

## Predictors of Breast Density Change After Hormone Therapy Cessation: Results from a Randomized Trial

Sarah J. Lowry<sup>1,2</sup>, Erin J. Aiello Bowles<sup>1</sup>, Melissa L. Anderson<sup>1</sup>, and Diana S.M. Buist<sup>1</sup>

### Abstract

**Background:** Postmenopausal hormone therapy cessation is associated with a decrease in mammographic density (MD), but it is unknown whether this effect is modified by woman-level characteristics. We investigated whether we could identify characteristics of women who were most likely to experience a decrease in MD due to hormone therapy cessation.

**Methods:** Postmenopausal hormone therapy users with a prior screening mammogram ( $n = 1,168$ ) were randomized to continue hormone therapy or to suspend hormone therapy for 1 month or 2 months before their next screening mammogram. We estimated relative risks (RR) and attributable risks with 95% CIs of a  $\geq 7.5\%$  decrease in percentage MD (%MD) versus no change associated with hormone therapy cessation, stratified by age, body mass index (BMI), parity, and other factors.

**Results:** Hormone therapy cessation increased a woman's likelihood of experiencing a  $\geq 7.5\%$  decrease in %MD by 30% (95% CI = 1.03–1.7), but we found little evidence of effect modification by age, race, BMI, change in BMI, baseline %MD, parity, family history of breast cancer, hormone therapy type, or duration of hormone therapy use.

**Conclusions:** Woman-level factors do not appear to explain why some women experience a decrease in %MD following hormone therapy cessation and others do not.

**Impact:** We were unable to identify subgroups of women who are more likely to experience a decrease in MD due to hormone therapy cessation; other factors, such as genetic factors, may be important determinants of hormone therapy-related changes in MD. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*; 20(10); 2309–12. ©2011 AACR.

### Introduction

Mammographic density (MD) is a strong marker of breast cancer risk (1). Postmenopausal hormone therapy increases MD (2, 3), particularly combined hormone therapy (2), which also increases breast cancer risk (4). Postmenopausal hormone therapy cessation decreases MD in some women (5); reasons for this are not well understood. We examined whether the association between hormone therapy cessation and decrease in percentage MD (%MD) is modified by woman-level factors related to MD including age, race, body mass index (BMI), change in BMI between mammograms, baseline %MD, parity, and family history of breast cancer, as well as hormone therapy characteristics (type and duration of use; refs. 2, 3, 5). The purpose of this study was to gain insight into the relationship between hormone therapy

and mammographic density change and to identify subgroups of women whose %MD might be more likely to decrease due to hormone therapy cessation.

### Methods

Methods used in the Radiological Evaluation and Breast Density (READ) randomized trial have been described previously (5). Briefly, we identified women aged 45 to 80 years who reported hormone therapy use at a screening (index) mammogram conducted at Group Health Cooperative within the past 24 months. Women due for another screening (study) mammogram who had filled 2 or more hormone therapy prescriptions in the 6 months before recruitment were eligible. Of those who completed the study mammogram ( $n = 1,471$ ), we excluded women with a baseline %MD of less than 10% ( $n = 290$ ), as well as women missing information on BMI ( $n = 13$ ). Study methods were approved by the Institutional Review Boards of Group Health and the U.S. Department of Defense.

Women randomized to 1 month or 2 months of hormone therapy cessation were considered "exposed" ( $n = 738$ ) and those randomized to continue hormone therapy were "unexposed" ( $n = 430$ ). We gathered data on potential effect modifiers (age, race, BMI, change in BMI

**Authors' Affiliations:** <sup>1</sup>Group Health Research Institute; and <sup>2</sup>Department of Epidemiology, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington

**Corresponding Author:** Sarah J. Lowry, Group Health Cooperative, Group Health Research Institute, 1730 Minor Ave, Suite 1600, Seattle, WA 98101. Phone: 206-287-2900; Fax: 206-287-2485; E-mail: lowry.s@ghc.org

doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-11-0629

©2011 American Association for Cancer Research.

**Table 1.** Change in %MD for continued use and hormone therapy cessation groups by demographic and reproductive factors

	Continued use group				Hormone therapy cessation group						
	Median change in %MD (95% CI)	N	Outcome: %MD change: row percent		Median change in %MD (95% CI)	N	Outcome: %MD change: row percent				
			Decrease $\geq 7.5\%$	No change $\pm 3\%$ to $< 7.5\%$ Increase $> 3\%$			Decrease $\geq 7.5\%$	No change $\pm 3\%$ to $< 7.5\%$ Increase $> 3\%$			
Overall	-0.7 (-1.3 to -0.2)	430	15	20	29	738	21	24	32	23	
Age, y											
45-55	-0.6 (-1.8 to 0.5)	116	17	17	30	199	26	25	28	20	
56-65	-0.8 (-1.8 to 0.6)	214	15	21	29	381	18	24	32	25	
66+	-0.6 (-1.9 to 0.9)	100	13	21	27	158	19	24	36	21	
Caucasian											
No	-0.2 (-3.0 to 3.1)	31	16	16	35	70	17	20	24	39	
Yes	-0.8 (-1.4 to -0.2)	398	15	21	28	664	21	25	33	22	
Baseline BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>											
<25	-0.2 (-1.4 to 1.0)	191	17	15	34	311	20	22	31	27	
25-30	-0.7 (-1.7 to -0.1)	147	12	23	26	244	20	24	32	24	
>30	-1.4 (-3.5 to 0.05)	92	17	25	23	183	22	29	33	16	
Baseline %MD											
10% to <20%	-0.3 (-1.4 to 0.6)	137	4	21	26	241	9	27	40	24	
20% to <30%	0.2 (-1.0 to 2.0)	119	12	19	35	201	17	24	36	23	
30% to <40%	-2.6 (-4.4 to -0.1)	87	25	23	25	152	28	25	26	20	
40+%	-2.6 (-4.9 to -0.3)	87	29	16	29	144	38	20	18	24	
Parity											
Parous	-0.9 (-1.5 to -0.2)	347	16	20	27	583	21	25	32	23	
Nulliparous	-0.2 (-1.1 to 1.8)	82	12	18	34	153	20	24	33	24	
Family history of breast cancer (first degree)											
No	-0.4 (-0.9 to 0.2)	330	16	18	30	589	21	24	31	23	
Yes	-2.2 (-3.4 to -0.4)	89	12	30	26	130	19	24	35	22	
Change in BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>											
Decrease >1.5	1.1 (-3.4 to 4.3)	37	11	24	35	64	16	23	38	23	
No change ( $\leq 1.5$ )	-0.4 (-1.4 to 0.4)	286	16	18	31	486	21	24	30	26	
Increase >1.5	-1.8 (-3.5 to -0.7)	84	17	24	20	159	22	27	33	18	
Hormone therapy type											
Estrogen therapy	-0.9 (-1.7 to -0.2)	264	16	22	27	450	19	25	33	23	
Estrogen + progesterone	-0.2 (-1.4 to 0.8)	166	14	16	32	288	24	24	30	23	
Duration of prior hormone therapy use at baseline, y											
<5	0.5 (-1.1 to -2.5)	57	16	14	33	86	31	13	24	31	
5-9	-1.2 (-2.8 to 0.5)	93	18	18	28	159	23	25	35	17	
10-14	-0.7 (-1.8 to 2.0)	83	14	20	36	167	17	28	32	23	
15+	-0.9 (-1.6 to 0.2)	173	14	22	25	277	20	25	33	22	

NOTE: Row percents may not add to 100 because of rounding to the nearest 1%. N's may not sum to totals due to missing values. The study had 88% power to detect a 7% change in density among exposed women, if there were no change among unexposed.

**Table 2.** Effect of hormone therapy cessation on likelihood of a decrease in %MD between mammograms: RRs and ARs of a decrease in %MD of  $\geq 7.5\%$  versus no change (3%), overall and by reproductive and demographic subgroups

	Continued hormone therapy use (referent group)		1- to 2-mo hormone therapy cessation		1- to 2-mo vs. no hormone therapy cessation	
	No change, <sup>a</sup> n (%)	Decrease $\geq 7.5\%$ , n (%)	No change, n (%)	Decrease $\geq 7.5\%$ , n (%)	RR <sup>b</sup> (95% CI)	AR <sup>b,c</sup> (%) (95% CI)
Overall effect	154 (100)	66 (100)	235 (100)	152 (100)	1.3 (1.03–1.7)	9 (2–17)
Age, y						
66+	39 (25)	20 (30)	57 (24)	30 (20)	1.5 (1.0–2.2)	9 (–6 to 25)
56–65	74 (48)	33 (50)	122 (52)	70 (46)	1.2 (0.8–1.7)	6 (–5 to 17)
45–55	41 (27)	20 (30)	56 (24)	52 (34)	1.4 (0.8–2.4)	15 (0–30)
BMI, <sup>d</sup> kg/m <sup>2</sup>						
<25	65 (42)	32 (48)	96 (41)	54 (40)	1.2 (0.9–1.7)	7 (–5 to 19)
25–30	57 (37)	18 (27)	79 (34)	48 (32)	1.6 (1.0–2.5)	14 (1–27)
>30	32 (21)	16 (24)	60 (26)	41 (27)	1.2 (0.8–1.9)	7 (–9 to 24)
Baseline %MD <sup>d</sup>						
10% to <20%	68 (44)	5 (8)	97 (41)	21 (14)	2.6 (1.02–6.6)	11 (2–20)
20% to <30%	40 (26)	14 (21)	72 (31)	34 (22)	1.2 (0.7–2.1)	6 (–9 to 21)
30% to <40%	23 (15)	22 (33)	40 (17)	43 (28)	1.1 (0.7–1.5)	3 (–15 to 21)
40+%	23 (15)	25 (38)	26 (11)	54 (36)	1.3 (0.9–1.8)	15 (–2 to 33)
Parity						
Parous	125 (81)	56 (85)	185 (79)	121 (80)	1.3 (1.0–1.7)	9 (0–17)
Nulliparous	29 (19)	10 (15)	50 (21)	31 (20)	1.5 (0.8–2.7)	13 (–5 to 30)
Family history of breast cancer (first degree)						
Yes	28 (18)	11 (17)	45 (19)	25 (16)	1.3 (0.7–2.3)	10 (1–19)
No	120 (78)	52 (79)	185 (79)	125 (82)	1.3 (1.0–1.7)	8 (–11 to 26)
Change in BMI						
Decrease >1.5	11 (7)	4 (6)	24 (10)	10 (7)	1.1 (0.4–3.0)	3 (–24 to 30)
No change ( $\leq 1.5$ )	99 (64)	46 (70)	145 (62)	100 (66)	1.3 (1.0–1.7)	9 (0–19)
Increase >1.5	33 (21)	14 (21)	53 (23)	35 (23)	1.3 (0.8–2.2)	10 (–7 to 27)
Hormone therapy type						
Estrogen therapy	92 (60)	42 (64)	149 (63)	84 (55)	1.2 (0.8–1.6)	5 (–5 to 15)
Estrogen + progesterone	62 (40)	24 (36)	86 (37)	68 (45)	1.6 (1.1–2.3)	16 (4–29)
Hormone therapy duration, <sup>e</sup> y						
<5	21 (14)	9 (14)	21 (9)	27 (18)	1.9 (1.0–3.4)	26 (5–48)
5–9	33 (21)	17 (26)	55 (23)	37 (24)	1.2 (0.7–1.9)	6 (–10 to 23)
10–14	24 (16)	12 (18)	54 (23)	28 (18)	1.0 (0.6–1.8)	1 (–18 to 19)
15+	66 (43)	25 (38)	91 (39)	55 (36)	1.4 (0.9–2.0)	10 (2–22)

<sup>a</sup>Percentages may not add to 100 because of rounding to the nearest 1%.

<sup>b</sup>Unadjusted (assignment to the exposure was randomized and is not associated with other factors; ref. 5).

<sup>c</sup>AR: cumulative incidence (proportion) of women in the cessation group who experienced a decrease in MD of  $\geq 7.5\%$  due to hormone therapy cessation.

<sup>d</sup>At index mammogram.

<sup>e</sup>Duration of prior hormone therapy use at baseline.

between mammograms, baseline %MD, parity, and family history of breast cancer, hormone therapy type, duration of hormone therapy use) from automated databases and study questionnaires (5). We defined change in BMI between mammograms as a change of 1.5 units or more (kg/m<sup>2</sup>), corresponding to a weight change of approximately 7 to 11 pounds for height 4'11" to 6'0".

Buist and colleagues used Cumulus software to measure dense area and total breast area in digitized left breast craniocaudal projections; %MD was calculated as dense area divided by total area (5).

We report median change in %MD between mammograms with 95% CIs by woman-level characteristics, separately by exposure status. We used generalized

linear models (GLM) with a log link and a robust variance estimator to estimate relative risks (RR) of decreasing %MD versus no change associated with hormone therapy cessation (see definitions of change below). We used GLM with an identity link to estimate attributable risks (AR). We report RRs and ARs with 95% CIs by subgroup of each potential effect modifier to assess whether certain women were more likely to experience a decrease in %MD due to cessation. To estimate RRs and ARs, we compared women who decreased  $\geq 7.5\%$  MD versus women with no change (defined as an absolute change of  $\leq 3\%$  MD). A 7.5% decrease in %MD was approximately the median level of decrease among women whose %MD decreased ( $>3\%$ ) and may represent a clinically relevant level of decrease. Studies suggest that women with a given %MD have a 15% to 20% higher risk of breast cancer than women whose %MD is lower by 10% (6,7). All analyses were conducted using STATA version 11.1 (StataCorp).

## Results

Women randomized to suspend hormone therapy had a greater median decrease in %MD between mammograms than continued users ( $-2.4\%$  vs.  $-0.7\%$ ), and a greater proportion who decreased by  $\geq 7.5\%$  MD (21% vs. 15%; Table 1). Overall, hormone therapy cessation was associated with a 30% increased likelihood of a  $\geq 7.5\%$  MD decrease versus no change (RR = 1.3, 95% CI = 1.03–1.7) and an attributable risk of 9% (95% CI = 2–17). We found little evidence of effect modification by the factors studied on either a multiplicative or an additive scale (Table 2).

## Discussion

We did not observe strong evidence that the effect of hormone therapy cessation on %MD varies by woman-level characteristics. Our findings suggest that hormone therapy cessation may have a similar biological effect on %MD regardless of age, BMI, baseline %MD, and the other factors we examined. Although we used continuous measures of %MD, which are more precise than commonly used categorical measures, and a single trained reader

interpreted all mammograms, measurement error of %MD remains a concern. Quality control sampling indicated that less than 10% of mammography rereads differed by  $\geq 7.5\%$  MD. We were unable to measure %MD immediately before hormone therapy cessation. However, our comparison of 2 large, randomized groups allowed us to infer this change with reasonable confidence. Additional strengths include a large sample size, randomization of exposure, high compliance with the intervention (8), and high-quality administrative data.

Our findings suggest that hormone therapy cessation-associated decrease in %MD is not predicted by woman-level factors. Other explanations for why subsets of women experience %MD decreases due to hormone therapy cessation, such as genetic factors, should be considered.

## Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

## Acknowledgments

This study could not have been completed without the assistance of Tammy Dodd, Linda Palmer, Dawn Fitzgibbons, Deborah Seger, Susan Reed, Katherine Newton, or Melissa Rabelhofer. The authors would further like to thank members of our advisory board: Hermien Watkins, Paula Hoffman, Deb Schiro, and Margrit Schubiger; members of the Data Safety and Monitoring Board: Susan Heckbert, MD, PhD, Chair, Department of Epidemiology, University of Washington; Ben Anderson, MD, University of Washington; Mary Anne Rossing, DVM, PhD, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center; Robert D. Rosenberg, MD, University of New Mexico; Thomas Lumley, PhD, University of Washington Department of Biostatistics; and Elizabeth Lin, MD, Group Health Permanente medical monitor. They also thank Robert Karl, MD, Donna White, MD, and Jo Ellen Callahan for their support for implementing this trial at Group Health; Noel Weiss, MD, MPH, and Jessica Chubak, PhD, MBHL, for providing feedback on methods and analyses; Stephen Taplin, MD, MPH, for his collaboration in getting this study funded when he was an investigator at Group Health Cooperative.

## Grant Support

The READ study was funded by the Department of Defense (principal investigator, D.S.M. Buist; DAMD17-03-1-0447). Registered clinical trial number: NCT00117663. Study participants were recruited from the Group Health Breast Cancer Screening Program funded by the National Cancer Institute (principal investigator: D.S.M. Buist, U01CA63731).

Received July 8, 2011; revised July 22, 2011; accepted July 28, 2011; published OnlineFirst August 3, 2011.

## References

- Boyd NF, Martin LJ, Bronskill M, Yaffe MJ, Duric N, Minkin S. Breast tissue composition and susceptibility to breast cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2010;102:1224–37.
- McTiernan A, Chlebowski RT, Martin C, Peck JD, Aragaki A, Pisano ED, et al. Conjugated equine estrogen influence on mammographic density in postmenopausal women in a substudy of the women's health initiative randomized trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2009;27:6135–43.
- McTiernan A, Martin CF, Peck JD, Aragaki AK, Chlebowski RT, Pisano ED, et al. Estrogen-plus-progestin use and mammographic density in postmenopausal women: Women's Health Initiative randomized trial. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2005;97:1366–76.
- Chlebowski RT, Hendrix SL, Langer RD, Stefanick ML, Gass M, Lane D, et al. Influence of estrogen plus progestin on breast cancer and mammography in healthy postmenopausal women: the Women's Health Initiative Randomized Trial. *JAMA* 2003;289:3243–53.
- Buist DS, Anderson ML, Reed SD, Aiello Bowles EJ, Fitzgibbons ED, Gandara JC, et al. Short-term hormone therapy suspension and mammography recall: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med* 2009;150:752–65.
- Byrne C, Schairer C, Wolfe J, Parekh N, Salane M, Brinton LA, et al. Mammographic features and breast cancer risk: effects with time, age, and menopause status. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1995;87:1622–9.
- Boyd NF, Lockwood GA, Byng JW, Tritchler DL, Yaffe MJ. Mammographic densities and breast cancer risk. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 1998;7:1133–44.
- Newton KM, Anderson ML, Reed SD, Bowles EJ, Buist DS. Factors associated with non-compliance with hormone therapy cessation before screening mammography. *Climacteric* 2011;14:268–74.

# BLOOD CANCER DISCOVERY

## Predictors of Breast Density Change After Hormone Therapy Cessation: Results from a Randomized Trial

Sarah J. Lowry, Bowles Erin J. Aiello, Melissa L. Anderson, et al.

*Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2011;20:2309-2312. Published OnlineFirst August 3, 2011.

**Updated version** Access the most recent version of this article at:  
doi: [10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-11-0629](https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-11-0629)

**Cited articles** This article cites 8 articles, 2 of which you can access for free at:  
<http://cebp.aacrjournals.org/content/20/10/2309.full#ref-list-1>

**Citing articles** This article has been cited by 2 HighWire-hosted articles. Access the articles at:  
<http://cebp.aacrjournals.org/content/20/10/2309.full#related-urls>

**E-mail alerts** [Sign up to receive free email-alerts](#) related to this article or journal.

**Reprints and Subscriptions** To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at [pubs@aacr.org](mailto:pubs@aacr.org).

**Permissions** To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, use this link  
<http://cebp.aacrjournals.org/content/20/10/2309>.  
Click on "Request Permissions" which will take you to the Copyright Clearance Center's (CCC) Rightslink site.