

# Telomere Length, Cigarette Smoking, and Bladder Cancer Risk in Men and Women

Monica McGrath,<sup>1,2,4</sup> Jason Y.Y. Wong,<sup>4</sup> Dominique Michaud,<sup>1,4</sup>  
David J. Hunter,<sup>1,2,3,4</sup> and Immaculata De Vivo<sup>1,2,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Epidemiology, <sup>2</sup>Program in Molecular and Genetic Epidemiology, and <sup>3</sup>Department of Nutrition, Harvard School of Public Health, <sup>4</sup>Channing Laboratory, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts

## Abstract

Truncated telomeres are among the defining characteristics of most carcinomas. Given the role of telomeres in tumorigenesis, we reasoned that constitutionally short telomeres might be associated with an increased risk of bladder cancer. Using quantitative real-time PCR, we measured relative telomere length in bladder cancer cases and healthy controls and evaluated the association between telomere length, cigarette smoking, and bladder cancer risk in a case-control study nested within the Health Professionals Follow-up Study and a case-control study nested within the Nurses' Health Study. Telomeres were significantly shorter in bladder cancer cases ( $n = 184$ ) than in controls ( $n = 192$ ). The mean relative telomere length in cases was 0.23 (SD, 0.16) versus 0.27 (SD, 0.15) in

controls ( $P = 0.001$ ). The adjusted odds ratio for bladder cancer was 1.88 (95% confidence interval, 1.05, 3.36) for individuals in the quartile with the shortest telomeres as compared with individuals in the quartile with the longest telomeres ( $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.006$ ). We observed a statistically significant difference in telomere length among men and women ( $P < 0.001$ ); however, the interaction between gender, telomere length, and bladder cancer risk was not significant. We also observed a significant difference in telomere length across categories of pack-years of smoking ( $P = 0.01$ ). These findings suggest that truncated telomeres are associated with an increased risk of bladder cancer. (Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2007;16(4):815–9)

## Introduction

Telomeres are hexameric nucleotide (TTAGGG)<sub>*n*</sub> repeats on the distal ends of eukaryotic chromosomes that are critical in maintaining the structural integrity of the genome. Telomeres prevent fusion of chromosomal ends, nucleolytic decay, and atypical recombination (1). Telomeric repeats in normal somatic tissue shorten by ~30 to 200 bp after each mitotic division eroding chromosomal termini (2). The rate of telomere shortening per cell division is not constant and may be a function of oxidative stress and antioxidant defenses (3). Cells with critically truncated telomeres exhibit chromosomal rearrangements and undergo senescence and eventually apoptosis (4). Mouse models indicate an increased incidence of tumor formation with shorter telomeres (5–8). Telomere length is maintained by telomerase, a ribonucleoprotein reverse transcriptase not expressed in somatic tissue but highly expressed in germ cells (9). Telomerase is also expressed in neoplastic tissues and is a hallmark of most cancers (10). Cigarette smoking is a risk factor for bladder cancer (11) and may cause oxidative stress (12), which enhances telomere shortening (3). Smaller case-control studies observed shorter telomeres in peripheral blood leukocytes (PBL) and buccal cells from patients with bladder cancer than in controls (13, 14). We investigated the association between relative telomere length, cigarette smoking, and bladder cancer risk in a case-control study nested within the Health Professionals Follow-up Study (HPFS) and in a case-control study nested within the Nurses' Health Study (NHS).

## Materials and Methods

The NHS originated in 1976 when 121,700 female U.S. nurses aged 30 to 55 years completed a self-administered questionnaire. Detailed information on individual characteristics and behaviors was obtained from questionnaires at baseline and biennially thereafter. Between 1989 and 1990, blood samples were collected from 32,826 women, and follow-up for this subcohort exceeds 96%. Eligible cases were women with confirmed bladder cancer diagnosed anytime after cohort inception and up to June 1, 2000, with no previously diagnosed cancer. We confirmed ~95% of self-reported bladder cancer cases. Controls were randomly selected participants who had provided a blood sample and were free of diagnosed cancer. Controls were matched to cases 1:1 by age and smoking status (current smoker versus not current smoker at the time of blood collection). This study includes 73 women with bladder cancer (median age, 59 years; range, 45–69) and 73 matched female controls (median age, 60 years; range, 45–69).

The HPFS is a prospective study of the causes of chronic diseases in men. The cohort originated in 1986 when 51,529 U.S. male health professionals aged 40 to 75 years responded to a mailed questionnaire (15). They provided information on medical histories and health-related exposures at baseline and biennial questionnaires thereafter. Follow-up is 93%. Blood samples were collected between 1993 and 1995 from 18,025 participants. Eligible cases were men with confirmed bladder cancer diagnosed anytime after cohort inception and up to June 1, 2000, with no previously diagnosed cancer; 96% of the cases were diagnosed with transitional cell carcinomas. Controls were randomly selected participants who had provided a blood sample and were free of diagnosed cancer (other than nonmelanoma skin cancer). Controls were matched to cases 1:1 by age and smoking status (never, former, and current smoking status at time of blood collection). This study consists of 136 men with bladder cancer (median age, 68; range, 49–81) and 136 matched male controls (median age, 68; range, 50–81).

Genomic DNA was extracted from buffy coat fractions using the QIAmp (Qiagen, Chatsworth, CA) 96-spin blood protocol.

Received 11/14/06; revised 1/23/07; accepted 2/2/07.

**Grant support:** NIH grants: National Cancer Institute (NCI) CA55075 and NCI CA87969, NCI T32 CA 09001-28, National Institute of Child Health and Human Development K12 HD051959-01, and a grant from the American Cancer Society: RSG-00-061-04-CCE.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked *advertisement* in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

**Requests for reprints:** Monica McGrath, Channing Laboratory, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, 181 Longwood Avenue, Boston, MA 02115. E-mail: mmcgrath@hsph.harvard.edu

Copyright © 2007 American Association for Cancer Research.

doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-06-0961



**Table 3. Association between relative telomere length and male bladder cancer risk**

Relative telomere length	Cases, <i>n</i> (%)	Controls, <i>n</i> (%)	OR (95% CI)*	OR (95% CI) <sup>†</sup>	OR (95% CI) <sup>‡</sup>
4th quartile	23 (18.7)	32 (25.6)	1.00 ref	1.00 ref	1.00 ref
3rd quartile	21 (17.1)	32 (25.6)	0.91 (0.42, 1.97)	0.88 (0.41, 1.91)	0.83 (0.37, 1.84)
2nd quartile	34 (27.6)	31 (24.8)	1.53 (0.74, 3.15)	1.47 (0.71, 3.05)	1.56 (0.74, 3.30)
1st quartile	45 (36.9)	30 (24.0)	2.09 (1.03, 4.23)	2.05 (1.00, 4.20)	1.94 (0.93, 4.05)
			<i>P</i> <sub>trend</sub> = 0.02	<i>P</i> <sub>trend</sub> = 0.02	<i>P</i> <sub>trend</sub> = 0.03

\*Crude unconditional logistic regression.

<sup>†</sup>Unconditional logistic regression adjusted for the matching factors (age and smoking status).

<sup>‡</sup>Unconditional logistic regression adjusted for the matching factors (age, smoking status) and pack-years of smoking.

considered statistically significant. We used the SAS Version 9.1 software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

## Results

**Nurses' Health Study.** For the NHS, analysis included 61 bladder cancer cases and 67 controls. Cases and controls had similar ages at blood draw and pack-years of smoking. Cases were leaner than controls, and their telomere lengths were shorter than those of controls, although this difference was only marginally statistically significant (Table 1).

We observed a statistically significant association between relative telomere length and risk of bladder cancer in women. Participants in the first tertile, those with the shortest telomere length, had an adjusted OR for bladder cancer of 2.67 (95% CI, 1.11, 6.40) compared with women in the third tertile (*P*<sub>trend</sub> = 0.03; Table 2). We dichotomized telomere length at the median based on the distribution observed in the controls; the adjusted OR for bladder cancer for women with telomere lengths below the median compared with women with telomere lengths greater than or equal to the median relative telomere length was 2.17 (95% CI, 1.04, 4.52).

We also investigated the relation between cigarette smoking status, relative telomere length, and bladder cancer risk. We did not observe an association between smoking status (current versus not current; never versus ever) and telomere length among controls (all *P* values > 0.2). We also did not observe a significant interaction between median telomere length, risk of bladder cancer, and cigarette smoking status (ever versus never smoking; *P*<sub>interaction</sub> = 0.12; Table 6). We did not observe a correlation between telomere length and age, pack-years of smoking, and BMI at blood collection (*P* > 0.6), but we had limited power to detect such associations.

**Health Professionals Follow-up Study.** For HPFS, our analysis included 123 bladder cancer cases and 125 controls. Cases and controls had similar ages at blood draw and had similar BMI at blood draw. Cases smoked more than controls, and their telomere length was significantly shorter than that of controls (Table 1).

We observed a marginally significant association between relative telomere length and risk of bladder cancer in men. We categorized the participants into quartiles based on the relative telomere length distribution of the controls; the fourth quartile, the longest telomere length, served as the reference. Participants in the first quartile, those with the shortest telomere length, had an adjusted OR for bladder cancer of 2.55 (95% CI, 1.14, 5.83) compared with men in the fourth quartile (*P*<sub>trend</sub> = 0.01; Table 3). Compared with men with relative telomere lengths greater than or equal to the median, men with relative telomere lengths below the median had an OR of 1.92 (95% CI, 1.14, 3.25).

We also investigated the relation between cigarette smoking status, relative telomere length, and bladder cancer risk among men. Among control smokers, we observed a significant correlation between relative telomere length and pack-years of smoking (*r* = -0.25, *P* = 0.02). We did not observe an association between smoking status (current versus not current; never versus ever) and telomere length among control subjects (all *P* values > 0.7). We also did not observe a significant interaction between median telomere length, risk of bladder cancer, and cigarette smoking status (*P*<sub>interaction</sub> = 0.32; Table 6). We did not observe a correlation between telomere length, age, and BMI (*P* > 0.2).

The combined analysis of the NHS and HPFS cohorts included 184 cases and 192 controls (Table 4). Among controls, the difference in the mean relative telomere length between women and men was statistically significant (*P* < 0.0001; age and smoking-adjusted means: women, 0.37; men, 0.22). Among cases, the difference in the mean relative telomere length between women and men was also statistically significant (*P* < 0.0001; age and smoking-adjusted means: women, 0.30; men, 0.19). However, we did not observe any interactions between gender, telomere length, and bladder cancer risk (*P*<sub>interaction</sub> = 0.53) and, therefore, did a combined analysis of NHS and HPFS adjusting for gender, age, pack-years of smoking, and smoking status.

We observed a statistically significant association between relative telomere length and bladder cancer. Telomeres were significantly shorter in cases than in controls. The mean

**Table 4. Select population characteristics of bladder cancer cases and controls**

Variable	Cases, <i>n</i> = 184	Controls, <i>n</i> = 192	<i>P</i> value
Age at blood draw,* mean (SD)	64.1 (8.8)	64.1 (8.4)	0.99
BMI at blood draw, mean (SD)	25.8 (3.6)	25.7 (4.2)	0.76
% male	67.2	65.5	0.72
Relative telomere length, <sup>†</sup> mean (SD)	0.23 (0.16)	0.27 (0.15)	0.002
Relative telomere length, <sup>†</sup> median	0.22	0.26	
Pack-years of smoking, <sup>‡</sup> mean (SD)	33.2 (23.8)	27.0 (20.2)	0.02
Cigarette smoking, <sup>§</sup> <i>n</i> (%)			0.75
Never	58 (31.7)	60 (31.6)	
Former	92 (50.3)	101 (53.2)	
Current	33 (18.0)	29 (15.3)	

\*Age at blood draw was a matching factor.

<sup>†</sup>*P* value obtained from Wilcoxon rank sum test.

<sup>‡</sup>Among cigarette smokers only.

<sup>§</sup>Smoking status was a matching factor. Note that for smoking in NHS, matching was current versus not current smoking.

**Table 5. Association between relative telomere length and bladder cancer risk in men and women**

Relative telomere length	Cases, <i>n</i> (%)	Controls, <i>n</i> (%)	OR (95% CI)*	OR (95% CI) <sup>†</sup>	OR (95% CI) <sup>‡</sup>
4th quartile	39 (21.2)	49 (25.5)	1.00 ref	1.00 ref	1.00 ref
3rd quartile	26 (14.1)	50 (26.0)	0.65 (0.35, 1.23)	0.64 (0.34, 1.21)	0.63 (0.33, 1.22)
2nd quartile	51 (27.7)	47 (24.5)	1.36 (0.77, 2.43)	1.32 (0.74, 2.35)	1.44 (0.80, 2.61)
1st quartile	68 (37.0)	46 (24.0)	1.86 (1.06, 3.26)	1.84 (1.04, 3.25)	1.88 (1.05, 3.36)
			<i>P</i> <sub>trend</sub> = 0.01	<i>P</i> <sub>trend</sub> = 0.01	<i>P</i> <sub>trend</sub> = 0.006

\*Crude unconditional logistic regression.

<sup>†</sup>Unconditional logistic regression adjusted for the matching factors (age and smoking status).

<sup>‡</sup>Unconditional logistic regression adjusted for the matching factors (age, smoking status), gender, and pack-years of smoking.

telomere length in cases was 0.23 (SD, 0.16) versus 0.27 (SD, 0.14) in controls ( $P = 0.001$ ). Participants in the lowest quartile (shortest telomeres) had an adjusted OR for bladder cancer of 1.88 (95% CI, 1.05, 3.36) compared with individuals in the top quartile ( $P_{\text{trend}} = 0.006$ ; Table 5). The adjusted OR for bladder cancer was 2.00 (95% CI, 1.31, 3.06) for individuals with telomeres shorter than the median compared with those with telomeres equal to or greater than the median. These findings were robust to maximum control of cigarette smoking by both dose and duration as well as robust to the inclusion of interaction terms for age and gender, gender and pack-years of smoking, and age and pack-years of smoking.

We observed a significant difference in telomere length across categories of pack-years of smoking adjusted for age and gender ( $P = 0.01$ ); the mean relative telomere length of controls who smoked  $\geq 30$  pack-years was 0.25 as compared with 0.29 for never smokers. We observed a marginally significant age and gender-adjusted correlation between relative telomere length and pack-years of smoking among control smokers ( $r = -0.17$ ,  $P = 0.06$ ) and a nonsignificant interaction between median telomere length, bladder cancer risk, and smoking status ( $P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.08$ ; Table 6). We did not observe an inverse significant correlation between relative telomere length and age and BMI ( $P > 0.8$ ).

## Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the largest population-based study addressing the potential contributions of relative telomere length to bladder cancer risk and the first large-scale study to use the real-time PCR method with PBLs to determine relative telomere length. We observed, in both men and women, that those with bladder cancer had shorter telomeres in PBLs than did controls. Our results are consistent with previously published studies on bladder cancer that observed an increased risk of bladder cancer in individuals with shorter telomeres (13, 14). Broberg et al. (13) assessed mean telomere length in buccal cells among 63 cases of bladder cancer ( $n = 9$  women, 54 men) and 93 controls ( $n = 28$  women, 65 men). They observed significantly shorter median telomere length ( $P = 0.001$ ) in cases as compared with controls and an adjusted OR of 4.5 (95% CI, 1.7-12) for bladder cancer in the quartile with the shortest telomere length. Broberg et al. (13) did not report any differences observed in relative telomere lengths between men and women. Wu et al. (14) assessed PBL telomere length by quantitative fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (Q-FISH) in 135 cases of bladder cancer ( $n = 33$  women, 102 men) and 135 controls ( $n = 33$  women, 102 men) and observed a statistically significant difference between bladder cancer cases and controls.

In contrast to Wu et al. (14), we did observe a significant difference in relative telomere length between men and women. In our study, women had longer relative telomeres as compared with those in men, which is consistent with prior studies demonstrating a similar relation (17-22). Telomere length has been observed to be similar in male and female newborns (23), yet telomere lengths in adults may have

potential differences due to gender differences and exposures to oxidative stress (21, 24). However, the gender differences we observed may be the result of true biological differences or may be the consequence of comparing the participants of the NHS and the HPFS cohorts, which have different characteristics and lifestyle behaviors (25). Our analyses are adjusted for the effect of cigarette smoking (pack-years of smoking and smoking status), a strong potential confounder for the association between telomere length and bladder cancer risk. Additional adjustment for BMI did not substantially change our point estimates and, therefore, was not included in the final models.

Telomere dysfunction is associated with an advanced age, and telomere length is considered to be a biomarker of biological age rather than chronological age (24). Because age-dependent shortening of telomeres in most somatic cells is thought to impair cellular function and viability (9), we evaluated telomere length with age and did not find a significant association. Our results do not support earlier findings by Valdes et al. (26) and Iwama et al. (27), who measured telomere length by assaying terminal restriction fragments. Valdes et al. (26) observed that telomeres shortened linearly with age by 27 bp per year in a study of 1,122 white women aged 18 to 76 years, and the correlation between age and telomere length was  $r = -0.46$ . Similarly, O'Sullivan et al. (28) observed that telomere length in PBLs as measured by Q-PCR decreased linearly with age ( $R^2 = 0.17$ ;  $P = 0.01$ ); however, there was no change in slope observed at older ages. In a smaller study of 80 healthy volunteers aged 4 to 95 years,

**Table 6. Association between relative telomere length, cigarette smoking, and bladder cancer risk**

Relative telomere length	Cases, <i>n</i> (%)	Controls, <i>n</i> (%)	OR (95% CI)*
<b>NHS</b>			
Never cigarette smoker			
$\geq$ Median	8 (42.1)	9 (40.9)	1.00 ref
< Median	11 (57.9)	13 (59.1)	0.96 (0.28, 3.36)
Ever cigarette smoker			
$\geq$ Median	13 (31.0)	25 (55.6)	1.00 ref
< Median	29 (69.1)	20 (44.4)	3.31 (1.33, 8.27)
<b>HPFS</b>			
Never cigarette smoker			
$\geq$ Median	16 (41.0)	18 (47.4)	1.00 ref
< Median	23 (59.0)	20 (52.6)	1.30 (0.52, 3.21)
Ever cigarette smoker			
$\geq$ Median	28 (33.7)	45 (52.9)	1.00 ref
< Median	55 (66.3)	40 (47.1)	2.31 (1.21, 4.41)
<b>NHS + HPFS</b>			
Never cigarette smoker			
$\geq$ Median	24 (41.4)	27 (45.0)	1.00 ref
< Median	34 (58.6)	33 (55.0)	1.16 (0.56, 2.41)
Ever cigarette smoker			
$\geq$ Median	41 (32.8)	70 (53.9)	1.00 ref
< Median	84 (67.2)	60 (46.2)	2.63 (1.56, 4.45)

\*Unconditional logistic regression adjusted for the matching factors (age, smoking status), gender, and pack-years of smoking among smokers only.

Iwama et al. (27) observed that telomere length decreased by 84 bp per year in younger subjects (ages 4-39 years), and that the rate of shortening was slower, at 41 bp, in older (ages 40+ years) subjects. Although the inverse association between telomere length and age has previously been observed, telomere length has also been found to vary considerably in human PBLs from individuals of the same age (27, 29, 30). Furthermore, the age ranges in our studies were limited and constrained by the initial design of the cohorts, reducing our ability to observe a significant correlation with increasing age and decreasing relative telomere length. In addition, we had limited power to detect an association of such small magnitude.

We also evaluated the effect of smoking on telomere length and found significantly shorter telomeres in healthy individuals who smoked than in those who did not smoke. Age-adjusted telomere length was ~5 bp shorter for every pack-year smoked in the Valdes et al. (26) study, with 40 pack-years of smoking corresponding to 7.4 years of age-related shortening in telomere length. Similarly, Morla et al. (31) observed a dose-response relationship between cumulative lifetime exposure to tobacco smoking and telomere length.

The observed correlation with cigarette smoking provides further assurance that the real-time PCR method provides a biologically meaningful measure of telomere length. Measuring telomeres by real-time PCR is currently the most economical and versatile high-throughput method. It generates a  $T/S$  ratio that is proportional to a cell's average telomere length. Although the values are not absolute amounts, the relative  $T/S$  ratio has been confirmed to be highly consistent with the Southern blot assay, which measures telomere length as terminal restriction fragments (16). This technique also allows for the rapid and easy determination of relative telomere length in a closed-tube, fluorescence-based assay.

In summary, we observed a statistically significant association with relative telomere length and bladder cancer risk and with cigarette smoking. Our study, along with previously published studies (13, 14) investigating the relation between telomere length and bladder cancer risk, lend support to the idea that critically truncated telomeres may result in chromosomal rearrangements, and that telomere shortening, which causes chromosomal instability, occurs early in the multistep process of malignant transformation (4, 10, 32). Additional studies are needed to investigate the associations between relative telomere length and grade, stage, and type of bladder cancer.

## Acknowledgments

We thank Dwayne Deer and Pati Soule for their laboratory technical assistance and Walter Willett for his insightful comments. We are indebted to the participants in the Nurses' Health Study and the Health Professionals Follow-up Study for their dedication and commitment.

## References

- Stewart SA, Weinberg RA. Telomeres: cancer to human aging. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* 2006;22:531-57.
- Shay JW, Wright WE. Telomerase activity in human cancer. *Curr Opin Oncol* 1996;8:66-71.
- von Zglinicki T. Oxidative stress shortens telomeres. *Trends Biochem Sci* 2002;27:339-44.
- Gilley D, Tanaka H, Herbert BS. Telomere dysfunction in aging and cancer. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* 2005;37:1000-13.
- Rudolph KL, Chang S, Lee HW, et al. Longevity, stress response, and cancer in aging telomerase-deficient mice. *Cell* 1999;96:701-12.
- Blasco MA, Gasser SM, Lingner J. Telomeres and telomerase. *Genes Dev* 1999;13:2353-9.
- Blasco MA, Lee HW, Hande MP, et al. Telomere shortening and tumor formation by mouse cells lacking telomerase RNA. *Cell* 1997;91:25-34.
- Blasco MA, Lee HW, Rizen M, Hanahan D, DePinho R, Greider CW. Mouse models for the study of telomerase. *Ciba Found Symp* 1997;211:160-70; discussion 70-6.
- Blasco MA. Telomeres and human disease: ageing, cancer and beyond. *Nat Rev Genet* 2005;6:611-22.
- Greider CW. Telomerase activity, cell proliferation, and cancer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1998;95:90-2.
- Kogevinas M, Trichopoulos D. Urinary bladder cancer. In: Adami H-O, Hunter DJ, Trichopoulos D, editors. *Textbook of cancer epidemiology*. New York: Oxford University Press; 2002. p. 446-66.
- van der Vaart H, Postma DS, Timens W, ten Hacken NH. Acute effects of cigarette smoke on inflammation and oxidative stress: a review. *Thorax* 2004;59:713-21.
- Broberg K, Bjork J, Paulsson K, Hoglund M, Albin M. Constitutional short telomeres are strong genetic susceptibility markers for bladder cancer. *Carcinogenesis* 2005;26:1263-71.
- Wu X, Amos CI, Zhu Y, et al. Telomere dysfunction: a potential cancer predisposition factor. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2003;95:1211-8.
- Giovannucci E, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, et al. Folate, methionine, and alcohol intake and risk of colorectal adenoma. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1993;85:875-84.
- Cawthon RM. Telomere measurement by quantitative PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2002;30:e47.
- Mayer S, Bruderlein S, Perner S, et al. Sex-specific telomere length profiles and age-dependent erosion dynamics of individual chromosome arms in humans. *Cytogenet Genome Res* 2006;112:194-201.
- Benetos A, Okuda K, Lajemi M, et al. Telomere length as an indicator of biological aging: the gender effect and relation with pulse pressure and pulse wave velocity. *Hypertension* 2001;37:381-5.
- Aviv A, Valdes A, Gardner JP, Swaminathan R, Kimura M, Spector TD. Menopause modifies the association of leukocyte telomere length with insulin resistance and inflammation. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2006;91:635-40.
- Cherif H, Tarry JL, Ozanne SE, Hales CN. Ageing and telomeres: a study into organ- and gender-specific telomere shortening. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2003;31:1576-83.
- Bischoff C, Graakjaer J, Petersen HC, et al. The heritability of telomere length among the elderly and oldest-old. *Twin Res Hum Genet* 2005;8:433-9.
- Bischoff C, Petersen HC, Graakjaer J, et al. No association between telomere length and survival among the elderly and oldest old. *Epidemiology* 2006;17:190-4.
- Okuda K, Bardeguet A, Gardner JP, et al. Telomere length in the newborn. *Pediatr Res* 2002;52:377-81.
- Epel ES, Blackburn EH, Lin J, et al. Accelerated telomere shortening in response to life stress. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2004;101:17312-5.
- Lee JE, Giovannucci E, Smith-Warner SA, Spiegelman D, Willett WC, Curhan GC. Total fluid intake and use of individual beverages and risk of renal cell cancer in two large cohorts. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2006;15:1204-11.
- Valdes AM, Andrew T, Gardner JP, et al. Obesity, cigarette smoking, and telomere length in women. *Lancet* 2005;366:662-4.
- Iwama H, Ohyashiki K, Ohyashiki JH, et al. Telomeric length and telomerase activity vary with age in peripheral blood cells obtained from normal individuals. *Hum Genet* 1998;102:397-402.
- O'Sullivan J, Risques RA, Mandelson MT, et al. Telomere length in the colon declines with age: a relation to colorectal cancer? *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2006;15:573-7.
- Hastie ND, Dempster M, Dunlop MG, Thompson AM, Green DK, Allshire RC. Telomere reduction in human colorectal carcinoma and with ageing. *Nature* 1990;346:866-8.
- Frenck RW, Jr., Blackburn EH, Shannon KM. The rate of telomere sequence loss in human leukocytes varies with age. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1998;95:5607-10.
- Morla M, Busquets X, Pons J, Sauleda J, MacNee W, Agusti AG. Telomere shortening in smokers with and without COPD. *Eur Respir J* 2006;27:525-8.
- Meeker AK, Hicks JL, Iacobuzio-Donahue CA, et al. Telomere length abnormalities occur early in the initiation of epithelial carcinogenesis. *Clin Cancer Res* 2004;10:3317-26.

## Telomere Length, Cigarette Smoking, and Bladder Cancer Risk in Men and Women

Monica McGrath, Jason Y.Y. Wong, Dominique Michaud, et al.

*Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2007;16:815-819.

**Updated version** Access the most recent version of this article at:  
<http://cebp.aacrjournals.org/content/16/4/815>

**Cited articles** This article cites 30 articles, 9 of which you can access for free at:  
<http://cebp.aacrjournals.org/content/16/4/815.full#ref-list-1>

**Citing articles** This article has been cited by 37 HighWire-hosted articles. Access the articles at:  
<http://cebp.aacrjournals.org/content/16/4/815.full#related-urls>

**E-mail alerts** [Sign up to receive free email-alerts](#) related to this article or journal.

**Reprints and Subscriptions** To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at [pubs@aacr.org](mailto:pubs@aacr.org).

**Permissions** To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, use this link  
<http://cebp.aacrjournals.org/content/16/4/815>.  
Click on "Request Permissions" which will take you to the Copyright Clearance Center's (CCC) Rightslink site.