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Abstract

**Background:** African Americans suffer disproportionately from the adverse consequences of behavioral risk factors for cancer relative to other ethnic groups. Recent studies have assessed how financial strain might uniquely contribute to engagement in modifiable behavioral risk factors for cancer, but not among African Americans. The current study examined associations between financial strain and modifiable cancer risk factors (smoking, at-risk alcohol use, overweight/obesity, insufficient physical activity, inadequate fruit and vegetable intake, and multiple risk factors) among 1278 African American adults (age=46.5±12.6, 77% female) and explored potential mediators (stress and depressive symptoms) of those associations.

**Methods:** Logistic regression models were used to examine associations between financial strain and cancer risk factors. Analyses were adjusted for age, sex, partner status, income, educational level, and employment status. Analyses involving overweight/obesity status additionally controlled for fruit and vegetable intake and physical activity. Nonparametric bootstrapping procedures were used to assess mediation.

**Results:** Greater financial strain was associated with greater odds of insufficient physical activity (p<.003) and smoking (p=.005) and was positively associated with the total number of cancer risk factors (p<.0001). There was a significant indirect effect of both stress and depressive symptoms on the relations of financial strain with physical inactivity and multiple risk factors, respectively.

**Conclusions:** Future interventions aimed at reducing cancer disparities should focus on African Americans experiencing higher financial strain while addressing their stress and depressive symptoms.
Impact: Longitudinal studies are needed to assess the temporal and causal relations between financial strain and modifiable behavioral cancer risk factors among African Americans.
INTRODUCTION

Cancer is a major public health problem in the United States (1). Although statistics indicate an approximate 20% decline in cancer death rates by the year 2009 from their peak in 1991 (1), considerable disparities still exist in terms of people benefiting from this falling trend. For instance, overall cancer incidence and death rates are higher among African American men than white men for every cancer site (1). Several factors are known to contribute toward an increased risk of cancer incidence and associated mortality among African American adults, including smoking, at-risk use of alcohol, being overweight or obese, insufficient physical activity and inadequate fruit and vegetable intake (1-4). For example, African Americans tend to have higher prevalence of obesity and overweight-related diseases as compared with other racial/ethnic groups (5, 6). Unhealthy dietary habits and lower rates of physical activity have also been cited as contributing to their higher burden of chronic diseases relative to whites (6, 7). In addition, African American smokers are more susceptible to cancer than white smokers, and experience more difficulty quitting smoking (8, 9). Because reducing prevalence of these behavioral cancer risk factors among African Americans is an essential strategy to reduce overall cancer-related health disparities, research focused on better understanding of their specific determinants is needed.

Socioeconomic status (SES) is a widely acknowledged social determinant of health and health-related behaviors. Markers of SES include an individual’s education, occupation, and income, which affect health insurance status and access to health services (2, 10, 11). Literature suggests that individuals with lower SES experience worse health outcomes compared to those with higher SES (12, 13). Moreover, racial/ethnic disparities for major cancer sites including colorectal, breast and prostate are largest in the lowest SES groups (14). Lower SES is also
associated with a higher prevalence of several modifiable risk factors for cancer including smoking (15), being overweight/obese (15, 16), lower rates of physical activity (17), and intake of unhealthy diet (15). Unfortunately, African Americans often tend to fall in the lower SES group, predisposing them to an increased risk of worse health outcomes relative to other ethnic groups (18).

Recently, research on social determinants of health has gone beyond traditional indicators of SES to consider how more nuanced socioeconomic factors might affect health and health behaviors. One factor of interest is financial strain. Financial strain, also known as income inadequacy, represents an individual’s unfavorable subjective perception of their income to needs ratio (19), and although perhaps most relevant to those of lower SES, can be applicable to individuals across the income spectrum. Literature suggests that financial strain is considered a better predictor of mortality than other SES indicators, particularly among African Americans (20). Financial strain is tied to an increased unmet health needs and thus increased mortality among older African American adults (20). Likewise, financial strain has been linked to several modifiable cancer risk factors such as higher smoking rates (21-25), lower cessation rates (26), at-risk use of alcohol (22, 23, 25, 27, 28), obesity (29) and unhealthy dietary habits (25). However, limited studies have focused on these associations specifically in the context of the African American population.

Furthermore, studies have shown that there are multiple pathways by which an individual’s financial status affects health behaviors (12). Financial strain is associated with psychosocial factors such as higher stress (22, 30) and depressive symptoms (30-34). On the other hand, stress and depression have been causally associated with unhealthy behaviors (35). These relations are also consistent with theoretical models suggesting that lower financial status
might engender higher perceived stress and depressive symptoms, which contribute to unhealthy behaviors (12, 36). However, no studies to our knowledge have addressed potential indirect effects of stress and/or depressive symptoms on the association between financial strain and several cancer risk factors among a large sample of African American adults.

The purpose of the current study was to examine the unique association of financial strain with several modifiable behavioral cancer risk factors (i.e., smoking, at-risk use of alcohol, being overweight/obese, insufficient physical activity and inadequate intake of fruits and vegetables) in a large sample of church-going African American adults. Because more than 50% of African American adults attend church on a weekly basis (37), and because church settings offer an acceptable and sustainable infrastructure for the delivery of interventions to affect modifiable behavioral cancer risk factors (e.g., 38, 39), studies among African American church-goers are of particular interest. An exploratory aim of the current study was to assess whether significant associations between financial strain and cancer risk behaviors were attributable to stress and/or depressive symptoms. Based on the previous literature in this area, we hypothesized that greater financial strain would be associated with higher odds of engagement in behavioral cancer risk factors, and that stress and depressive symptoms would yield significant indirect effects in these associations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants and Procedures:

Data were from the second year of a longitudinal cohort study focused on elucidating factors associated with cancer risk among African Americans. This was the first year that financial strain items were administered. Participants comprised a convenience sample recruited
from a large church in Houston, Texas. Recruitment was accomplished through printed and televised media within the church and in-person solicitation. Individuals were eligible to participate if they were 18 years of age or older, resided in the Houston area, had a functional telephone number, and attended church.

Participants (N=1501) completed a computerized survey at the church during the first year of data collection, and were contacted a year later to participate for a second time. In total, 1375 participants (91.6% of the original cohort) participated in the second year of data collection. Participants were compensated with a $30 gift card following the survey procedures. Only participants with complete data on the measures described below (N=1278; 85.1% of the original cohort) were included in the current study. Data were collected between January and August 2010.

**Measures:**

**Sociodemographics.** Sociodemographics included age, sex, partner status (married/living with partner or single/widowed/divorced), total annual household income (<$40,000, $40,000-79,999, or $80,000), educational level (<Bachelor’s degree, Bachelor’s degree, or >Master’s degree), and employment status (employed or unemployed).

**Financial strain.** The Financial Strain Questionnaire consisted of 7 items adapted from an economic strain measure to assess the degree to which it was financially difficult for participants to afford food, clothing, housing, major items (e.g., car), furniture/household equipment, leisure activities, and bills at the moment (40). Response categories were 1=no difficulty 2=some difficulty, and 3=great difficulty. Total scores could range from 7 to 21, with
higher scores indicative of greater financial strain. Cronbach's alpha for the Financial Strain Questionnaire in this sample was .90.

**Smoking status.** Smoking status was assessed with survey items resulting in classification as a current smoker (smoked ≥100 cigarettes in lifetime and currently smoke) or former smoker/never smoker (i.e., smoked ≥100 cigarettes in lifetime but quit or smoked <100 cigarettes in lifetime).

**At-risk alcohol use.** Alcohol use was assessed using the Alcohol Quantity and Frequency Questionnaire, a self-report measure of the average alcohol consumption on each day of the week over the last 30 days. Males were classified as at-risk drinkers if they consumed an average of >14 drinks per week, and females were classified as at-risk drinkers if they consumed an average of >7 drinks per week (41).

**Overweight/Obesity.** Overweight/obesity status was determined based on staff-administered height and weight measurements, which were converted to body mass index (BMI; kg/m²). Participants with a BMI ≥25 were considered overweight/obese.

**Insufficient physical activity.** Physical activity was assessed with the International Physical Activity Questionnaire - Short Format (IPAQ). The IPAQ is a self-report questionnaire used to measure the amount of time spent in moderate activity, vigorous activity, and walking during the past seven days (42). Time spent engaging in each type of activity was multiplied by the corresponding metabolic equivalent (MET) value, which is a metric used to quantify energy expenditure (43). MET minutes were summed to represent the total weekly MET minutes spent in physical activity. Data were cleaned and processed, and participants were classified as engaging in low or moderate/high rates of physical activity during the previous week based on
recommended guidelines (44). Participants reporting low rates of physical activity were
categorized as insufficiently physically active.

**Inadequate fruit and vegetable intake.** Fruit and vegetable intake was assessed with the
NCI Five-A-Day fruit and vegetable questionnaire (45). This questionnaire yielded a continuous
variable of daily fruit and vegetable servings that was positively skewed. Consequently, par-
ticipants were classified as meeting recommendations for daily intake (≥5 servings of fruits and
vegetables a day) or not meeting recommendations for daily intake (<5 servings of fruits and
vegetables a day).

**Perceived stress.** The Perceived Stress Scale-4 (PSS-4) is a 4-item self-report scale that
asks respondents to indicate how often they experience certain situations, such as “In the last
month, how often have you felt that you were unable to control the important things in your
life?” and “In the last month, how often have you felt confident about your ability to handle your
personal problems?” (reverse scored) (46). Response categories were: 0=never, 1=almost never,
2=sometimes, 3=fairly often, and 4=very often. Responses were summed with a potential range
of 0 to 16, where higher scores indicate greater perceived stress. Cronbach's alpha for the PSS-4
in this sample was .75.

**Depressive symptoms.** The Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression 10-item scale
(CESD-10) was used to assess the degree of depressive symptoms experienced over the past
week (47, 48). Items include: “I was bothered by things that usually don’t bother me” and “I felt
hopeful about the future” (reversed scored). Response categories were: 0=rarely or none of the
time (<1 day), 1=some or a little of the time (1-2 days), 2=occasionally or a moderate amount of
time (3-4 days), and 3=all the time (5-7 days). Responses were summed with a potential range of
0 to 30, where higher scores indicate more depressive symptoms. Cronbach's alpha for the CESD-10 in this sample was .53.

**Total number of risk factors.** The total number of modifiable cancer risk factors was determined by summing the number of risk factors for which the specified criteria were met (i.e., current smoker, at risk use of alcohol, being overweight/obese, insufficient physical activity and inadequate intake of fruit and vegetable). Scores could range from zero to five.

**Data Analysis:**

Preliminary analyses included descriptive statistics, followed by chi-square tests and Analyses of Variance (ANOVAs) to assess for any significant differences between included and excluded participants on the variables of interest, as data allowed. Differences in financial strain by each behavioral cancer risk factor and the total number of cancer risk factors were assessed using t-tests and a linear regression.

The main aim of this study was to examine associations between financial strain and modifiable cancer risk factors. Main analyses consisted of a series of five logistic regression analyses, each including a respective binary outcome variable (i.e., smoking status, alcohol use status, overweight/obesity status, physical activity and fruit and vegetable intake), and a linear regression analysis focused on the continuous outcome variable comprised of the total number of cancer risk factors. All main analyses were adjusted for age, sex, partner status, total annual household income, educational level, and employment status. Analyses involving overweight/obesity status additionally controlled for fruit and vegetable intake and physical activity. Statistical significance was conservatively set at $p \leq .008$ due to multiple comparisons (Bonferroni Correction: $p = .05 / 6$ regressions).
An exploratory aim of this study was to examine whether perceived stress or depressive symptoms were potential mechanisms explaining significant variance in any significant main analyses. Indirect effects were examined using a covariate-adjusted non-parametric, bias-corrected bootstrapping procedure (49), which generated an empirical approximation of the sampling distribution of the product of the estimated coefficients in the indirect paths using 5000 resamples from the data set. Conventional significance values ($p < .05$) were used for these analyses. All analyses were performed using Statistical Analysis Software version 9.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

RESULTS

Preliminary Analyses:

Of the 1375 potential participants, 1278 provided complete data on all measures and comprised our final sample. Table 1 reports participant characteristics, and differences between participants who were included versus excluded. Included and excluded participants differed with regard to gender ($p=.0028$), education ($p=.0006$), employment status ($p=.0215$), smoking status ($p=.0112$), fruit and vegetable intake ($p=.0356$) and the total number of risk factors ($p=.0023$). Included participants (n=1278) were 46.5 ($±12.6$) years of age on average, predominately women (77.31%), were less likely to be smokers (OR=.44, CI.95 = .23 - .84), but more likely to endorse inadequate fruit and vegetable intake (OR=1.67, CI.95 = 1.03 - 2.68). Included participants also reported more cancer risk factors than excluded participants (mean=2.1 versus 1.80). Included and excluded participants did not differ with regard to financial strain, at-risk alcohol use, overweight/obesity, insufficient physical activity, perceived stress, or depressive symptoms.
Table 2 reports unadjusted differences in financial strain by individual and the combined total number of cancer risk factors. There were significant differences in all cases except overweight/obesity status. Specifically, financial strain was significantly higher among those who currently smoked ($p<.0001$), practiced at risk use of alcohol ($p=.008$), were insufficiently physical active ($p=.0027$), and ate inadequate fruit and vegetables ($p=.0252$), respectively. In addition, greater financial strain was associated with more cancer risk factors ($p<.0001$).

**Main Analyses:**

In adjusted analyses, greater financial strain was significantly associated with higher odds of insufficient physical activity ($\beta=.052$, SE=.018, $\chi^2=8.90$, $p<.003$; AOR=1.05, CI$_{95}=1.02$-1.05) and smoking ($\beta=.089$, SE=.032, $\chi^2=7.85$, $p=.005$; AOR=1.09, CI$_{95}=1.03$-1.16) and was positively associated with the total number of cancer risk factors ($\beta=.032$, SE=.006, $t=5.30$, $p<.0001$). Financial strain was not significantly associated with overweight/obesity ($p=.012$), fruit and vegetable intake ($p=.029$), or at-risk alcohol use ($p=.152$) in adjusted analyses using our adjusted threshold for statistical significance.

**Exploratory Analyses:**

Exploratory analyses indicated a significant indirect effect for both stress and depression on the association between financial strain and physical activity (indirect effect estimate for stress $=.015$, SE$=.007$, CI$_{95}=.002$, .030; indirect effect estimate for depression$=.012$, SE$=.005$, CI$_{95}=.010$, .03). Likewise, there was a significant indirect effect for both stress and depression on the association between financial strain and the total number of cancer risk behaviors (indirect effect estimate for stress $=.009$, SE$=.002$, CI$_{95}=.004$, .014; indirect effect estimate for depression$=.007$, SE$=.002$, CI$_{95}=.004$, .011). Specifically, greater financial strain was associated with greater perceived stress and more severe depressive symptoms ($p$ values <.001), which were
each associated with a higher likelihood of insufficient physical activity and more risk factors for
cancer in covariate-adjusted analyses ($p$ values < .08). No significant indirect effects arose for
stress or depressive symptoms in the association between financial strain and smoking status. See
Figures 1 and 2.

**DISCUSSION**

Financial strain was significantly associated with greater odds of insufficient physical
activity and smoking; and was positively associated with the total number of cancer risk factors
among African American adults. Although the current study was cross-sectional and cannot
speak to causality, exploratory analyses suggested that stress and depressive symptoms may play
significant indirect role in the associations between financial strain and insufficient physical
activity and financial strain and total number of cancer risk factors. These indirect relations are
consistent with theoretical models suggesting that lower financial status might engender higher
perceived stress and depressive symptoms, which contribute to unhealthy behaviors (12, 36). To
the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to examine associations between financial strain
and several modifiable behavioral cancer risk factors, among a large sample of African American
adults. The current study suggests that financial strain may be an important determinant of
modifiable cancer risk behaviors among African Americans given that associations were
significant even after controlling for the influence of several traditional SES indicators such as
income, education, and employment status. Although the current participants were church-going
African American adults, potentially limiting generalizability of findings, studies suggest that
more than 50% of African American adults attend church on a weekly basis (37).
Insufficient physical activity is considered as important as overweight and obesity in its contribution to several chronic conditions, including cancer, and is associated with overall morbidity and mortality (7). Therefore, it is necessary to understand common barriers to physical activity and identify strategies to promote increased physical activity among African American adults. This is especially important because African Americans have lower physical activity levels than other ethnic groups (50). Results suggest that interventions to promote physical activity may be especially targeted toward African Americans reporting financial strain, receiving government benefits, or otherwise exhibiting unfavorable income-to-needs ratios to reduce health disparities. For example, church-based ministries could provide church attendees assistance with financial planning, increase their physical activity levels through coordinated group activities, and offer support to reduce symptoms of stress and depression. Limited studies have specifically focused on African Americans’ cancer risk behaviors while simultaneously addressing their concerns related to financial strain, stress and depression. The current study suggests the potential need for such a focus.

Financial strain was also significantly associated with increased odds of smoking, even after accounting for SES indicators and demographic characteristics. Quitting smoking is not only an important cancer prevention behavior, but it can also help to alleviate financial strain by eliminating the need to spend money on cigarettes. Several studies have been focused on tailored cessation interventions for African Americans, and research suggests that church settings offer an acceptable and sustainable infrastructure for the delivery of cessation treatment (38, 39). Therefore, church leadership and health-focused ministries should encourage and facilitate smoking cessation attempts among the congregation via the provision of health education, material aid for cessation, or referrals to state or community resources for quitting smoking.
or low cost services may present fewer barriers to implementation among smokers experiencing financial strain. In our sample, stress and depressive symptoms were not implicated in the relation between financial strain and smoking status. Future research should seek to understand the mechanisms that underlie this association (e.g., tobacco dependence), inasmuch as such knowledge might improve treatment strategies.

The potential mediating role of stress and depressive symptoms between the association of financial strain and total number of cancer risk factors among African American adults is especially concerning since African Americans, not only tend to fall in the low SES group (18) but also generally tend to experience higher stressful events as compared to the other ethnicities (51). Although lifetime prevalence of depression among this population is lower as compared to whites; among those who are affected, it is usually untreated and is more severe and disabling (52). Additionally, research suggests that Africans Americans may be likely to engage in cancer-related risk behaviors (e.g., at risk alcohol use) as a result of stress or depressive symptoms (53). Future studies should consider implementing specific interventions to reduce overall stress and depressive symptoms among African American adults to help eliminate or reduce the potential mediating role of these psychosocial determinants between financial strain and multiple modifiable cancer risk factors.

Limitations of this study include the cross-sectional design, which precludes causal inference. Because participants were largely church-going African American women from Houston, results may not generalize to dissimilar samples. Future studies including larger proportions of African American men might examine whether relations between financial strain and modifiable cancer risk factors are moderated by sex. In particular, results may not generalize to those who do not attend church. Although social and spiritual support likely varies among
church-goers, individuals who attend church may experience more support overall than those who do not. Because greater social and spiritual support may help to buffer the effects of financial strain on cancer risk behaviors, the associations between these variables may differ (e.g., be stronger) among those who do not attend church. In addition, the frequency of church attendance, which might affect perceived social or spiritual support, was not included in these analyses but might also be relevant to the strength of associations. Also, although the number of participants excluded from the present analyses due to missing data was small, they differed from the included participants on several factors, including smoking status and fruit and vegetable intake. Consequently, additional studies using longitudinal designs, random sampling techniques, and diverse samples are needed to confirm causal associations between financial strain and cancer risk factors. Additionally, although the CESD-10 is widely used to measure depressive symptoms, lower internal consistency in this sample suggest reliability issues that might have affected its associations with financial strain and cancer risk factors. Results should be interpreted in light of this limitation. Lastly, the current study assessed only a few behavioral risk factors for cancer, and other factors such as intake of high fat food (15), engaging in unprotected sexual behaviors (54, 55), infrequent use of sun-protective clothing or sunscreen (56), and non-adherence to recommended prophylactic vaccinations to prevent cancer (e.g., HPV vaccine) (57) were not included. It may be of interest to examine how financial strain is associated with these cancer risk factors in future studies. Similarly, the current study explored only two potential psychosocial mechanisms (stress and depression), and other potential mechanisms might be important to include in future studies (e.g., neighborhood disadvantage, social support, negative affect and mastery, tobacco dependence (58, 59).
In conclusion, the current study was one of the first to examine associations between financial strain and several modifiable cancer risk factors while exploring the indirect effects of psychosocial mechanisms among a large church-based cohort of African American adults. African Americans represent 13.6% of the U.S. population and are the second largest ethnic minority group in the country (60). Consequently, disparities in cancer incidence and mortality experienced by African Americans are an important area of future study. Findings from our study may be helpful in guiding future work in this area, and may inform interventions focused on reducing financial strain and cancer health disparities experienced by African Americans.
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Table 1. Characteristics of Participants Included and Excluded from Study Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Patients included (n=1,278)</th>
<th>Patients excluded (n=97)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. (Mean) % (SD)</td>
<td>No. (Mean) % (SD)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>290 (22.69)</td>
<td>35 (36.08)</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>988 (77.31)</td>
<td>62 (63.92)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; BS</td>
<td>609 (47.65)</td>
<td>64 (67.37)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>= BS</td>
<td>392 (30.67)</td>
<td>22 (23.16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; = MS</td>
<td>277 (21.67)</td>
<td>9 (9.47)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>574 (44.91)</td>
<td>46 (47.42)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>704 (55.09)</td>
<td>51 (52.58)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>961 (75.20)</td>
<td>62 (64.58)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>317 (24.80)</td>
<td>34 (35.42)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual household income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; $40,000</td>
<td>327 (25.59)</td>
<td>20 (28.57)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$40,000 – $79,900</td>
<td>465 (36.38)</td>
<td>29 (41.43)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;= $80,000</td>
<td>486 (38.03)</td>
<td>21 (30)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td>75 (5.87)</td>
<td>12 (12.37)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never smoked / Quit</td>
<td>1203 (94.13)</td>
<td>85 (87.63)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At-risk alcohol use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>67 (5.24)</td>
<td>7 (7.29)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1211 (94.76)</td>
<td>89 (92.71)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight/obese</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1076 (84.19)</td>
<td>77 (80.21)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>202 (15.81)</td>
<td>19 (19.79)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insufficiently active</td>
<td>349 (27.31)</td>
<td>7 (21.21)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sufficiently active</td>
<td>929 (72.69)</td>
<td>26 (78.79)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit &amp; vegetable intake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inadequate</td>
<td>1057 (82.71)</td>
<td>72 (74.23)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequate</td>
<td>221 (17.29)</td>
<td>25 (25.77)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>(46.5)</td>
<td>(47.2)</td>
<td>0.6097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial strain</td>
<td>(11.2)</td>
<td>(11.1)</td>
<td>0.7915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived stress</td>
<td>(4.6)</td>
<td>(4.5)</td>
<td>0.9421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressive symptoms</td>
<td>(9.2)</td>
<td>(9.1)</td>
<td>0.7088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of CRFs</td>
<td>(2.1)</td>
<td>(1.8)</td>
<td>0.0023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BS, Bachelors; MS, Masters; SD, Standard deviation, CRFs, Cancer Risk Factors.
Table 2. Unadjusted Differences in Financial Strain by Individual and Total Number of Cancer Risk Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cancer Risk Factors</th>
<th>Financial strain</th>
<th></th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td>13.07</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never smoked / Quit</td>
<td>11.06</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At-risk alcohol use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>12.40</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>0.0080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>11.11</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight/obese</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>11.24</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>0.2210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>10.87</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insufficiently active</td>
<td>11.71</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sufficiently active</td>
<td>10.98</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit &amp; vegetable intake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inadequate</td>
<td>11.29</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>0.0252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequate</td>
<td>10.65</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of CRFs*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.10</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.55</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>11.11</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>11.75</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>13.51</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>9.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; SD, Standard deviation, CRFs, Cancer Risk Factors.

* The variable of CRFs was treated as a continuous variable in our analysis. It is presented here in categories for better understanding of the difference of financial strain among participants experiencing lesser number of total CRFs versus those experiencing more.
Figure Legends:

**Figure 1:** Hypothesized conceptual model of the direct (c’ paths) and indirect effect (ab paths) of financial strain on cancer risk factors through perceived stress as a proposed mediator. *Note:* **p < .01; *p < .05; SE = Standard Error

**Figure 2:** Hypothesized conceptual model of the direct (c’ paths) and indirect effect (ab paths) of financial strain on cancer risk factors through depressive symptoms as a proposed mediator. *Note:* **p < .01; *p < .05; SE = Standard Error
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