

Short Communication

Variants of the *IL8* and *IL8RB* Genes and Risk for Gastric Cardia Adenocarcinoma and Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma

Sharon A. Savage,¹ Christian C. Abnet,² Steven D. Mark,³ You-Lin Qiao,⁴ Zhi-Wei Dong,⁴ Sanford M. Dawsey,² Philip R. Taylor,² and Stephen J. Chanock¹

¹Pediatric Oncology Branch and ²Cancer Prevention Studies Branch, Center for Cancer Research and ³Biostatistics Branch, Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, Maryland and ⁴Cancer Institute, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Beijing, People's Republic of China

Abstract

The population of Linxian in north central China is at high risk for gastric cardia adenocarcinoma (GCC) and esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC), and chronic inflammation may contribute to this risk. Interleukin-8 (*IL8*), a potent chemoattractant, has three well-characterized single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP), one (–251) of which alters transcriptional activity. Four well-described SNPs in the two *IL8* receptors, *IL8RA* and *IL8RB*, have been associated with inflammation. We conducted a case-cohort study in the Nutrition Intervention Trials (Linxian, China) to assess the association between these SNPs and incident GCC ($n = 90$) and ESCC ($n = 131$). *IL8*, *IL8RA*, and *IL8RB* SNPs were analyzed using a multiplex assay system, haplotypes were constructed, and risks were estimated using Cox proportional hazards models. The homozy-

gous variants of *IL8* –251 and +396 were associated with 2-fold increased relative risks for GCC, but the highest risk observed was for the AGT/AGC haplotype of *IL8* –251/+396/+781 (relative risk, 4.14; 95% confidence interval, 1.31-13.1). Variation within *IL8* was not associated with ESCC. Few subjects had variation at the *IL8RA* SNP and no significant associations were observed for *IL8RB* SNPs or haplotypes with either GCC or ESCC. We conclude that variation in *IL8* seems to increase the risk for GCC but not ESCC in this high-risk population. These variants could confer an altered *IL8* expression pattern or interact with environmental factors to increase the risk for inflammation and GCC. (Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2004;13(12):2251–7)

Introduction

Malignancies of the gastrointestinal tract are increasing worldwide, but the rates and sites vary according to geographic regions. Overall, gastric cancer is the fourth most common cancer diagnosis in the world and the second most common cause of cancer death (1, 2). The highest incidence of gastric cancer is found in Japan, South America, and eastern Europe in the range of 30 to 85 cases per 100,000 men and 15 to 40 cases per 100,000 women. Regions associated with low risk, including Israel, the United States, and Kuwait, have rates of 4 to 8 cases per 100,000 men and 2 to 4 cases per 100,000 women (3). Risk factors that contribute to the development of gastric cancer include diets high in salt and nitrates, cigarette smoking, *Helicobacter pylori* infection,

and chronic inflammation (4-6). Gastric cardia adenocarcinoma (GCC) differs from gastric noncardia cancer (GNCC) in that it arises more proximally in the stomach and is associated with a younger age at presentation (1, 7, 8). Esophageal cancer incidence also varies significantly based on geographic location and worldwide is the fourth most common gastrointestinal malignancy and the sixth leading cause of cancer death (9). In developed countries, the main risk factors for esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) are cigarette smoking and ethanol consumption, whereas in developing countries the risk factors are less well understood but likely include inadequate nutrition and excess carcinogen exposure.

The population of Linxian, a county in north central China, is at very high risk for both ESCC and GCC; the combined age standardized incidence rate there is >125 per 100,000 per year (10). Worldwide, GCC represents 5% to 10% of all gastric cancers, but it is the predominant form in Linxian (1). The cause of these extraordinarily high rates in Linxian is most likely multifactorial. Previous studies suggest that age, family history (5, 11, 12), low levels of antioxidants (13, 14), and tooth loss (15) are associated with higher risk of ESCC and GCC in this

Received 4/26/04; revised 7/1/04; accepted 7/7/04.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked advertisement in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Requests for reprints: Sharon A. Savage, Section on Genomic Variation, Pediatric Oncology Branch, Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute, Advanced Technology Center, 8717 Grovemont Circle, Gaithersburg, MD 20892-4605. Phone: 301-435-2746; Fax: 301-402-3134. E-mail: savagesh@mail.nih.gov

Copyright © 2004 American Association for Cancer Research.

population. Tobacco and alcohol use, the leading risk factors for ESCC in Western countries, have only a minor role in this population (16).

Inflammation has been postulated to contribute to the development of cancer, including GNCC, and may also play an important role in GCC (17-19). The gastric cardia is often inflamed, although this association and GCC risk has not been as well studied (20). There is growing evidence that differences in inflammatory responses could be a consequence of genetic variation, leading to chronic inflammation and possibly malignant transformation (18, 19, 21, 22). Genetic variants of several pathways critical for the inflammatory response have been studied, and several genes from different pathways have been associated with either or both GNCC and esophageal cancer, including *IL1*, *IL1RN*, *IL1B*, *TNF*, *IL6*, and *IL10* (21, 23-27). The role of *IL8* and *IL8* receptor polymorphisms in GCC and ESCC has not been well studied.

Interleukin-8 (IL-8), a member of the CXC chemokine family, functions as a potent chemoattractant for neutrophils and lymphocytes (28, 29). Many gastric cancer cell lines express high levels of *IL8* mRNA and protein (30, 31). IL-8 protein levels in gastric cancer specimens were increased 10-fold when compared with normal gastric tissues (25). IL-8 interacts with two closely related receptors, encoded by *IL8RA* (CXCR-1) and *IL8RB* (CXCR-2). These receptors are 77% similar at the protein level, but the former is unique for IL-8, whereas the latter binds additional ligands. Gastric cancer cell lines also express both IL-8RA and IL-8RB protein (31). The *IL8* gene (4q13-q21) contains four exons, three introns, a proximal promoter region, and three well-characterized single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) and common haplotypes of the promoter and 5' region [in the proximal promoter at -251 T/A (from start of transcription), intron 1 SNPs at +396 T/G and +781 C/T; refs. 32, 33]. Functional studies using lipopolysaccharide-stimulated IL-8 production in whole blood suggested a trend toward increased IL-8 production in individuals with the variant A allele at *IL8* -251 (32). Four SNPs have been identified in the *IL8* receptors (34, 35). There is a nonsynonymous *IL8RA* SNP in exon 2 at +2607 (serine to threonine), whereas the three SNPs in *IL8RB* do not predict a change in function (synonymous SNP in exon 3 of *IL8RB* and two in the 3' untranslated area of exon 3 at +1208 and +1440).

We hypothesized that genetic variation in *IL8* and/or its receptors could contribute to the risk for either GCC or ESCC in the high-risk Linxian population. Using a stratified case-cohort design, we determined individual genotypes for *IL8*, *IL8RA*, and *IL8RB* SNPs, as well as informative haplotypes in *IL8* and *IL8RB*, and evaluated the relation of these genetic variants to risk for GCC and ESCC.

Materials and Methods

Trial Description. Between 1986 and 1991, the National Cancer Institute and the Cancer Institute of the Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences completed the Nutrition Intervention Trials in Linxian. The study design, methods, and results have been reported previ-

ously (36-38). Two randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials were conducted. The smaller enrolled 3,318 adults ages 40 to 69 years who had esophageal squamous dysplasia on cytologic examination. These subjects were randomized to receive either multivitamin or placebo for 6 years. The larger of the two trials enrolled 29,584 adults ages 40 to 69 years from the general population and randomized them to receive one of four vitamin/mineral combinations or placebo for 5.25 years. All individuals in both trials continue to be followed as a cohort.

Participant Selection. At the end of the Linxian intervention trials in 1991, ~6,000 individuals who were alive and cancer free were selected for a blood sampling study. We were able to extract DNA with a yield of >1.5 µg from RBCs on 4,005 of these individuals (36). The subjects for this study were selected from this group, in accord with a stratified case-cohort design (39-41). We selected all subjects diagnosed with ESCC ($n = 131$) and GCC ($n = 90$) between May 1991 and May 1996 plus an age- and sex-stratified random sample of all eligible subjects without regard to case status ($n = 454$, including 421 noncases). The random sample serves as the reference group for risk estimates and hereafter is called the subcohort. The six strata were defined by sex and by three age categories (<50, 50-59, and ≥60 years). In each stratum, the control to site-specific case ratio was >2:1. *H. pylori* status was not available for the subjects in this study.

Variable Definition. Disease classifications were based on monthly end point surveillance (16, 37, 38) and by interview and examination of all living participants, or their next of kin, in May 1996 (>99% response rate). An international end point review committee of U.S. and Chinese experts reviewed all cancer diagnoses from the 1991 to 1996 period. Gastric cancers were defined as cardia cancers if they were in the proximal 3 cm of the stomach. These methods of assessment were identical to those used during the trial period.

Genotyping. Investigators blinded to all patient identifiers and information did the genotype analysis. Genomic DNA was amplified by PCR with MJ Research model PTC-225 thermal cyclers (Waltham, MA) under the following conditions: 5 ng of genomic DNA, 0.2 µmol/L of each primer, 200 µmol/L of each deoxynucleotide triphosphate, 2 mmol/L MgCl₂, 0.5 units AmpliTaq Gold DNA polymerase (ABI-Perkin-Elmer, Foster City, CA), and the manufacturer's buffer. Primers and annealing temperatures are listed in Table 1. The PCR reaction for the *IL8* SNPs included one PCR reaction with two products spanning the regions of interest. Amplicons for *IL8RA* and *IL8RB* were generated separately. After individual amplification of each SNP, samples were pooled and a 15-µL aliquot was incubated for 60 minutes at 37°C with shrimp alkaline phosphatase (5 units) and exonuclease (1 unit). Enzymes were inactivated by incubation at 75°C for 15 minutes.

A single base extension (SBE) technology using specific primers was done according to the manufacturer's directions (ABI Prism SNaPshot multiplex system from Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) with the following modifications: 2 µL of reaction mix, 3 µL of pooled PCR product, primers (0.15-0.6 µmol/L), and

Table 2. Linxian Nutrition Intervention Trials case-cohort subject characteristics

	Subcohort	ESCC	GCC
<i>n</i>	454	131	90
Age, median (y)	58.8	57.4	60.4
Male (%)	55.5	50.4	41.1
Smoking (%)	39.3	36.6	47.8
Drinking (%)	26.4	22.1	23.3
General Population Trial (%)*	63.7	41.2	46.7
Follow-up time, median (y)	4.6	3.2	3.0

*The Nutrition Intervention Trial was composed of two trials, the General Population Trial and the Dysplasia Trial (see Materials and Methods).

***IL8* Polymorphisms.** RRs were determined for individuals with GCC and ESCC using the most frequent genotype as the reference. This analysis suggested that individuals homozygous for the variant allele *IL8* -251AA were at a 2-fold increased risk (RR, 1.96; 95% CI, 1.03-3.75) for GCC when compared with the individuals who were TT (Table 4A). Individuals with the variant genotype of *IL8* +396 GG were also at a 2-fold increased risk (RR, 2.06; 95% CI, 1.09-3.89) for GCC. The variant for *IL8* +781 had a similar RR for GCC, but this association was not statistically significant. The *IL8* genotypes were not significantly associated with ESCC in this population.

The highest risk suggested in this study was for the *IL8* -251/+396/+781 AGT/AGC haplotype, which conferred a RR (95% CI) for GCC of 4.14 (1.31-13.1; Table 4B). Individuals homozygous for all three variant alleles in *IL8* (AGT/AGT) had a RR (95% CI) of 1.77 (0.84-3.72). These two comparisons taken together suggest that the *IL8* -251/+396 AG haplotype may be particularly important. Analysis of haplotypes using just *IL8* -251/+396 showed a RR (95% CI) of 2.12 (1.11-4.04; $P = 0.019$) for the AG/AG haplotype, whereas the TT/AT haplotype had a similar result (RR, 2.15; 95% CI, 0.71-6.48; $P = 0.019$) but was too infrequent (3.4%) to be certain (Table 4C).

Analysis of the *IL8* SNPs in the ESCC cases did not show increased risk for the development of cancer. Neither individual genotypes nor *IL8* haplotypes constructed with *IL8* -251/+396/+781 or with *IL8* -251/+396 were associated with ESCC risk (Table 4).

The *IL8RA* Gene. Analysis of the *IL8RA* +2607 G/C SNP in exon 2 (serine-to-threonine change) showed a variant allele frequency of only 0.01 in this Chinese

population, precluding risk estimation. This SNP showed an allele frequency of 0.10 in 74 Centre d'Etude du Polymorphisme Humain African Americans, whereas 91 Caucasian counterparts showed an allele frequency of only 0.01. In SNP500 samples (<http://snp500cancer.nci.nih.gov>), the following variant allele frequencies were observed in each ethnic group: African Americans, 0.0; Caucasians, 0.0; Hispanic, 0.22; and Pacific Rim, 0.0, confirming that this is a rare SNP in individuals of Chinese ancestry.

The *IL8RB* Gene. Analysis of the *IL8RB* +785 T/C SNP showed that only 0.5% of this population was homozygous for the variant C allele (Table 3). RRs were calculated for each *IL8RB* SNP using the most common genotype as the reference group, but no associations were observed for either GCC or ESCC (Table 5A).

Haplotype analysis was done using the *IL8RB* +785 and +1440 SNPs only because the *IL8RB* +1208 C/T SNP was not in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in this population. No associations were found for these haplotypes (Table 5B).

Discussion

The population in the Linxian region of north central China is at especially high risk for the development of GCC and ESCC compared with most other regions of the world. Environmental factors have been shown to contribute to the development of these cancers in this population (13, 15). The role of chronic inflammation in the development of GNCC has been well shown (17); it is present but has not been as well studied in GCC (20). It is possible that a slight alteration in response to an inflammatory stimulus due to genetic variation in the context of additional environmental risk factors in the Linxian population could be enough to increase risk for developing cancer. In this context, we chose to investigate *IL8*, an important chemoattractant, which contributes to the inflammatory response complementary to the IL-1 and tumor necrosis factor pathways. Prior studies have implicated *IL8* in GNCC, and inflammation most likely plays a role in both GNCC and GCC (25, 30, 31, 43). It has also been suggested that the -251 T/A variant of *IL8* alters expression of the gene (32, 33). For these reasons, this study was conducted to determine if variation within the genes of the *IL8* pathway might confer additional cancer risk in a population at high risk.

Table 3. *IL8* and *IL8RB* genotype frequencies among study participants

	Subcohort*			GCC			ESCC		
	WT	Ht	Hv	WT	Ht	Hv	WT	Ht	Hv
<i>IL8</i> -251 T/T T/A A/A	147 (34.3)	207 (48.3)	75 (17.5)	26 (29.6)	39 (44.3)	23 (26.1)	48 (37.2)	55 (42.6)	26 (20.2)
<i>IL8</i> +396 T/T G/T G/G	152 (37.8)	181 (45.0)	69 (17.2)	29 (33.7)	33 (38.4)	24 (27.9)	49 (39.5)	50 (40.3)	25 (20.2)
<i>IL8</i> +781 C/C C/T T/T	167 (41.1)	177 (43.6)	62 (15.3)	28 (32.9)	41 (48.2)	16 (18.8)	53 (42.1)	51 (40.5)	22 (17.5)
<i>IL8RB</i> +785 T/T T/C C/C	346 (82.6)	71 (17.0)	2 (0.5)	71 (79.8)	17 (19.1)	1 (1.1)	108 (83.7)	21 (16.3)	0 (0.0)
<i>IL8RB</i> +1208 C/C C/T T/T	202 (48.7)	154 (37.1)	59 (14.2)	56 (62.9)	22 (24.7)	11 (12.4)	61 (48.4)	45 (35.7)	20 (15.9)
<i>IL8RB</i> +1440 G/G G/A A/A	241 (57.8)	149 (35.7)	27 (6.5)	45 (51.7)	36 (41.4)	6 (6.9)	77 (61.6)	39 (31.2)	9 (7.2)

NOTE: Genotype frequencies are presented as *n* (%). Abbreviations: WT, wild-type (the most frequent allele in our population); Ht, heterozygous; Hv, homozygous variant.

*The subcohort was tested by χ^2 to determine if the population meets the assumption of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium for each: *IL8* -251, $P = 0.89$; *IL8* +396, $P = 0.23$; *IL8* +781, $P = 0.19$; *IL8RB* +785, $P = 0.42$; *IL8RB* +1208, $P = 0.001$; *IL8RB* +1440, $P = 0.54$.

Table 4. Variation within the *IL8* gene and risk for GCA and ESCC

A						
Genotype	GCC			ESCC		
	RR (95% CI)*	$P_{(2\ df)}^\dagger$	$P_{(1\ df)}^\ddagger$	RR (95% CI)	$P_{(2\ df)}^\dagger$	$P_{(1\ df)}^\ddagger$
<i>IL8</i> -251						
T/T	1.00 (reference)	0.068	0.048	1.00 (reference)	0.36	0.57
A/T	1.11 (0.65-1.92)			0.74 (0.47-1.18)		
A/A	1.96 (1.03-3.75)			0.97 (0.54-1.75)		
<i>IL8</i> +396						
T/T	1.00 (reference)	0.022	0.036	1.00 (reference)	0.30	0.28
G/T	0.99 (0.57-1.72)			0.76 (0.47-1.21)		
G/G	2.06 (1.09-3.89)			0.95 (0.52-1.73)		
<i>IL8</i> +781						
C/C	1.00 (reference)	0.17	0.059	1.00 (reference)	0.57	0.45
C/T	1.43 (0.84-2.43)			0.85 (0.54-1.34)		
T/T	1.76 (0.88-3.54)			1.02 (0.55-1.87)		
B						
-251/+396/+781 Haplotype [§]	Subcohort frequency (%)	GCC		ESCC		
		RR (95% CI)	$P_{(5\ df)}^\dagger$	RR (95% CI)	$P_{(5\ df)}^\dagger$	
TTC/TTC	135 (35.3)	1.00 (reference)	0.034	1.00 (reference)	0.61	
TTC/AGT	155 (40.5)	1.25 (0.70-2.26)		0.83 (0.50-1.37)		
AGT/AGT	57 (14.9)	1.77 (0.84-3.72)		1.02 (0.53-1.94)		
TTC/AGC	18 (4.7)	0.29 (0.04-2.30)		0.43 (0.12-1.63)		
AGT/AGC	9 (2.4)	4.14 (1.31-13.1)		0.67 (0.14-3.25)		
TTC/ATT	9 (2.4)	1.94 (0.48-7.78)		0.70 (0.14-3.43)		
C						
-251/+396 Haplotype [§]	Subcohort frequency (%)	RR (95% CI)	$P_{(3\ df)}^\dagger$	RR (95% CI)	$P_{(3\ df)}^\dagger$	
TT/TT	143 (31.9)	1.00 (reference)	0.019	1.00 (reference)	0.14	
TT/AG	217 (48.4)	0.96 (0.55-1.67)		0.65 (0.41-1.04)		
AG/AG	73 (16.3)	2.12 (1.11-4.04)		1.02 (0.56-1.84)		
TT/AT	15 (3.4)	2.15 (0.71-6.48)		1.43 (0.50-4.07)		

*RRs and 95% CIs were generated from models stratified on age and sex with additional adjustment from continuous age variables for each strata and variables for smoking, drinking, and trial.

[†]This P value comes from the score test for the addition of all the genotype/haplotype indicator variables to the base model simultaneously. It assesses the overall association between genotype/haplotype and cancer. 2, 3, or 5 df denote a P global.

[‡]This P value comes from the score test for the addition on a single variable for genotype, where $W_t = 0$, $H_z = 1$, and $H_v = 2$. 1 df denotes a P trend.

[§]Two haplotypes were inferred for each subject using PHASE software (see Materials and Methods). Haplotypes were constructed only if genotype data were available at all loci for each individual. Individuals with two copies of the most frequent haplotype were set as the reference group and the RRs and 95% CIs associated with other diploid states were estimated using the same models as described in footnote *.

This study suggests that genetic variation within the inflammatory chemokine *IL8* gene is associated with the risk for GCC in the Linxian population. The less common genotypes of *IL8* (-251A, +396G, and +781T) were associated with a 2-fold increased risk for GCC, whereas the risk for the AGT/AGC haplotype of *IL8* -251/+396/+781 was 4-fold. This haplotype occurred in only 9% of our population but may still be of importance and should be investigated in a larger study. The RR for the AGT/AGT haplotype was 1.8 and further analysis of the two SNPs (*IL8* -251/+396) within the haplotype suggest an effect for the *IL8* -251/+396 AG/AG haplotype, although it is possible that the *IL8* +781 T/C SNP in the haplotypes could influence risk. Moreover, specific haplotypes of *IL8* -251/+396 SNPs were more strongly associated with GCC, illustrating the importance of coinheritance of the risk alleles.

The *IL8* receptor genes, *IL8RA* and *IL8RB*, are critical for *IL8* ligand binding and subsequent signaling. In this study, we observed that genetic variation at the *IL8RA* +2607 G/C SNP was rare in this population. The observation that the *IL8RB* +1208 SNP is not in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium raises interesting questions about the recent evolutionary history of this variant, but conclusions are beyond the scope of this study. Variation within the *IL8RB* gene at the three SNPs studied was not associated with GCC or ESCC in this study, suggesting that variation within the *IL8* inflammatory molecule itself may be more important than variation within its receptor, a possibility that merits further investigation. This study suggests that variants in the *IL8* gene may play an important role in the development of GCC in the Linxian population but not in the development of ESCC. This may reflect substantive differences in the etiologies

Table 5. Variation within the *IL8RB* gene and risk for GCC and ESCC

A						
Genotype	GCC			ESCC		
	RR (95% CI)*	$P_{(2\ df)}^\dagger$	$P_{(1\ df)}^\ddagger$	RR (95% CI)	$P_{(2\ df)}^\dagger$	$P_{(1\ df)}^\ddagger$
<i>IL8RB</i> +785						
T/T	1.00 (reference)	0.58	0.43	1.00 (reference)	0.44	0.23
T/C	1.11 (0.61-2.01)			0.81 (0.46-1.43)		
C/C	2.61 (0.21-32.21)			~0		
<i>IL8RB</i> +1208						
C/C	1.00 (reference)	0.035	0.041	1.00 (reference)	0.60	0.37
C/T	0.51 (0.30-0.87)			0.87 (0.55-1.37)		
T/T	0.65 (0.32-1.34)			0.94 (0.51-1.75)		
<i>IL8RB</i> +1440						
G/G	1.00 (reference)	0.40	0.22	1.00 (reference)	0.34	0.47
G/A	1.35 (0.83-2.20)			0.90 (0.57-1.41)		
A/A	1.28 (0.49-3.33)			1.56 (0.67-3.61)		

B					
+785/+1440 Haplotype	Subcohort frequency (%)	GCC		ESCC	
		RR (95% CI)	$P_{(3\ df)}^\dagger$	RR (95% CI)	$P_{(3\ df)}^\dagger$
TG/TG	211 (47.3)	1.00 (reference)	0.22	1.00 (reference)	0.61
TG/TA	143 (32.1)	1.70 (1.00-2.89)		1.03 (0.64-1.64)	
TG/CG	65 (14.6)	1.60 (0.82-3.14)		1.01 (0.55-1.84)	
TA/TA	27 (6.1)	1.59 (0.59-4.26)		1.71 (0.73-4.01)	

*RRs and 95% CIs were generated from models stratified on age and sex with additional adjustment from continuous age variables for each strata and variables for smoking, drinking, and trial.

†This P value comes from the score test for the addition of all the genotype/haplotype indicator variables to the base model simultaneously. It assesses the overall association between genotype/haplotype and cancer. 2, 3, or 5 df denote a P global.

‡This P value comes from the score test for the addition on a single variable for genotype where $W_t = 0$, $H_z = 1$, and $H_v = 2$. 1 df denotes a P trend.

§Two haplotypes were inferred for each subject using PHASE software (see Materials and Methods). Haplotypes were constructed only if genotype data were available at all loci for each individual. Individuals with two copies of the most frequent haplotype were set as the reference group and the RR and 95% CI associated with other diploid states were estimated using the same models as described in footnote *.

of the two malignancies under study. Typically, ESCC is not associated with inflammation, whereas the gastric cardia is often inflamed (20).

Although this study was relatively small ($n = 90$ GCC, $n = 131$ ESCC, and $n = 454$ subcohort), it is one of the largest studies analyzing GCC, ESCC, non-case-controls, and inflammatory cytokines to date. As with any preliminary study, our findings may represent false-positive results (44) and additional studies in this and other appropriate populations are warranted to confirm these findings. This study provides intriguing results that suggest the role of variation in an important inflammatory pathway, *IL8*, in a high-risk population. The lack of association of ESCC risk with *IL8* variants further suggests that the *IL8* association with GCC may be specific for that cancer. Participants in the Nutrition Intervention Trials continue to be followed up, and as additional cases of GCC and ESCC are collected, further analysis with larger numbers of cases will be possible.

References

- Terry MB, Gaudet MM, Gammon MD. The epidemiology of gastric cancer. *Semin Radiat Oncol* 2002;12:111–27.
- Parkin DM, Bray F, Ferlay J, et al. Estimating the world cancer burden: Globocan 2000. *Int J Cancer* 2001;94:153–6.
- Parkin DM, Muir C. Cancer incidence in five continents. In: Shottenfeld D, Fraumeni J, editors. Lyon (France): IARC Scientific Publications; 1992. p. 707–24.
- Huang JQ, Hunt RH. Review article: *Helicobacter pylori* and gastric cancer—the clinicians' point of view. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2000; 14 Suppl 3:48–54.
- Kodama M, Kodama T. In search of the cause of gastric cancer. *In Vivo* 2000;14:125–38.
- Stadlander CT, Waterbor JW. Molecular epidemiology, pathogenesis and prevention of gastric cancer. *Carcinogenesis* 1999;20:2195–208.
- Wang LD, Zheng S, Zheng ZY, et al. Primary adenocarcinomas of lower esophagus, esophagogastric junction and gastric cardia: in special reference to China. *World J Gastroenterol* 2003;9:1156–64.
- Engel LS, Chow WH, Vaughan TL, et al. Population attributable risks of esophageal and gastric cancers. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2003;95:1404–13.
- Parkin DM, Bray F, Ferlay J, et al. Estimating the world cancer burden: Globocan 2000. *Int J Cancer* 2001;94:153–6.
- Zhang ZX, Li BY, Jin SS. Epidemiologic trends of esophageal cancer in Linxian. *Shi Guan Ai Fang Zhi Yan Jiu Linxian* 1990;1:1–14.
- Hu N, Dawsey SM, Wu M, et al. Familial aggregation of oesophageal cancer in Yangcheng County, Shanxi Province, China. *Int J Epidemiol* 1992;21:877–82.
- Hu N, Dawsey SM, Wu M, et al. Family history of oesophageal cancer in Shanxi Province, China. *Eur J Cancer* 1991;27:1336.
- Mark SD, Qiao YL, Dawsey SM, et al. Prospective study of serum selenium levels and incident esophageal and gastric cancers. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2000;92:1753–63.
- Taylor PR, Qiao YL, Abnet CC, et al. Prospective study of serum vitamin E levels and esophageal and gastric cancers. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2003;95:1414–6.
- Abnet CC, Qiao YL, Mark SD, et al. Prospective study of tooth loss and incident esophageal and gastric cancers in China. *Cancer Causes Control* 2001;12:847–54.
- Guo W, Blot WJ, Li JY, et al. A nested case-control study of oesophageal and stomach cancers in the Linxian nutrition intervention trial. *Int J Epidemiol* 1994;23:444–50.

17. Ernst P. Review article: the role of inflammation in the pathogenesis of gastric cancer. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 1999;13 Suppl 1:13–8.
18. Coussens LM, Werb Z. Inflammatory cells and cancer: think different! *J Exp Med* 2001;193:F23–6.
19. Balkwill F, Mantovani A. Inflammation and cancer: back to Virchow? *Lancet* 2001;357:539–45.
20. Spechler SJ. The role of gastric carditis in metaplasia and neoplasia at the gastroesophageal junction. *Gastroenterology* 1999;117:218–28.
21. El Omar EM, Carrington M, Chow WH, et al. Interleukin-1 polymorphisms associated with increased risk of gastric cancer. *Nature* 2000;404:398–402.
22. El Omar EM, Rabkin CS, Gammon MD, et al. Increased risk of noncardia gastric cancer associated with proinflammatory cytokine gene polymorphisms. *Gastroenterology* 2003;124:1193–201.
23. De Vita F, Romano C, Orditura M, et al. Interleukin-6 serum level correlates with survival in advanced gastrointestinal cancer patients but is not an independent prognostic indicator. *J Interferon Cytokine Res* 2001;21:45–52.
24. El Omar EM, Carrington M, Chow WH, et al. The role of interleukin-1 polymorphisms in the pathogenesis of gastric cancer. *Nature* 2001;412:99.
25. Yamaoka Y, Kodama T, Kita M, et al. Relation between cytokines and *Helicobacter pylori* in gastric cancer. *Helicobacter* 2001;6:116–24.
26. Wu MS, Wu CY, Chen CJ, et al. Interleukin-10 genotypes associate with the risk of gastric carcinoma in Taiwanese Chinese. *Int J Cancer* 2003;104:617–23.
27. Zeng ZR, Hu PJ, Hu S, et al. Association of interleukin 1B gene polymorphism and gastric cancers in high and low prevalence regions in China. *Gut* 2003;52:1684–9.
28. Matsushima K, Baldwin ET, Mukaida N. Interleukin-8 and MCAF: novel leukocyte recruitment and activating cytokines. *Chem Immunol* 1992;51:236–65.
29. Roebuck KA. Regulation of interleukin-8 gene expression. *J Interferon Cytokine Res* 1999;19:429–38.
30. Kido S, Kitadai Y, Hattori N, et al. Interleukin 8 and vascular endothelial growth factor—prognostic factors in human gastric carcinomas? *Eur J Cancer* 2001;37:1482–7.
31. Kitadai Y, Haruma K, Mukaida N, et al. Regulation of disease-progression genes in human gastric carcinoma cells by interleukin 8. *Clin Cancer Res* 2000;6:2735–40.
32. Hull J, Thomson A, Kwiatkowski D. Association of respiratory syncytial virus bronchiolitis with the interleukin 8 gene region in UK families. *Thorax* 2000;55:1023–7.
33. Hull J, Ackerman H, Isles K, et al. Unusual haplotypic structure of IL-8, a susceptibility locus for a common respiratory virus. *Am J Hum Genet* 2001;69:413–9.
34. Kato H, Tsuchiya N, Tokunaga K. Single nucleotide polymorphisms in the coding regions of human CXC-chemokine receptors CXCR1, CXCR2 and CXCR3. *Genes Immun* 2000;1:330–7.
35. Renzoni E, Lympny P, Sestini P, et al. Distribution of novel polymorphisms of the interleukin-8 and CXC receptor 1 and 2 genes in systemic sclerosis and cryptogenic fibrosing alveolitis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2000;43:1633–40.
36. Li B, Taylor PR, Li JY, et al. Linxian nutrition intervention trials. Design, methods, participant characteristics, and compliance. *Ann Epidemiol* 1993;3:577–85.
37. Li JY, Taylor PR, Li B, et al. Nutrition intervention trials in Linxian, China: multiple vitamin/mineral supplementation, cancer incidence, and disease-specific mortality among adults with esophageal dysplasia. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1993;85:1492–8.
38. Blot WJ, Li JY, Taylor PR, et al. Nutrition intervention trials in Linxian, China: supplementation with specific vitamin/mineral combinations, cancer incidence, and disease-specific mortality in the general population. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1993;85:1483–92.
39. Mark SD, Katki H. Influence function based variance estimation and missing data issues in case-cohort studies. *Lifetime Data Anal* 2001;7:331–44.
40. Prentice RL. A case cohort design for epidemiologic cohort studies and disease prevention trials. *Biometrika* 1986;73:1–11.
41. Self SG, Prentice RL. Asymptotic distribution theory and efficiency results for case-cohort studies. *Ann Stat* 1988;16:64–81.
42. Epicure. Seattle (WA): Hirosoft International Corp; 1998.
43. Stephens M, Smith NJ, Donnelly P. A New Statistical Method for Haplotype Reconstruction from Population Data. *Am J Hum Genet* 2001;68:978–989.
44. Wacholder S, Chanock S, Garcia-Closas M, et al. Assessing the probability that a positive report is false: an approach for molecular epidemiology studies. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2004;96:434–42.

Variants of the *IL8* and *IL8RB* Genes and Risk for Gastric Cardia Adenocarcinoma and Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma

Sharon A. Savage, Christian C. Abnet, Steven D. Mark, et al.

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2004;13:2251-2257.

Updated version Access the most recent version of this article at:
<http://cebp.aacrjournals.org/content/13/12/2251>

Cited articles This article cites 33 articles, 3 of which you can access for free at:
<http://cebp.aacrjournals.org/content/13/12/2251.full#ref-list-1>

Citing articles This article has been cited by 4 HighWire-hosted articles. Access the articles at:
<http://cebp.aacrjournals.org/content/13/12/2251.full#related-urls>

E-mail alerts [Sign up to receive free email-alerts](#) related to this article or journal.

Reprints and Subscriptions To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at pubs@aacr.org.

Permissions To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, use this link
<http://cebp.aacrjournals.org/content/13/12/2251>.
Click on "Request Permissions" which will take you to the Copyright Clearance Center's (CCC) Rightslink site.