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Abstract
Histamine (H2) receptor antagonists, such as cimetidine and ranitidine, became available in the late 1970s and presently number among the most commonly used drugs. Cimetidine has been hypothesized to exert a cancer preventive effect on the prostate due to its ability to inhibit the binding of dihydrotestosterone to androgen receptors. Other hormonal effects of this drug include increases in serum prolactin levels and inhibition of 2-hydroxylation of estradiol. We assessed risk of prostate and breast cancers in a cohort of 48,512 members of the Group Health Cooperative of Puget Sound prescribed cimetidine or another H2 blocker between 1977 and 1995. Standardized incidence ratios were calculated comparing the observed numbers of cancers to those expected based on population rates in western Washington State. Because cimetidine, but not other H2 blockers, influences hormonal activity and metabolism, we conducted nested case-control studies comparing cancer risk among individuals treated with cimetidine to individuals who used other H2 blockers. Risks of breast and prostate cancers were identical among users of cimetidine and users of other H2 blockers (relative risk, 1.0 for both cancers). We observed no trend in risk of breast cancer according to time since first or last cimetidine prescription or number of cimetidine prescriptions filled. For prostate cancer, our findings were similar save for a modest increase in risk among men who had filled ≥21 cimetidine prescriptions (relative risk, 1.4; 95% confidence interval, 1.0–1.9). Our results suggest that use of cimetidine does not influence risk of female breast cancer. Further, these data provide little evidence to support the previously hypothesized preventive effect of cimetidine on risk of prostate cancer.

Introduction
Cimetidine, ranitidine, famotidine, and nizatidine are H2 receptor antagonists (H2 blockers) that are widely used for the treatment and prevention of peptic and duodenal ulcers, gastroesophageal reflux disorders, and hypersecretory states (1–4). In 1977, cimetidine was approved for use in the United States, followed in the early 1980s by ranitidine and more recently by famotidine and nizatidine. In 1988, cimetidine was the sixth most commonly prescribed drug in the United States (5). In late 1995, cimetidine and famotidine were approved in the United States for over-the-counter sale for the control of heartburn, acid indigestion, and sour stomach, followed in 1996 by ranitidine and nizatidine.

In contrast to this widespread exposure, the hypothesis that cimetidine, through its effects on androgen binding or estrogen metabolism, may influence the risk of hormonally mediated cancers, has been explored in only a few studies (6–8). Cimetidine, but not the other H2 blockers, has been suggested to exert a cancer preventive effect on the prostate due to its ability to inhibit the binding of dihydrotestosterone to androgen receptors (9). Other hormonal effects of this drug include increases in serum prolactin levels (1) and inhibition of 2-hydroxylation of estradiol (10, 11).

We examined risk of prostate and breast cancer in users of H2 blockers within the membership of the GHC2 of Puget Sound. To reduce the extent to which our results might be influenced by confounding by indications for use of H2 blockers and because only cimetidine notably influences androgen binding and estrogen metabolism, we assessed the risk of cancer among individuals treated with cimetidine relative to that of individuals who used other H2 blockers.

Materials and Methods
Computerized records maintained by GHC served as data sources, including the enrollment, pharmacy, demographic, and GHC-specific CSS databases. The pharmacy database includes information on each prescription dispensed at GHC-owned outpatient pharmacies since March 1977. Between 89% and 99% of prescriptions written to GHC members are filled at GHC pharmacies (12). A computerized record is created every time a prescription is filled, and it contains the patient consumer number, the drug number, date dispensed, and quantity dispensed. The drug number links the prescription to the drug form, strength, and other specific drug information. Using this database, we identified individuals prescribed H2 blockers during the interval of March 1977 through December 1995.

The enrollment data files contain a record for each person ever enrolled at GHC, and they include the beginning and ending dates of all enrollment periods. Information on date of birth and gender are available in a separate demographic file. We used data from these files to calculate the person-time contribution of each eligible individual during enrollment from age 20 through age 84.

Cancers were identified using data provided to GHC by
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Results
We identified 54,239 individuals who had filled two or more
prescriptions for cimetidine or another type of H2 blocker

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Risk of breast cancer among female users of H2 blockers in GHC relative to the general population of western Washington State, 1977–1996</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Observed</td>
<td>Expected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All users</td>
<td>403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time since cohort entry (mo)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–11</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12–59</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–119</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120+</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By type(s) of H2 blocker used</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cimetidine only</td>
<td>191.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cimetidine and other</td>
<td>151.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other only</td>
<td>61.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*One-sided 97.5% CI.*
in women) were observed in the study cohort, with a mean follow-up of 5.2 years. SIRs were calculated for: users of cimetidine only (n = 29,103; mean follow-up, 5.1 years); users of both cimetidine and other H2 receptor antagonists (n = 9,641; mean follow-up, 7.4 years); and individuals who only used H2 receptor antagonists other than cimetidine (n = 9,617; mean follow-up, 3.4 years). Breast cancer (including in situ and invasive disease) was diagnosed among 507 women, and prostate cancer was diagnosed in 403 men during follow-up. Eleven of these 507 women were known to have had breast cancer before and after study entry; these women were excluded from the case-control analyses. The risk of breast cancer among women who had taken an H2 blocker was similar to that of female residents of western Washington State (Table 1), whereas in male users, the risk of prostate cancer was less than that of the general population. This latter reduction in risk was uniformly observed when users of H2 blockers were separated into subgroups according to the types of drugs used (Table 2). No trend in risk of breast or prostate cancer according to the number of cimetidine prescriptions filled or by the time since starting or stopping use of this drug. Similar results were observed in subgroups of women aged ≤50 years and ≥50 years of age at the reference date. Risk of prostate cancer was also similar in ever-users of cimetidine relative to users of other H2 blockers (Table 4). Although we observed no clear trend in risk according to the number of cimetidine prescriptions, risk was somewhat increased among men who had filled ≥21 prescriptions (RR, 1.4; 95% CI, 1.0–1.9). Analyses conducted separately among men with localized prostate cancer (n = 224) and men with regional/distant disease (n = 122; stage data missing for 46 cases) and their matched controls yielded similar findings.

### Discussion

Strengths of this study include its large size, as well as the availability of an identifiable and relatively stable population base and computerized databases with information regarding dates of GHC membership, use of H2 blockers, and incidence of cancer. Relative to data collected via an in-person interview, exposure data ascertained from the pharmacy database are likely to be accurate and complete. The time period of the study minimizes exposure misclassification due to over-the-counter availability of H2 blockers. Some weaknesses of the study also result from the exclusive use of GHC databases, including the potential for uncontrolled confounding by factors for which no data are available. However, compared with other area residents, GHC enrollees have slightly higher educational levels but are similar with respect to age, race/ethnicity, and marital status (12). Also, our measure of extent of use (number of prescriptions) may be a relatively crude estimate of the length of time cimetidine was used, resulting in a reduced ability to observe any association with duration of cimetidine use. We did not incorporate information regarding the strength of cimetidine dispensed because prescribing instructions were not available for most prescriptions.

To reduce the possibility of confounding by drug indication, we conducted nested case-control studies comparing cancer risk in cimetidine users to users of other H2 blockers (of these, the most commonly prescribed agent was ranitidine, which accounted for 99.1% of noncimetidine prescriptions in this cohort). Some recent literature suggests that H2 blockers may impact the progression of some cancers through enhancing

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Controls (N = 4740)</th>
<th>Cases (N = 474)</th>
<th>RR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ever used cimetidine</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3947</td>
<td>83.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>793</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of prescriptions</td>
<td>Never used</td>
<td>793</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≤1 yr</td>
<td>954</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;1 yr to &lt;2 yr</td>
<td>1146</td>
<td>24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥2 yr</td>
<td>763</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time since first eligible prescription</td>
<td>Never used</td>
<td>793</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≤1 yr</td>
<td>954</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;1 yr to &lt;2 yr</td>
<td>1146</td>
<td>24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥2 yr</td>
<td>763</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Controls (N = 3920)</th>
<th>Cases (N = 392)</th>
<th>RR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ever used cimetidine</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3212</td>
<td>81.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>708</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of prescriptions</td>
<td>Never used</td>
<td>708</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≤1 yr</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;1 yr to &lt;2 yr</td>
<td>906</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cases (N = 392)</th>
<th>RR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ever used cimetidine</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>708</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of prescriptions</td>
<td>Never used</td>
<td>708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≤1 yr</td>
<td>948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;1 yr to &lt;2 yr</td>
<td>906</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
immune response (14, 15), although this has been questioned (16). To the extent that hormonal or immunomodulatory effects on cancer risk may occur similarly in users of cimetidine and other H₂ blockers, our RR estimates may be biased. To address this possibility, we assessed risk of breast and prostate cancers associated with number of cimetidine prescriptions among women and men who only used cimetidine, with individuals with one eligible prescription serving as the referent group. We again observed no association of breast cancer risk with number of cimetidine prescriptions; for prostate cancer, risk among men with >20 prescriptions was 1.4 (95% CI, 0.9–2.0).

Cimetidine, but not ranitidine or other H₂ blockers, inhibits the binding of dihydrotestosterone to androgen receptors and may decrease the synthesis of testosterone (10, 17, 18). For these reasons, cimetidine has been hypothesized to exert a cancer preventive effect on the prostate (9). Cimetidine also increases serum concentrations of prolactin (1) and evidence suggests that higher prolactin levels may be associated with risk of postmenopausal breast cancer (19). At commonly administered dose levels, cimetidine inhibits cytochrome P-450-dependent metabolism of estradiol at the C-2 but not the C-16α position (10, 11). Results of some studies support the theory that increased 16α-hydroxylation of estrogens is a risk factor for breast cancer (20), whereas others suggest that catechol estrogens resulting from 2-hydroxylation are a cause of this disease (21). However, in a recent study (22), the urinary 2-hydroxyestrone:16α-hydroxyestrone ratio was not associated with risk of breast cancer in postmenopausal women.

Cancer incidence or mortality among cimetidine users has been examined in two European cohorts: one is a cohort of 10,694 men and 6,045 women in Denmark who were first prescribed cimetidine between 1977 and 1981; the other is a group of 5890 men and 3487 women in England who were first prescribed cimetidine between 1978 and 1980. To date, the effect of cimetidine on risk of prostate cancer has been examined only in the Danish cohort (6). In that population, the incidence of prostate cancer was increased in the first year after starting cimetidine use (RR, 1.84; 95% CI, 1.09–2.90) and reduced in the following years (RR excluding the first year of follow-up, 0.85; 95% CI, 0.65–1.09). To some extent, the observed reduction in risk may reflect the impact of increased cancer detection during the initial follow-up when increased risk was observed.

Risk of breast cancer in the Danish study was lower than that of the general population in the first year of follow-up, similar to that of the general population during years 2–5, and again reduced from 6 to 8 years after initiation of cimetidine use (7). In the English cohort, after an initial increased occurrence of breast cancer death in the first year of follow-up, breast cancer mortality rates resembled those of the general population, and recent for years 2–7, followed by a reduced risk of breast cancer mortality in years 8–10 (8). None of these associations was statistically significant.

In the present study, SIR analyses indicated that risk of breast cancer in women who used H₂ blockers was similar to that in the general population. Breast cancer risk among users of cimetidine was identical to that among users of other H₂ blockers and did not vary appreciably according to time elapsed since first or last cimetidine prescription or with number of cimetidine prescriptions. These results suggest that use of cimetidine does not influence the risk of female breast cancer.

Also, SIR analyses indicated that risk of prostate cancer among men in the study cohort was reduced relative to rates in western Washington State. The observations that this reduction in risk was (1) observed among users of various types of H₂ blockers and (2) was most evident during later calendar years suggest that this finding may reflect less frequent use of procedures such as transurethral resection of the prostate and PSA testing among GHC members relative to the western Washington population. Nationwide increases in prostate cancer incidence rates have been attributed to increased identification of asymptomatic cancers through transurethral resection of the prostate in the 1970s and 1980s and through PSA testing in the late 1980s and 1990s (23). In October 1991, the GHC Department of Medical Education conducted a review of the evidence and thereafter initiated a program to discourage PSA testing in asymptomatic men, after which the occurrence of such testing declined rapidly within GHC (24).

Relative to users of other H₂ blockers, users of cimetidine had a similar risk of prostate cancer, save for a modest elevation in risk among men who had filled ≥21 cimetidine prescriptions. This latter result is opposite to the hypothesized reduction in risk associated with reduced androgenic stimulation of the prostate among cimetidine users, and we know of no biological basis for the observed association. Conceivably, screening practices may differ among long-term users of cimetidine, leading to increased diagnosis of asymptomatic prostate cancers among this group. However, among men with ≥21 cimetidine prescriptions, risks of localized and regional/distant stage prostate cancer were similar (relative to never users, RR, 1.3; 95% CI, 0.8–2.2 and RR, 1.4; 95% CI, 0.8–2.6, respectively). Our results provide little evidence to support the previously hypothesized preventive effect of cimetidine on risk of prostate cancer and do not exclude the possibility that prostate cancer risk may be increased among long-term users of the drug.
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