Brassica Vegetable Consumption Shifts Estrogen Metabolism in Healthy Postmenopausal Women
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Abstract

Previous studies suggest that the estrogen metabolite 16α-hydroxyestrone acts as a breast tumor promoter. The alternative product of estrogen metabolism, 2-hydroxyestrone, does not exhibit estrogenic properties in breast tissue, and lower values of the ratio 2-hydroxyestrone:16α-hydroxyestrone (2:16) in urine may be an endocrine biomarker for greater breast cancer risk. Vegetables of the Brassica genus, such as broccoli, contain a phytochemical, which may shift estrogen metabolism and increase the 2:16 ratio. Adding 500 g/day of broccoli to a standard diet shifts 2:16 values upward in humans; however, it is unknown as to whether healthy women are able to consume a sufficient quantity of Brassica to affect breast cancer risk through this mechanism. In this study, 34 healthy postmenopausal women participated in an intensive intervention designed to facilitate the addition of Brassica to the daily diet. The diet was measured by repeated 24-h recall, and estrogen metabolites were measured by enzyme immunoassay in 24-h urine samples. In a crude analysis, there was a nonsignificant increase in the urinary 2:16 ratio associated with greater Brassica consumption. With adjustment for other dietary parameters, Brassica vegetable consumption was associated with a statistically significant increase in 2:16 values, such that for each 10-g/day increase in Brassica consumption, there was an increase in the 2:16 ratio of 0.08 (95% confidence interval, 0.02–0.15). To the extent that the 2:16 ratio, as measured in urine, is associated with breast cancer risk, future research should consider Brassica vegetable consumption as a potentially effective and acceptable dietary strategy to prevent breast cancer.

Introduction

The estrogen metabolite 16HE is produced when E1 is hydroxylated on the 16th carbon. Much like E2, 16HE increases breast cell proliferation in vitro (1–3). 16HE binds covalently to the estrogen receptor (1), has a lower binding affinity for sex hormone-binding globulin than E2 (4), promotes mammary gland tumors in murine models of breast cancer (1), and may act directly on DNA as a mutagen (5). Alternatively, E1 may be irreversibly metabolized to 2HE by several hepatic or extrahepatic P-450 isoforms. Unlike the 16HE metabolite, 2HE has a low affinity for the estrogen receptor, and 2HE is rapidly methylated by catechol-O-methyl transferase in the circulation (1, 2). In addition to a lower estrogenic potential, there is evidence to suggest that the 2-hydroxylated metabolites inhibit angiogenesis (6–8). Because the metabolic pathways leading to either 2HE or 16HE are irreversible, the relative activity of these two metabolic pathways (2HE:16HE = 2:16), as measured in urine, may be an endocrine biomarker for breast cancer risk in humans (9–12). Higher urinary 2:16 scores suggest protection from breast cancer, whereas lower urinary 2:16 scores suggest greater risk (13).

IGSLs are a category of phytochemicals that are capable of shifting estrogen metabolism and increasing the urinary 2:16 ratio. IGSLs are unique to vegetables of the Brassica genus, the most common of which in the United States are Brussels sprouts, broccoli, cabbage, kale, turnips, collards, and cauliflower. With cutting or chewing of the vegetable, IGSLs are degraded by the plant enzyme myrosinase to a variety of indole structures, including I3C, DIM, indole-3-acetonitrile, indole-3-acetic acid, and AG (14, 15). In the body, these indole-containing compounds are either chemically or enzymatically converted to indolo[3,2-b]carbazole, a moderate aryl hydrocarbon receptor agonist (16–18). The activated aryl hydrocarbon receptor binds to specific sites on DNA and induces the expression of P-450 enzymes of the CYP1 family in hepatic and extrahepatic tissue (19–22). These P-450 enzymes hydroxylate E1 on the second carbon, leading to greater 2HE production and decreasing the pool of E1 available for conversion to 16HE, thus increasing the 2:16 ratio (21, 23–27). In three small human intervention studies, the daily administration of I3C pills (400 mg/day) or broccoli (500 g/day) significantly increased the urinary 2:16 value (21, 28, 29), consistent with reduced breast cancer risk.

There is incomplete evidence to demonstrate that Brassica vegetable consumption protects against breast cancer. In animal models of breast cancer, dietary I3C (25, 30–32) or a diet with cabbage (33) reduces tumor incidence or delays tumor onset. Results from one cross-national study found that those coun-
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tries with higher cabbage intake had a lower breast cancer mortality rate (34). Despite the availability only of data on cabbage of the entire Brassica genus, intercountry differences in cabbage consumption are sufficiently large to increase the likelihood of detecting a specific protective association. Observational studies conducted within a population have not identified a consistent association between Brassica intake and breast cancer risk. (35–39). One of these studies did find a significant reduction in breast cancer risk with Brassica intake; however, there was no dose-response trend in this association [relative risk, 0.79 (0.67–0.92); Ref. 35]. These studies are limited by the very low levels of Brassica intake in the populations under evaluation, the limitations of individual dietary assessment techniques (e.g., food frequency questionnaires), and the absence of data regarding how the vegetables are prepared before consumption.

Dietary interventions can create variability in the food consumption pattern of a targeted study group, such that it may be possible to detect a physiological response consistent with reduced cancer risk. In this study, the intervention protocol facilitated daily Brassica consumption among free-living postmenopausal women. The objective was to reach a level of Brassica intake consistent with the range and variability of amounts consumed in Japan or other Asian countries (40–42). An association between Brassica intake and higher urinary 2:16 values would suggest that healthy free-living postmenopausal women are able to shift their own estrogen profiles in such a way as to reduce breast cancer risk. Such a result would suggest that Brassica vegetables should be further evaluated as a strategy to reduce breast cancer risk.

Materials and Methods

Recruitment and Eligibility. Eligible women received a negative X-ray mammogram and a negative digital mammogram from the Department of Radiology at the University of Massachusetts Memorial Health Center within the 12 months before study entry. This institution screens and treats patients primarily residing in Worcester, Massachusetts and the surrounding communities, while a much smaller portion of patients are referred from Greater Boston or from one of the other five New England states.

The study was restricted to women >45 years of age, without a menstrual cycle in the past 12 months, without present liver or kidney disease, and without adrenalectomy. Women with a hysterectomy but without ovariectomy were at least 54 years of age. Women were excluded if they presently used any tobacco products, antibiotics, hormone replacement therapy, nonprescription hormones (e.g., melatonin, dehydroepiandrosterone), black-cohosh, tamoxifen, diabetes medication, or cimetidine. Women under a physician-recommended diet or who reported a strong dislike for Brassica vegetables were excluded. Participants received no monetary compensation. Thirty-seven women met all eligibility criteria and started the study; however, three participants dropped-out because of family illness or scheduling conflicts. This analysis is restricted to the 34 participants who completed the intervention.

Dietary Intervention. The study population was divided into three groups of women, with between 9 and 13 women/group. The dietary intervention was administered to each of these groups, and it consisted of four classes over a 4-week period (Fig. 1). The goal of the intervention was to facilitate the incorporation of Brassica into the daily diet. Participants were asked to consume Brassica every day, at a frequency and vegetable combination that would approach a 70-mg/day intake of IGSLs. Estimated indole content for each vegetable was extracted from a review by Rosa et al. (43).

During the intervention, participants were guided through the various theories underlying the hypothesized health benefits of Brassica consumption. To improve compliance, each participant completed two 3-day food diaries as a tool to self-monitor their Brassica vegetable intake. In addition, each participant was given written material describing the study protocol and a cookbook containing recipes that use Brassica vegetables. A portion of each class took place in a teaching kitchen, where participants prepared various dishes containing Brassica using techniques consistent with the principles of the study. During the classes, emphasis was placed on proper handling and preparation of the vegetables because vegetable preparation affects both the glucosinolate concentration and decomposition of glucosinolates within Brassica.

Participant Characteristics. Demographics, reproductive history, health history, tobacco use, alcohol use, and medication use were collected by questionnaire during the baseline study period. Additional questionnaires were administered at follow-up to identify any changes in tobacco or medication use. The psychological constructs “Social Approval” and “Social Desirability” were measured by questionnaire during the baseline period (44–46).

Urine and Blood Collection. The study design and sample collection schedule are illustrated in Fig. 1. Study participants provided two 24-h urine samples and two blood samples before the intervention, ~2 weeks apart. Additional urine and blood samples were collected during the last week of the intervention. Both written and oral instructions regarding the urine collection protocol were administered to all participants.

Dietary Assessment. The diet was measured by 24HR during each week that urine and blood samples were collected. Subjects were telephoned on three randomly assigned days (2 weekdays and 1 weekend day) and asked to describe the foods and portion sizes consumed during the prior day. A structured interview protocol was strictly followed, all interviews were conducted by highly trained registered dietitians, and participants were provided a two-dimensional chart of typical foods to assist with portion size estimation. Nutrient calculations were performed using the Nutrition Data System software, developed by the Nutrition Coordinating Center, University of Minnesota (Minneapolis, MN; Food Database: 13A; Nutrient Database: 28; Ref. 47). Nutrients derived from supplements were added to the dietary estimates. Data from the three 24HR administered within a given week were averaged, providing the single best estimate of each participant’s dietary intake for that week.

Brassica vegetables were identified in the 24HR data. Data regarding the types of Brassica vegetable, the amount of vegetable, and whether the vegetable was consumed cooked or raw, were extracted. The grams of Brassica that were reported

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline-1</th>
<th>Baseline-2</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 1. Study design and data collection schedule. Arrows, weeks during the study in which three 24HR were administered and a 24-h urine sample and blood sample were collected.
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as cooked were adjusted to reflect grams of raw (fresh) *Brassica*. IGLS intake (mg/day) was calculated using published IGLS concentrations in fresh/raw vegetables across the varieties of *Brassica* (43) and the amount of *Brassica* reported in the 24HR.

**Body Measurements.** Weight, height, and the circumferences of the waist, abdomen, and hips were measured during each week in which a urine sample was collected. Body mass index was calculated as weight (kg)/height (m)², and the waist:hip ratio was calculated by dividing the waist circumference by the hip circumference. Total body fat was calculated using the Tran and Weltman prediction equation, which combines measures of body circumference, weight, age, and height to produce an estimate of the fat (kg) in the body (48, 49). This prediction equation has been validated in women >50 years of age.

**Laboratory Analyses.** Urinary 2HE and 16HE were measured at the University of Massachusetts Medical School (C. Longcope) using a solid-phase enzyme immunoassay kit from Immuna Care Corporation (50). All assays were performed on samples in random order, in triplicate, within one batch, and by a single technician who was blinded as to the sequence of the sample collection. Serum E2 levels were measured by radioimmunoassay (Diagnostic Products Corp., Los Angeles, CA). There were six serum samples from four individuals that had unexpectedly high (>40 pg/ml) E2 levels. For these samples, the E2 assay was repeated, with identical results. The intra-assay coefficients of variation for E2, 2HE, and 16HE were 3.4%, 4.0%, and 4.0%, respectively, whereas interassay coefficients of variation were 6.8%, 10.0%, and 9.9%, respectively. Standard urine samples were from women of a similar age and estrogen level as the study participants.

**Statistical Analysis.** Individual changes in *Brassica* consumption or urinary 2:16 values between baseline and the intervention study phase were calculated by subtraction. Across the two baseline measurements, urinary 2:16 values and *Brassica* intake were not significantly different. Therefore, these values were pooled to provide a more stable baseline estimate. Changes in 2:16 were compared with changes in *Brassica* intake using least-squares linear regression (SAS/STAT Statistical Software, version 6.12, SAS Institute, Cary, NC). The regression coefficient (b) represents the change in 2:16 for each 10-g/day change in *Brassica* intake. Additionally, the pattern of the 2:16 ratio was evaluated across categories of the change in *Brassica* vegetable intake. The significance of the a linear trend was determined by inclusion into the regression model of a continuous variable with values representing each category of change in *Brassica* intake.

Several dietary macronutrients (*i.e.*, total fat, protein, carbohydrates, energy, and fiber) and body habitus measures were defined *a priori* as potentially affecting urinary 2:16 values. Although the mechanisms by which these nutrients may affect urinary estrogen metabolite levels are uncertain, these factors previously have been associated with estrogen metabolism, drug metabolism, or the excretion of estrogens and are treated as potential confounders (51–53). Adjusted regression coefficients were calculated to remove the influence of changes in these factors over time. Baseline 2:16 values were forced into the regression model to control for the possibility that large change scores result from unusual baseline values (regression to the mean).

The association between *Brassica* intake and 2:16 values were evaluated in a cross-sectional nature during the intervention study phase to explore the possibility of identifying an association between 2:16 and the diet across individuals.

The impact of the dietary intervention on total estrogen production was evaluated by comparing E2 values over the study by repeated measures ANOVA. An unstructured covariance matrix was used because this approach provided the best fit of the data (54). The distribution of serum E2 was highly skewed, and E2 levels were transformed logarithmically (base e) to meet the statistical assumptions.

**Results**

Participants ranged in age from 49 to 77 years, and they averaged 61 years of age. Most study participants were educated beyond the high school level, were unemployed, and shared housing with another person (Table 1).

Before the intervention, *Brassica* vegetable consumption averaged ~9 g/day (Table 2). During the intervention study phase, *Brassica* consumption increased to 193 g/day. The frequency of *Brassica* vegetable consumption increased from about two servings per week at baseline to about two servings per day during the intervention phase, and estimated IGSL intake (mg/day) followed the same trend as self-reported *Brassica* vegetable intake.

At baseline, the average urinary 2:16 ratio was 2.27, and increased to 2.38 during the intervention (Table 2). Serum E2 levels were 20 pg/ml at baseline and 16 pg/ml during the intervention study phase. Crude urinary E2 levels and E2 levels did not significantly change across the two baseline measures and the intervention measurement (repeated measured ANOVA of 2:16 or E2 across time: *P =* 0.31 and *P =* 0.35, respectively). E2 levels, or changes in E2 levels over time, were not significantly associated with urinary 2:16 values. Disease history, family history of breast cancer, or other breast cancer risk factors were not consistently associated with urinary 2:16 values or adherence to the intervention guidelines (not shown).

The crude association between changes in *Brassica* intake and changes in 2:16 values indicated that urinary 2:16 values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Study population characteristicsa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Factor</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment statusb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alone</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not alone</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any college</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religious affiliation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roman Catholic</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior breast cancerc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family breast cancer history</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤60</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a *n = 34.

b Combined part-time and full-time status.

c Greater than 5 years before study entry.

---

**Note:**

- *Brassica* is a genus of flowering plants in the family Brassicaceae, commonly known as cabbage, broccoli, and cauliflower. They are rich in phytochemicals that may have beneficial effects on health, including reducing cancer risk.
- IGLS is a ratio of urinary metabolites that reflect estrogen production.
- ANOVA is an analysis of variance, a statistical method used to test differences between group means.
- IGSL is an index of gastrointestinal steroid loss, which is thought to be related to hormone metabolism.
- The association between changes in *Brassica* intake and 2:16 values is an indicator of estrogen production changes due to diet.

---
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increased 0.03 for every 10 g of Brassica consumed (regression coefficient = 0.03; 95% CI, −0.02 to 0.06).

Several dietary variables were considered as potential sources of bias, including changes in dietary protein (g), fat (g), carbohydrates (g), energy (kcal), and fiber (g), as well as previously defined body habitus measures and psychosocial scales that have been linked with dietary misreport. Dietary fat intake, carbohydrate intake, and measures of body habitus did not alter the Brassica:2:16 association and were not significant predictors of 2:16, and these parameters were excluded from the final model to improve the precision of the analysis. With adjustment for dietary fiber, protein, energy, and scores of social approval, the increase in Brassica consumption from baseline to intervention was significantly associated with an increase in the 2:16 ratio, such that each 10-g/day increase in Brassica consumption increased the 2:16 ratio by 0.08 (regression coefficient = 0.08; 95% CI, 0.02–0.15). Similarly, estimated indole intake shifted the urinary 2:16 ratio upward (regression coefficient = 0.21; 95% CI, 0.03–0.39 for 10 mg/day of IGSL).

Participant compliance from baseline to the intervention study phase was categorized into four groups to explore any IGSL intake or Brassica vegetable consumption increased about 0.03 for every 10 g of Brassica consumed (regression coefficient = 0.03; 95% CI, −0.02 to 0.06).

Several dietary variables were considered as potential sources of bias, including changes in dietary protein (g), fat (g), carbohydrates (g), energy (kcal), and fiber (g), as well as previously defined body habitus measures and psychosocial scales that have been linked with dietary misreport. Dietary fat intake, carbohydrate intake, and measures of body habitus did not alter the Brassica:2:16 association and were not significant predictors of 2:16, and these parameters were excluded from the final model to improve the precision of the analysis. With adjustment for dietary fiber, protein, energy, and scores of social approval, the increase in Brassica consumption from baseline to intervention was significantly associated with an increase in the 2:16 ratio, such that each 10-g/day increase in Brassica consumption increased the 2:16 ratio by 0.08 (regression coefficient = 0.08; 95% CI, 0.02–0.15). Similarly, estimated indole intake shifted the urinary 2:16 ratio upward (regression coefficient = 0.21; 95% CI, 0.03–0.39 for 10 mg/day of IGSL).

Participant compliance from baseline to the intervention study phase was categorized into four groups to explore any dose-response relationship. Changes in Brassica intake were categorized across quartiles of the distribution, and crude and adjusted changes in urinary 2:16 values were calculated. Those participants who consumed less Brassica did not show any increase in urinary 2:16 values (Table 3). Those participants who consumed more Brassica had a greater increase in urinary 2:16 values, with a significant linear dose-response trend in the adjusted analysis.

In a cross-sectional analysis during the intervention phases of the study, Brassica consumption was generally associated with higher urinary 2:16 values, but no association was statistically significant [regression coefficient = 0.03; 95% CI, −0.05 to 0.12; adjusted for energy (kcal), fiber (g), protein (g), and social approval score]. In contrast to Brassica intake, the IGSL index was not associated with greater 2:16 values, [regression coefficient = −0.02, 95% CI, −0.21 to 0.16 for each 10 mg/day in IGSL intake; adjusted for energy (kcal), fiber (g), protein (g), and social approval score]. When Brassica intake or IGSL values were categorized at the median level within the intervention study phase, urinary 2:16 levels were about 0.3–0.5 greater among participants reporting higher Brassica intake or higher IGSL intake (Table 4), consistent with a shift in estrogen metabolism toward 2HE in response to IGSL consumption.

The shift in 2:16 values appeared sensitive to the types of vegetables consumed. When the amount of broccoli, cabbage, Brussels sprouts, or other Brassica are considered simultaneously in a multivariable model, an increase of 10 g of cabbage was associated with an increase of 0.07 (95% CI, −0.04 to 0.19) in the urinary 2:16 value, whereas an increase of 10 g of broccoli was associated with an increase of 0.01 (95% CI, −0.09 to 0.11) in 2:16 values. However, lightly cooked and raw Brassica appear equally able to shift the 2:16 ratio [cooked: regression coefficient = 0.03, 95% CI, −0.03 to 0.10; raw: regression coefficient = 0.03, 95% CI, −0.08 to 0.13; for each 10-g/day vegetable].

**Discussion**

When studies are conducted in animals or when widely divergent populations are compared, the dietary differences are very large, and an association between diet and breast cancer is more easily detected (55). On the other hand, a causal relationship between a dietary component and breast cancer may be rendered undetectable when using an imprecise measure in a population having little variability in the dietary component of interest (56, 57). An intensive intervention was used to greatly increase the consumption of Brassica vegetables, a dietary factor potentially important in modifying breast cancer risk (29, 34, 35, 58), within a highly selected and targeted study group. Additionally, this protocol provided the opportunity to guide participants through the dietary change and to formally monitor dietary compliance and health-related consequences of the intervention. Brassica vegetable consumption increased about 20-fold among free-living women in response to the intervention protocol. There were no serious side-effects associated with adherence. The minority of participants who reported minor gastrointestinal discomfort (n = 15) generally found that this side-effect diminished with time and with experimentation.
of different vegetables. The results of this study indicate that the consumption of *Brassica* vegetables, as prepared and consumed by healthy postmenopausal women in the United States, was significantly associated with higher urinary 2:16 values, suggesting that *Brassica* vegetables should be further explored as an additional dietary strategy to reduce breast cancer risk in the population.

Kall et al. (21) found the urinary 2:16 ratio increased 0.40 with administration of 500 g of broccoli/day to a small group of young men and women, and Bradlow et al. (29) observed a 0.40 shift in 2:16 with the daily administration of pills containing I3C. Unlike pills, *Brassica* vegetables expose the body to a complex mixture of indole structures, including I3C, AG, and DIM; and AG or DIM may be more potent than I3C alone (18, 59, 60). In this study, different vegetable types appeared to be effective in shifting the urinary 2:16 ratio, with cabbage intake having the strongest effect. Finally, the larger response observed in this study might be specific to postmenopausal women, or advances in the laboratory procedures used to detect estrogen metabolites in urine.

Glucosinolates and glucosinolate break-down products are hydrophilic, and as much as 63% of the glucosinolate content of a vegetable may leach into the cooking water during boiling (20, 26). However, vegetable preparation did not diminish the ability of the vegetables to shift estrogen metabolism in this study. Participants were instructed to cook the *Brassica* only lightly, by either light steaming or as stir-fry, and they were provided guided practice in vegetable preparation techniques. Steaming provides less opportunity for leaching, and stir-fried vegetables retain glucosinolate levels (61). Light cooking may disrupt plant cell membranes without leaching of the indoles into the cooking medium, providing the opportunity for myrosinase to release these indoles for eventual conversion to indolo[3,2-b]carbazole (15, 18, 20, 26, 62, 63).

Another potential source of error in a study such as this is improper collection of biological samples. There was no indication that the 24-h urine samples were collected improperly. All participants understood the urine collection procedure, and participants recorded the time and dates of urine collection. The relationship between *Brassica* consumption and the 2:16 ratio was not significantly modified by the time period between urine collection and storage (i.e., urine age), and there was no evidence of differences in sample handling over time. However, there was no way to be sure of whether samples were contaminated or whether urine samples represented a complete 24-h collection.

The single-armed design could not control for any unmeasured factors. Duplicate baseline measures were collected to produce a stable estimate of the usual dietary intake and hormone levels. There were no significant differences in nutrient or hormone values between these baseline time points, suggesting that these factors, at the very least, were consistent across the short term within study population. Prescription medication use was monitored throughout the study, and participants followed a stable drug regime. Soy food and bean food consumption was very low in this Central Massachusetts study population consisting primarily of European-Americans, with consumption consistently estimated at about 0.25 g/day, on average (only eight participants ate any bean or soy products). Not surprisingly, this very low soy-food intake was not associated with urinary 2:16 values. The short duration of the intervention (4 weeks) minimized the opportunity for the influences of seasonal variation in the diet or a social trend that might affect 2:16 values.

The laboratory data suggest the hypothesis that 2:16 is important in breast cancer etiologically, but epidemiological studies evaluating the role of 2:16 and breast cancer risk are inconsistent. Four case-control studies found significantly lower 2:16 levels or higher 16HE levels among breast cancer cases (13, 64–66), whereas a recent analysis of a prospective study reported a nonsignificant 30% reduction in breast cancer risk with higher urinary 2:16 values (67). Recently, Ursin et al. (68) reported an inconsistent finding, where only women who were in the middle tertile of the 2:16 distribution were less likely to be diagnosed with breast cancer [OR\textsubscript{2:16 versus T1} = 0.34 (0.12–0.98); OR\textsubscript{T2 versus T1} = 1.13 (0.46–2.78)]. At present, it is not possible to conclude that 2:16 is a valid endocrine biomarker for breast cancer risk. Further studies should be conducted to evaluate this relationship.

To the extent that the urinary 2:16 ratio is etiologically relevant to breast cancer, frequent *Brassica* intake may be able to reduce breast cancer risk. Studies evaluating urinary 2:16

---

**Table 4** Crude and adjusted mean 2HE:16HE ratio values within levels of *Brassica* intake or IGSL intake during the intervention study phase; with 95% CIs and *P* values for difference in 2:16 across categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intakea</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean 2:16</th>
<th>Difference in 2:16</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p^c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Brassica</em> (g/day)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132.4</td>
<td>53–181</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.16^c</td>
<td>0.45^c</td>
<td>−0.45 to 1.37</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>254.5</td>
<td>182–371</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.61^c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGSLf  (mg/day)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>2–67</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.12^c</td>
<td>0.53^c</td>
<td>−0.38 to 1.44</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85.1</td>
<td>68–146</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.65^c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Brassica</em> (g/day)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132.4</td>
<td>53–181</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.22^c</td>
<td>0.27^c</td>
<td>−0.94 to 1.48</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>254.5</td>
<td>182–371</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.52^c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGSLf  (mg/day)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>2–67</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.15^c</td>
<td>0.47^c</td>
<td>−0.54 to 1.49</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85.1</td>
<td>68–146</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.62^c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a n = 34.
^b Median *Brassica* or IGSL intake within each category.
^c Derived from 24 HRS.
^d Estimated IGSL intake calculated from published estimates and self-reported *Brassica* consumption.
^e Crude: Adjusted for baseline 2:16 only.
^f Adjusted: mean 2:16 values and differences in 2:16 adjusted for energy (kcal), protein (g), fiber (g), and social approval scores.
^g Two-sided *P* under null hypothesis that the difference equals 0.
values and breast cancer risk identify differences in 2:16 values between the case and control series ranging from 0.1 to 0.7. A shift of 0.08 in the 2:16 ratio for every 10 g/day of Brassica suggests that the population would need to increase Brassica consumption between 12.5 g/day to 75 g/day to move 2:16 ratios to a favorable level to affect the causal mechanism leading to breast cancer. Of course, caution must be used when comparing results across laboratories, and the above interpretation should be considered only as a rough guideline. Brassica vegetable consumption in the United States is estimated between 5 and 11 g/day (fresh; Refs. 41 and 69). This suggests that even a small increase in Brassica vegetable consumption across the population could have an impact on the incidence of breast cancer.

Presently, there are three approaches to risk reduction: prophylactic surgery, pharmaceuticals, and behavioral change. None of these options are universally acceptable or appropriate. It would be ideal to have a variety of strategies that could be tailored to an individual's characteristics and risk profile. Brassica vegetable consumption appears to shift estrogen metabolism in a way consistent with reduced breast cancer risk. Future work should clarify the relationship between the 2:16 endocrine biomarker and breast cancer and the relationship between Brassica intake and breast cancer risk, and it should identify those women most susceptible to the beneficial action of increased Brassica consumption.
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