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Abstract

Background: Lower levels of genomic DNA methylation in blood DNA has been associated with risk of different cancers and several cancer risk factors. To understand the use of genomic methylation measures as biomarkers of cancer risk, data are needed on within-individual changes over time.

Methods: Using information from 77 subjects with blood collected at 2 visits on average 8 years apart, we examined whether levels of DNA methylation change with time and if so, whether selected cancer risk factors predict these changes. We measured DNA methylation levels in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) using three assays that have been used in epidemiologic studies: (i) luminometric methylation assay (LUMA)(ii) LINE-1 by pyrosequencing, and (iii) Sat2 by MethyLight.

Results: Close to a third of all individuals had large changes over time (≥10%) in LUMA with 19.5% increasing and 13.0% decreasing. For Sat2, two-thirds of individuals had large changes with 40% increasing and 26% decreasing over time. In contrast, only 3.9% of individuals had large changes in LINE-1 over time. The degree of change in PBMC DNA methylation was statistically significantly inversely associated with methylation levels at baseline; greater decreases were observed in individuals with higher baseline values for each assay.

Conclusions: These data, if replicated, suggest that changes in DNA methylation over time are highly associated with baseline values of the assay and vary by assay type.

Impact: These findings suggest that assays that change more over time may warrant consideration for studies that measure later life exposures.
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Introduction

Genomic methylation measured in peripheral blood has been associated with a number of different cancer types, including colon (1, 2), bladder (3–5), stomach (6), breast (7), and head and neck (8). Genomic DNA methylation levels in blood have also been associated with selected risk factors including age, gender, dietary folate status, and cigarette smoking (reviewed in ref. 9). Although these lines of evidence are intriguing, there remain several limitations in overall inference, particularly as many epidemiologic studies rely primarily on a DNA methylation measured at a single time and generally use samples collected after the disease has been diagnosed.

Total 5-methylcytosine (5mC) content has been observed to be associated with age (10, 11), but this association has not been entirely consistent (5) and has mostly been observed through cross-sectional studies rather than within-individual changes over time. There are few longitudinal studies on the effect of aging on changes in blood DNA methylation (12, 13). Measuring DNA methylation by the luminometric methylation assay (LUMA) in blood collected on average 11 years apart in individuals ranging in age from 69 to 96 years, Bjornsson and colleagues (12) observed large variability in levels of DNA methylation over time with 29% of individuals having greater than or equal to 10% methylation change (with a range of 0%–26% for the whole study). Studies that have attempted to more completely examine the age effect however, suggest that age may account for a small proportion of variation over time (13, 14). Understanding within-individual differences over time is critical for understanding whether environmental factors, in addition to or apart from age, can influence DNA methylation changes. For example, a study showing greater differences in 5mC in blood DNA in older twins compared with younger twins (11) has been used to give strong support to the hypothesis that the environmental changes (either exogenous or endogenous) across the life
course may relate to changes in DNA methylation. However, this study did not directly test within-individual changes over time, but rather compared cross-sectionally older versus younger twins. Environmental factors such as benzene (15) and arsenic (16) have been associated with genomic DNA methylation markers in blood but again these studies have mainly been cross-sectional in nature. Only a few studies have specifically examined whether selected exposures (primarily folate intervention studies) are associated with within-individual changes in genomic DNA methylation over time (reviewed in ref. 9). These studies primarily examine within-individual changes over very short periods of time.

Using information from 77 individuals with 2 blood specimens in the New York site of Breast Cancer Family Registry (BCFR), we examined whether genomic DNA methylation measured by 3 commonly used assays in epidemiologic studies (LUMA, LINE-1, and Sat2) in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) changed over time and whether these changes were associated with selected risk factors for cancer.

Materials and Methods

We examined 77 individuals (21 males and 56 females) with at least 2 blood specimens at 2 different time points (average of 8.6 years between blood draws) participating in the New York site of the BCFR (17). Each individual completed an epidemiologic questionnaire. We extracted genomic DNA from PBMCs by a salting out procedure (18). This study was approved by Columbia University’s Institutional Review Board.

The luminometric methylation assay (LUMA) is based on digestion of genomic DNA with methylation-sensitive and methylation-insensitive restriction enzymes, followed by methylation quantification by pyrosequencing as described (12). We measured LINE-1 methylation status by pyrosequencing using primers and PCR condition as described (15). Methylation quantification was done using the PyroMark Q24 1.010 software (18). We measured Sat2 using the sequences of probes and forward and reverse primers of Sat2-M1 described in Weisenberger and colleagues (19). PCR was carried out using the PCR program as described in Wu and colleagues (18). Assays were run on an ABI Prism 7900 Sequence Detection System (Perkin-Elmer). For the MethyLight and pyrosequencing assays, aliquots of DNA (500 ng) were bisulfite treated with the EZ DNA methylation kit (Zymo Research). The interassay coefficients of variation (CV) were 2.8, 0.9, and 5.8 for LUMA, LINE-1, and Sat2, respectively.

Statistical methods

We calculated Spearman correlation coefficients for each marker with age at baseline and time since baseline (years of follow up). We used multivariable linear regression models to examine the associations between the absolute change in each methylation marker over time with the following variables: age at baseline blood draw, gender, time between blood draws, cancer status at the follow up (time 2 blood draw), BRCA1/2 mutation status, body mass index (BMI) at baseline, smoking status at baseline and baseline methylation levels for each respective marker. We carried out sensitivity analyses for these models to see whether our findings were robust to outliers and to examine whether the findings were materially altered when we removed the 15 subjects who reported a prior cancer at one of the time points.

Results

The range between the 2 blood draws was 1.3 to 13.2 years (mean = 8.6 years, SD = 2.8). Nine individuals had a history of cancer at the baseline and 6 subjects were diagnosed with any cancer during the follow up. A total of 13 and 7 individuals had mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2, respectively. There were no cross-sectional associations between levels of PBMC DNA methylation measured by the 3 assays and age at the time of the blood draw (range from 18–84 years). Figure 1 presents the associations of absolute changes in DNA methylation over time for each methylation assays. The mean and SD of within-individual difference for LUMA, LINE-1, and Sat2 was −0.3 (7.8), 0.1 (3.5), and 5.8 (27.6), respectively. There were 19.5% and 13.0% of individuals whose LUMA values decreased or increased by ≥10%. Only 3.9% of individuals had LINE-1 methylation values that decreased or increased by ≥10%. For Sat2, 40% of individuals had values that increased and 26% of individuals had values that decreased more than 10%. Overall, Sat2 methylation increased over time with the Spearman correlation coefficient of $r = 0.24$ ($P = 0.04$).

Figure 2 shows the associations between absolute change in each DNA methylation assay with baseline values for that assay. Changes in DNA methylation over time were significantly inversely associated with levels of DNA methylation at baseline. Spearman correlation coefficients were −0.53 ($P < 0.0001$), −0.46 ($P < 0.0001$), and −0.35 ($P = 0.0002$) for LUMA, LINE-1, and Sat2, respectively. Smoking status at baseline was not associated with levels of DNA methylation change in DNA methylation over time (data not shown).

The levels of Sat2 methylation at both time points were statistically lower in subjects with a BRCA1 mutation compared with those without. The mean levels of Sat2 methylation were 64.3 at baseline and 73.7% at follow-up among subjects with a BRCA1 mutation. The corresponding mean levels were 92.3% and 97.4% for subjects without a BRCA1 mutation. The mean levels of Sat2 methylation were 77.0 and 99.2% for those with and without mutations in either gene, respectively ($P = 0.001$).

The mean levels of LUMA methylation at baseline were 67.9% and 73.7% for subjects with and without a history of cancer at baseline, respectively ($P = 0.005$). The mean levels of LINE-1 methylation at baseline were higher in subjects with a history of cancer at baseline compared
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with those without a history of cancer (79.5% vs. 77.3%, $P = 0.007$). Compared with individuals without any cancer, individuals with either a history of cancer or new cancer during the follow-up period had greater decreases in LINE-1 and Sat2 methylation over time. The mean changes in LINE-1 were $-3.1\%$ and $0.8\%$ for those with and without cancer, respectively. The corresponding levels of Sat2 were $-8.7\%$ with and $9.3\%$ without for those with and without cancer, respectively ($P = 0.02$).

The associations of change in DNA methylation over time were inversely correlated with baseline levels, with standardized $\beta$ values of $-0.78$, $-0.41$, and $-0.43$ for LUMA, LINE-1, and Sat2, respectively (Table 1). We examined the same models reported in Table 1 excluding individuals with low values ($<60$ for LUMA, $<74$ for LINE-1) and found very similar results and no overall change in inferences (data not shown). In addition, we examined the models reported in Table 1 excluding the 15 individuals with a prior cancer; the strong inverse statistically significant associations with baseline values for each
methylations assay remained with standardized β values of −0.71, −0.50, and −0.28 for LUMA, LINE-1, and Sat2, respectively.

Discussion

Overall, we observed a large percent of decreases and increases in DNA methylation levels over time. Consistent with another within-individual study reporting on the LUMA assay over time (12), we observed large differences in LUMA levels over time with roughly the same number of participants decreasing as increasing over time. Thus, intraindividual change might be missed if measuring the population average DNA methylation through cross-sectional sampling. We also observed that the number of individuals that had large changes in genomic DNA methylation over time (>10% changes) differed by assay type with approximately 1/3, 2/3, and only 4% experiencing large changes in LUMA, Sat2, and LINE-1, respectively, over time.

Genomic DNA methylation levels measured in blood DNA have been associated with white blood cell counts and some risk factors of cancer (9, 14). Few studies, however, have examined whether risk factors are related to changes in methylation over time. Comparing within-individual changes over time, we did not observe a clear pattern between age and genomic DNA methylation levels for any of the 3 assays we used. To date, most studies of age and genomic DNA methylation have investigated LINE-1 methylation in cross-sectional studies (4, 8, 9, 14). We found that changes in LINE-1 methylation in PBMC DNA differed between individuals with and without a history of cancer. This is consistent with the lower levels of blood LINE-1 methylation in bladder (3, 4) and head and neck squamous cell (8) but not breast (7) cancer patients. We also found that subjects with a BRCA1 mutation had lower Sat2 methylation levels, although this association did not remain statistically significant in multivariable models adjusting for other factors.

The strongest predictors of absolute change, however, were baseline values of each respective assay. After excluding observations with very low baseline values of LUMA and LINE-1, the overall associations observed in the regression models were similar and the overall inferences were the same as before the exclusions (data not shown). Overall the CV for each assay was quite small suggesting that the lack of reliability did not drive our overall findings. For example, the inter-CV for the lowest LUMA value was 2.2. Although we did not repeat the LINE-1 analysis for the samples with low values, the interassay CV for the 5% of samples that were repeated was 0.9, again suggesting that unreliable measures were not a likely explanation for our findings. Storage issues can sometimes explain differences in laboratory assays over time, but we did adjust for time between the blood draws in our analyses. As we observed increases and decreases in methylation levels for each assay over time, it is unclear how storage time may help explain these opposing patterns.

These data, if replicated, suggest that changes in DNA methylation over time vary by assay type and are most associated with baseline values of the assay. We observed greater differences over time in Sat2 measures, a marker that we have found to be associated with breast cancer within families (20), than we did with LUMA and LINE-1, 2 markers that we did not find to be associated with breast cancer within the same families (20, 21). Thus, these findings suggest that assays that change more over time may warrant consideration for studies that measure later

### Table 1. Multivariable linear regression models of absolute change in genomic methylation markers by participant characteristics and baseline DNA methylation level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Change in LUMA ($R^2 = 0.58^b$)</th>
<th>Change in LINE-1 ($R^2 = 0.35^a$)</th>
<th>Change in Sat2 ($R^2 = 0.23^a$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Standardized β</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Standardized β</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male vs. female)</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at baseline</td>
<td>−0.04</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time between blood draws</td>
<td>−0.16</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior cancer (yes vs. no)b</td>
<td>−0.003</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>−0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRCA1/2 mutation (yes vs. no)</td>
<td>−0.06</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI at baseline</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status at baseline (ever vs. never)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline methylation</td>
<td>−0.78</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>−0.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^b$R² from multivariable regression model for absolute change in each marker simultaneously adjusted for all variables in the table.

$^a$Fifteen individuals had a prior cancer reported at either time 1 or time 2, when we excluded these 15 subjects, the overall inferences were the same; baseline methylation levels remained highly associated with absolute change (see Results).
life exposures. These findings have implications when designing studies to examine the associations between exposures and blood DNA methylation levels and in examining the association between DNA methylation levels and disease.
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