. Zheng

Development of methodology: S. Wang

Acquisition of data (provided animals, acquired and managed patients, provided facilities, etc.): Y. Zheng, T.O. Ogundiran, O. Ojengbede, W. Zheng, K.L. Nathanson, B. Nemesure, S. Ambs, D. Huo

Analysis and interpretation of data (e.g., statistical analysis, biostatistics, computational analysis): S. Wang, Y. Zheng, D. Huo

Writing, review, and/or revision of the manuscript: S. Wang, Y. Zheng, T.O. Ogundiran, O. Ojengbede, W. Zheng, K.L. Nathanson, B. Nemesure, S. Ambs, O.I. Olopade, D. Huo

Administrative, technical, or material support (i.e., reporting or organizing data, constructing databases): O. Ojengbede

Study supervision: O. Ojengbede, O.I. Olopade, D. Huo

Acknowledgments

O.I. Olopade received the following grants: NCI CA089085, CA142996, and CA161032; Susan G. Komen for the Cure SAC110026; American Cancer Society CRP-10-119-01-CCE; Ralph and Marion Falk Medical Research Trust; Breast Cancer Research Foundation; and Avon Foundation. D. Huo received American Cancer Society MRSG-13-063-01-TBG, Breast Cancer Research Foundation, and NCI CA161032. S. Ambs received NCI intramural grant ZIA BC 010887.

The authors thank all the women who participated in this research.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked *advertisement* in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Received August 15, 2017; revised November 9, 2017; accepted November 13, 2017; published OnlineFirst December 18, 2017.

References

- Mocci E, Milne RL, Mendez-Villamil EY, Hopper JL, John EM, Andrulis IL, et al. Risk of pancreatic cancer in breast cancer families from the breast cancer family registry. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2013; 22:803–11.
- Jones S, Hruban RH, Kamiyama M, Borges M, Zhang X, Parsons DW, et al. Exomic sequencing identifies PALB2 as a pancreatic cancer susceptibility gene. *Science* 2009;324:217.
- Roberts NJ, Jiao Y, Yu J, Kopelovich L, Petersen GM, Bondy ML, et al. ATM mutations in patients with hereditary pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Discov* 2012;2:41–6.
- Couch FJ, Wang X, McWilliams RR, Bamlet WR, de Andrade M, Petersen GM. Association of breast cancer susceptibility variants with risk of pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2009;18: 3044–8.
- MacArthur J, Bowler E, Cerezo M, Gil L, Hall P, Hastings E, et al. The new NHGRI-EBI Catalog of published genome-wide association studies (GWAS Catalog). *Nucleic Acids Res* 2017;45:D896–D901.
- Huo D, Feng Y, Haddad S, Zheng Y, Yao S, Han YJ, et al. Genome-wide association studies in women of African ancestry identified 3q26.21 as a novel susceptibility locus for estrogen receptor negative breast cancer. *Hum Mol Genet* 2016;25:4835–46.
- Lindstrom S, Finucane H, Bulik-Sullivan B, Schumacher FR, Amos CI, Hung RJ, et al. Quantifying the genetic correlation between multiple cancer types. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2017;26:1427–35.

Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention

Association of Pancreatic Cancer Susceptibility Variants with Risk of Breast Cancer in Women of European and African Ancestry

Shengfeng Wang, Yonglan Zheng, Temidayo O. Ogundiran, et al.

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2018;27:116-118. Published OnlineFirst December 18, 2017.

Updated version Access the most recent version of this article at:
doi:[10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-17-0755](https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-17-0755)

Cited articles This article cites 7 articles, 5 of which you can access for free at:
<http://cebp.aacrjournals.org/content/27/1/116.full#ref-list-1>

E-mail alerts [Sign up to receive free email-alerts](#) related to this article or journal.

Reprints and Subscriptions To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at pubs@aacr.org.

Permissions To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, use this link
<http://cebp.aacrjournals.org/content/27/1/116>.
Click on "Request Permissions" which will take you to the Copyright Clearance Center's (CCC) Rightslink site.