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Abstract
Background: Adult height has been positively associated with prostate cancer risk. However, the exposure window of importance is currently unknown and assessments of height during earlier growth periods are scarce. In addition, the association between birth weight and prostate cancer remains undetermined. We assessed these relationships in a cohort of the Copenhagen School Health Records Register (CSHRR).

Methods: The CSHRR comprises 372,636 school children. For boys born between the 1930s and 1969, birth weight and annual childhood heights—measured between ages 7 and 13 years—were analyzed in relation to prostate cancer risk. Cox proportional hazards regression models were used to estimate hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CI).

Results: There were 125,211 males for analysis, 2,987 of who were subsequently diagnosed with prostate cancer during 2.57 million person-years of follow-up. Height z-score was significantly associated with prostate cancer risk at all ages (HRs, 1.13 to 1.14). Height at age 13 years was more important than height change (P = 0.024) and height at age 7 years (P = 0.024), when estimates from mutually adjusted models were compared. Adjustment of birth weight did not alter the estimates. Birth weight was not associated with prostate cancer risk.

Conclusions: The association between childhood height and prostate cancer risk was driven by height at age 13 years.

Impact: Our findings implicate late childhood, adolescence, and adulthood growth periods as containing the exposure window(s) of interest that underlies the association between height and prostate cancer. The causal factor may not be singular given the complexity of both human growth and carcinogenesis.

Introduction
Consistently associated risk factors for prostate cancer are limited to age, race/ethnicity (1), family history of prostate cancer (2), country of origin/residence (3), and certain genetic polymorphisms (4). The lack of risk factors found to be associated with prostate cancer may primarily be attributable to two causes: age at exposure and the prostate-specific antigen (PSA) test. Age at exposure refers to the fact that development of the prostate organ is a life-long process, starting in utero, with morphogenesis continuing in infancy and childhood, before undergoing dramatic changes during puberty to form the adult prostate (5–8). However, most previous studies have only assessed adult exposures, given the time, financial and technical hurdles of using a life-course approach (9). Exposures with carcinogenic potential experienced during development of the prostate organ may be integral to the development of prostate cancer many years later.

PSA screening is understood to have led to significant overdiagnosis (10, 11), with a concomitant shift to earlier grades and stages of disease (12–14). This may have altered the composition of prostate cancer populations under study leading to heterogeneous results, as well as dilution of potentially identifiable risk factors associated with clinically relevant (symptomatic and/or causing death) disease, given that more than 60% of men by age 80 years are expected to harbor latent prostate cancer (15–18).

Adult height, used as a proxy of a multitude of exposures associated with infant, childhood, and adolescent growth (19), has been associated with prostate cancer risk (20, 21). However, the time window (age) of importance that underlies this association is currently unknown—one previous study was able to assess childhood height (ages 2–14 years) and found indications of an increased risk for...
prostate cancer, although this was not statistically significant and the confidence interval (CI) was wide (OR per SD of height z-score; 1.10; 95% CI, 0.80–1.51). In addition, this study was unable to look at age-specific heights due to the accrual of just 33 prostate cancer outcomes (22). There is only tentative evidence for a positive association between birth weight and prostate cancer risk (23–31), but most of these analyses have been based on a small number of outcomes. The Copenhagen School Health Records Register (CSHRR) provided us with an opportunity to overcome many of the limitations of previous studies. This cohort is composed of more than 370,000 school children from Copenhagen, Denmark, who were born from 1930 onwards. The CSHRR cohort enabled us to conduct a comprehensive analysis of childhood height in relation to future prostate cancer risk.

Materials and Methods

Cohort for analysis

The CSHRR has been described in detail previously (32). Briefly, the CSHRR includes 372,636 school children who ever attended school in the municipality of Copenhagen, Denmark. As part of school-based health care, annual assessments of each child resulted in a huge number of handwritten records, components of which have subsequently been computerized for individuals born 1930 to 1989, and more recent years continue to be added. Throughout this time period, childhood height was measured by a school physician or nurse, without the child wearing shoes, using equipment provided by the school health service. Birth weight was reported by the parent(s) at the first school health examination, with memory aided by a request for parents to bring written documentation of such values taken at, or near, the time of birth.

Over the long period covered by the CSHRR, ages of compulsory education have varied for both starting (5–7 years) and ending (13–16 years) one’s formal education. For analyses of this cohort with prostate cancer as the outcome, we have restricted the dataset to birth cohorts 1930 to 1969, as there were no recorded cases of prostate cancer in birth cohorts subsequent to this period given the current young ages of such men. Related to this point, we restricted the lower bound for the age at outcome (prostate cancer diagnosis) as 40 years. Assessment of childhood height was restricted to ages 7 through 13 years, as these were the predominant ages for which this information was available for this analytic cohort. Analyses of birth weight as the primary exposure, or as a covariate in the childhood height models, were undertaken on a subcohort (Supplementary Fig. S1) given the fact that birth weight was only recorded for individuals born from 1936 onwards. Individuals with birth weights less than 2 kg (n = 1,287) or more than 5.5 kg (n = 370) were excluded given that these weights are unlikely to be accurate.

Data linkage

In 1968, The Danish Central Office of Civil Registration (Det Centrale Person Register) assigned a personal identification number, known as the central person registration number (CPR number), to every citizen (33). Children attending school in 1968, and thereafter, had the CPR number recorded on their health card. For health cards completed before 1968, forename(s), surname, sex, and date of birth were used to match health cards with CPR numbers. CPR numbers were successfully identified for 329,968 (89%) of 372,636 children in the CSHRR. Individuals who died or emigrated before 1968 were never assigned a CPR number, thus precluding matching. This will not have affected the analyses presented herein because of the age distribution of prostate cancer and the lower age limit of cancer diagnosis for inclusion (40 years).

The CPR number enables linkage to a large number of other databases including the Danish Cancer Registry (34) and the Central Person Register (vital statistics) that, for this analysis, were used to provide outcome information on prostate cancer until the last date of follow-up of 31st December 2010. Prostate cancer was defined using code C61 of the International Classification of Diseases 10th revision (35).

Statistical analysis

Age-specific childhood heights have dramatically increased over the analytic period spanned by the CSHRR, a phenomenon generally ascribed to perinatal environmental exposures (36). In addition, height obviously increases with age during childhood. To account for both of these factors in our analyses, we calculated childhood height z-scores by age (per month) with 5-year birth cohorts (1930–1934, 1935–1939, . . . , 1965–1969) as the reference. We used the entire cohort (i.e., boys with and boys without CPR numbers) as an internal reference and the Lambda, Median, and Sigma (LMS) method (37) to generate these z-scores. To obtain childhood height z-scores at the exact ages (i.e., 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, or 13 years) we used the z-score if measured at the exact age (i.e., on an individual’s birthday); interpolated the z-score if the exact age measurement was not available but measurements on both sides of the exact age (±12 months) were available; or extrapolated the z-score if the exact age measurement was not available and only a measurement one side of the exact age (±12 months) was available. In the absence of an exact age measurement and at least one measurement within 12 months of the exact age under scrutiny for an individual, a z-score was not generated for that age and the individual was omitted from the analysis for that age only. Given that birth weight was stable over calendar time and was normally distributed (38), birth weight z-scores were calculated in the standard way.

We calculated the mean, SD, and median of birth weight and of childhood height for each age by birth cohort (5-year intervals). For the outcome of prostate cancer, we tabulated the distribution of cases, person-years, and incidence rate per 100,000 by age (5-year intervals) and
birth cohort (5-year intervals). For assessment of age-specific childhood height and birth weight in relation to the subsequent risk of prostate cancer in adulthood, we conducted Cox proportional hazards regression models using age as the underlying time metric with the baseline hazard stratified by birth cohort (5-year intervals). Follow-up began at the age of 40 years. The outcome was prostate cancer, whereas right-censoring variables included date of death, emigration, loss to follow-up or 31st December 2010, whichever occurred first. We also assessed whether these relationships differed by the pre- and post-PSA era, the cutoff point for which was set as January 1, 1998, given that The Danish Society of Urology recommended PSA testing in November 1997 (39). These sensitivity analyses were restricted to men ages 40 to 68 years at prostate cancer diagnosis, due to the fact that 68 years was the oldest age at diagnosis available for individuals diagnosed in the pre-PSA era.

The proportional hazards assumption was tested for each age of anthropometric assessment (height and birth weight) by (i) estimating the magnitude and statistical significance of a time (age) varying $z$-score effect on prostate cancer using a Cox proportional hazards regression model; (ii) estimating the relationship between $z$-score and prostate cancer stratified into quartiles of age with calculation of a global $P$ value for statistically significant differences between strata; and (iii) through visual assessment of cumulative hazard versus cumulative hazard plots of one $z$-score category versus another, a straight line indicates proportional hazards, whereas inflections indicate nonproportional hazards.

We also assessed the shape of the associations between anthropometric $z$-scores and prostate cancer risk by (i) testing for linearity compared with a categorical alternative; (ii) examining linear splines with three cutoff points with a Wald test of the hypothesis that all three changes in the hazard ratios (HRs) are equal to one (indicating that the association is log-linear); and (iii) visual inspection of restricted cubic spline plots with a test against the linear alternative.

We assessed whether relationships between anthropometric $z$-scores and prostate cancer risk were modified by birth cohort through stratified analyses with use of a global test comparing the HRs of all strata. We also assessed whether adjusting for birth weight affected the association between childhood height and prostate cancer risk.

To assess whether changes in height during childhood growth were important for prostate cancer risk, we...
conducted Cox proportional hazards regression models that contained height z-score change between ages 7 and 13 years as well as height z-score at age 7 years or height z-score at age 13 years. Therefore, to test whether change in height z-score, height z-score at age 7 years, or height z-score at age 13 years was more important, we used the Wald test to directly compare these estimates.

Results

For analyses of childhood height, there were 188,360 potentially eligible boys in the CSHRR born between 1930 and 1989 (Fig. 1). Of these, 34,919 were born before 1970 and 133,647 (87%) were linked to a CPR number. We excluded 6,771 individuals who had emigrated (n = 2,778), died (n = 3,888), or who were lost to follow-up (n = 105) before the age of 40 years, 1,663 individuals who were missing height measures at all ages (7–13 years), one individual who was missing date of diagnosis of a recorded prostate cancer, and one individual who had outlying height z-scores at all ages (all < -4.5). There remained 125,211 individuals in the cohort for analyses of childhood height. For analyses that included birth weight, there were fewer eligible boys (n = 107,636; Supplementary Fig. S1) due to the fact that birth weight was only collected from the birth year 1936 onwards. After exclusions, there were 93,625 individuals in the cohort for analyses of birth weight.

Mean height increased with age and with birth cohort (Supplementary Table S1). For example, mean height for the latest birth cohort (1965–1969) increased from 123.7 cm for boys ages 7 years to 156.2 cm for boys ages 13 years. For boys ages 13 years, height increased from 149.8 cm in the 1930 to 1934 birth cohort to 156.2 cm in the 1965 to 1969 birth cohort. Mean and median birth weight did not vary by birth cohort over the period assessed.

Prostate cancer counts, person-years and incidence rates by age and birth cohort are shown in Table 1. There were a total of 2,987 prostate cancers and 2.57 million person-years of follow-up. Age and birth cohort effects can be seen in Table 1. For example, the incidence rate increased with age in the 1930 to 1934 birth cohort from 20 per 100,000 person-years for the age group of 50 to 54 years.
years to 1,770 per 100,000 person-years for the age group of 80 to 84 years. For the age group of 65 to 69 years, prostate cancer incidence increased from 330 to 895 per 100,000 person-years for the birth cohorts 1930 to 1934 and 1945 to 1949, respectively. The overall distribution of cases by age (Supplementary Fig. S2) and incidence rate by age (Supplementary Fig. S3) presented expected patterns.

Table 2 and Fig. 2 display the results of the Cox proportional hazards regression models for age-specific heights and birth weight. The HR per height $z$-score was approximately 1.13 and this was remarkably stable across the ages at which height was assessed as well as being statistically significant for all of them. The height $z$-scores are birth cohort-specific, but moving from a $z$-score of 0 to a $z$-score of 1 corresponds to approximately 5.2 cm at age 7 years and ranged from 7.5 to 8.2 cm at age 13 years—the change in the magnitude of the $z$-score with age represents greater variation in height with age due to how growth occurs during childhood. The correlation coefficient between height $z$-score at age 7 years and age 13 years was 0.87. Birth weight showed a positive association with future prostate cancer risk, but the estimate was not statistically significant. We also provide these analyses on the raw scale should these be of use for future comparisons/meta-analyses (Supplementary Table S2).

When these analyses were stratified by the pre- and post-PSA era, there was tentative evidence that the associations were stronger for individuals diagnosed in the pre-PSA era (Fig. 3). Although none of these comparisons were statistically significant ($P < 0.05$), these analyses had limited statistical power given relatively small numbers of prostate cancers available for the pre-PSA era ($n = 212$). There was no evidence that birth weight associations differed by the PSA era (pre-PSA era HR, 1.018; 95% CI, 0.757–1.370; post-PSA era HR, 1.036; 95% CI, 0.981–1.092).

In our assessments of the proportional hazards assumption, there was little evidence of violation for either childhood height or birth weight (Supplementary Tables S3 and S4). Analyses investigating the shapes of the associations between anthropometric $z$-scores and prostate cancer risk indicated that these relationships were all linear. Categorical estimates for childhood height assessed at ages 7 and 13 years, as well as birth weight, are shown in Table 3; none of the tests for departure from linearity, compared with a categorical alternative or compared with spline models (Supplementary Fig. S4), were statistically significant (data not shown).

From analyses stratified by birth cohort, there was no evidence that the relationships between childhood height, birth weight, and prostate cancer risk deviated, and thus the risk seems to be constant across birth cohorts (data not shown). Adjustment for birth weight in the childhood height–prostate cancer model had a negligible effect on the estimates (Supplementary Table S5).

### Table 2. HRs of prostate cancer in adulthood per increase in birth weight $z$-score or age-specific height $z$-score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age y</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>HR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 (Birth)</td>
<td>93,625</td>
<td>1,699</td>
<td>1.035 (0.982–1.091)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>118,090</td>
<td>2,774</td>
<td>1.127 (1.085–1.171)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>120,380</td>
<td>2,825</td>
<td>1.127 (1.085–1.170)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>120,185</td>
<td>2,829</td>
<td>1.123 (1.081–1.166)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>120,075</td>
<td>2,818</td>
<td>1.121 (1.080–1.164)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>120,089</td>
<td>2,826</td>
<td>1.124 (1.082–1.167)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>119,561</td>
<td>2,792</td>
<td>1.138 (1.095–1.182)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>118,395</td>
<td>2,734</td>
<td>1.138 (1.095–1.182)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: All Cox regressions used age as the underlying time metric and were stratified by birth cohort.
When height z-score changes between ages 7 and 13 years were modeled with height z-score at age 7 years, the difference in the estimates was not significantly different ($P = 0.60$). However, when modeled with height z-score at age 13 years, the difference was significant ($P = 0.024$) with the HR for age 13 years ($1.144; 95\%$ CI, 1.098–1.191) being greater in magnitude than the HR for height z-score change ($1.022; 95\%$ CI, 0.944–1.060). The differences between the HRs for height z-score at age 7 years ($0.979; 95\%$ CI, 0.904–1.060) and height z-score at age 13 years ($1.168; 95\%$ CI, 1.079–1.280) from a mutually adjusted model were also statistically significant ($P = 0.024$).

### Discussion

In this analysis of a cohort based on the CSHRR—comprising 125,211 males, more than 2.5 million person-years of follow-up, and 2,987 incident prostate cancers—we find evidence for a positive, linear association between childhood height and future prostate cancer risk. This relationship was driven by height at age 13 years as opposed to height change during childhood or height at age 7 years. There were tentative indications that these associations were strongest when restricted to prostate cancers diagnosed in the pre-PSA era. Although the association between birth weight and prostate cancer risk was positive, it was not statistically significant.

There is one previous study of childhood height in relation to prostate cancer risk, and this was from the Boyd Orr cohort that recruited 4,999 children, ages between 2 and 14 years, and resident in England or Scotland during the years 1937 to 1939 (22). In a single analysis, with adjustment for age at height measurement (baseline), the authors found a point estimate similar to what we present herein between childhood height and risk of prostate cancer (ORper SD of height z-score, $1.10; 95\%$ CI, 0.80–1.51). Although this was not statistically significant, the analysis was underpowered with the accrual of just 33 prostate cancers during the 59-year follow-up of 1,236 boys for whom information on childhood height was available.

In contrast, there have been numerous studies of adult height in relation to prostate cancer. A recent meta-analysis of 58 studies found adult height to be positively associated with prostate cancer (ORper 10 cm, 1.06; 95\% CI, 1.03–1.09), which was stronger when restricted to prospective studies of more advanced/aggressive disease (ORper 10 cm, 1.12; 95\% CI, 1.05–1.19; ref. 21). In addition, an analysis of individual participant data of more than 1 million individuals from 121 prospective studies indicated that adult height was associated with risk of prostate cancer death (HRper SD of adult height z-score (6.5 cm), 1.07; 95\% CI, 1.02–1.11) with evidence of little heterogeneity ($I^2 = 9\%; 95\%$ uncertainty interval, 0\%–36\%; ref. 20). Given that our CSHRR estimates of childhood height and prostate cancer risk are similar in magnitude and the fact that these relationships were driven by height at age 13 years, as opposed to height change or height at age 7 years, may indicate that the causal risk mechanism is most dependent on late childhood or even early adolescent growth. Alternatively, distinct effects could be conferred by exposures at two or more growth periods during development.

Although in utero is an important period for normal growth and development, variability in anthropometric measures at birth are thought to represent a distinct set of causal factors compared with those represented by childhood height (40–43). Underlying this proposition

### Table 3. HRs of prostate cancer by categories of birth weight z-score and age-specific height z-scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age y</th>
<th>Category of birth weight or height z-score</th>
<th>Total population (n)</th>
<th>Cases (n)</th>
<th>HR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 (Birth)</td>
<td>4.5 to –1.28</td>
<td>6,527</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>1.053 (0.867–1.280)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>–1.28 to 0.68</td>
<td>7,921</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1.059 (0.876–1.280)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.68 to 1.28</td>
<td>56,108</td>
<td>998</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.28 to 4.5</td>
<td>14,864</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>1.123 (0.987–1.278)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 (Birth)</td>
<td>8,205</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>1.072 (0.911–1.262)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.5 to –1.28</td>
<td>12,278</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>0.738 (0.637–0.854)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>–1.28 to 0.68</td>
<td>18,545</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>0.883 (0.790–0.987)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.68 to 1.28</td>
<td>60,104</td>
<td>1,438</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.28 to 4.5</td>
<td>16,325</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>1.049 (0.941–1.169)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 (Birth)</td>
<td>10,838</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>1.230 (1.089–1.389)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>4.5 to –1.28</td>
<td>11,762</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>0.836 (0.725–0.964)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>–1.28 to 0.68</td>
<td>18,062</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>0.818 (0.727–0.921)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.68 to 1.28</td>
<td>60,126</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.28 to 4.5</td>
<td>16,923</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>1.134 (1.019–1.262)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 (Birth)</td>
<td>11,522</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>1.255 (1.112–1.415)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: All Cox regressions used age as the underlying time metric and were stratified by birth cohort.
is the independence of these anthropometric measures attributable to variability in growth trajectory, which has given rise to the terms such as catch-up and catch-down growth (41–46). However, birth weight is related to childhood height, albeit weakly (r ~ 0.2–0.3; refs. 40, 47, 48), and thus deserves investigation. We found that adjustment for birth weight had no effect on associations between childhood height and prostate cancer risk. Birth weight, itself, provided only tentative evidence for a positive association with prostate cancer risk but this was not statistically significant (HR, 1.035; 95% CI, 0.982–1.091), a finding which is in agreement with the majority of previous studies (23–28) including a previous analysis of CSHRR data (31), although two further studies have provided positive estimates that were just beyond the nominal threshold of statistically significance (P < 0.05; refs. 29, 30).

Birth length shares an even weaker correlation with childhood/adolescent height (r ~ 0.11; ref. 49). Birth length was not available in this cohort for analysis, and it has generally not been associated with prostate cancer risk (23, 25, 26, 28). The Norwegian study by Nilsen and colleagues (26) did find a statistically significant association between birth length and metastatic prostate cancer (RRhighest quartile vs. lowest, 2.5; 95% CI, 1.0–6.3), but this was a subanalysis that included just 33 cancers with no evidence for linearity of association (P = 0.30). In summary, the evidence may suggest that our finding of an association between childhood height and prostate cancer risk is unlikely to be explained by causal factors of intrauterine growth, as represented by birth length or birth weight. This is compounded by the fact that the CSHRR associations with prostate cancer risk were driven by height at age 13 years.

Therefore, we propose that our observations indicate late childhood, and possibly adolescent, growth phases as important for prostate cancer risk. Previous studies of the relationship between adult height and cancer have attempted to identify the relevant growth period at which the causal factors are experienced via analysis of the components of height. This idea is based on the premise that leg length represents pre-adolescent growth and trunk length represents adolescent growth (50–53). Although previous studies of such find no evidence for stronger associations of one metric compared with the other in relation to prostate cancer risk (21, 22, 54), this does not contradict the evidence and thesis we present here. These studies are using anthropometric measures at least twice-removed from any causal factor (leg length to pre-adolescent growth to causal factor), and leg length and trunk length are obviously not wholly attributable to pre-adolescent and adolescent growth periods, respectively (50, 53, 55). As such, although these metrics are somewhat useful for studies of adult height in attempting to discern the relevant time window (age) for causal factors, they are weaker evidence when compared with measured heights at the relevant ages as used in this analysis of CSHRR data.

The relevant time window(s) (ages) and exposures that underlie the association between height and prostate cancer risk remain elusive. Several ideas for the mechanism have been hypothesized and some of these fit with our proposed time window of exposure, such as insulin-like growth factor pathways (56–59), micronutrients (60–62), calorie intake (63–65), infections (66), psychosocial stresses (36), ill health (36), genetic variants (67), sex steroid hormones (7), and age at the onset of puberty (68). Although the weight of the prostate organ makes only relatively small gains between birth and the onset of puberty, going from approximately 1 to 4 gm in weight (5, 6), there is continued prostate development including duct formation, solid budding at the periphery and morphogenesis (8). Thus although pubertal development is obviously important for correct adult form and function, the possibility exists that maldevelopment(s) in early (childhood) prostate development may have effects on risk of malignancy later in life. The effect is unlikely to be due to maternal height, given that this has been found not to be associated with subsequent risk of prostate cancer in born sons (69). Also unlikely, for prostate cancer, is the idea that an increased number of cells are at risk in taller individuals (70), given that prostate weight does not seem to be associated with adult height (71).

Overdiagnosis (10, 11) and changes in the composition of prostate cancer populations under study attributable to PSA screening (12–14) were our motivations to conduct pre- and post-PSA era analyses. As described, we found indications of a stronger association between childhood height and prostate cancer risk in the pre-PSA era, which may suggest that PSA screening has diluted the proportion of clinically relevant prostate cancer, attenuating the magnitude of observed associations. However, the differences between pre- and post-PSA era estimates were not statistically significant, possibly due to the small number of pre-PSA era prostate cancers in our analysis. Therefore, although this result is provocative, it must be interpreted with caution.

Strengths of this analysis include: prospective and serial measurement of boys’ heights, as opposed to recall or estimation of such in an interview decades after the time period in question; record linkage via CPR numbers that enabled passive and accurate follow-up; a large, unselected population for study that has provided for a considerable number of prostate cancer outcomes and, in turn, a high level of confidence in estimates of risk; and, estimation of z-scores and the baseline hazard of the Cox models were both stratified by birth cohort, in an attempt to exclude the possibility of confounding by an unidentified birth cohort effect. Limitations of our analysis include the inability to adjust our estimates for social or lifestyle factors, due to limited availability of such (32). However, as there were no appreciable birth cohort influences on our estimates, despite dramatic changes in social conditions and lifestyle over the many years covered by the cohort, it is unlikely that these factors play a role. Another limitation is our inability to stratify by Gleason...
score—a histopathologic grading associated with prognosis (72)—as this information is not included in the Danish Cancer Registry. Residual confounding may be considered to be another limitation, but we do not propose that childhood height is itself a risk factor for prostate cancer—rather we propose that height is a proxy for the causal factor.

In conclusion, using CSHRR data we have shown that measured childhood height is positively associated with prostate cancer risk later in life, whereas there was little evidence for an association with birth weight. The fact that the association was driven by height at age 13 years implicates late childhood, adolescence and adulthood as the potential exposure window of interest. The causal factor may not be singular given the complexity of human growth (19) and carcinogenesis. Adoption of a life-course approach (9) to both the design and analysis of future studies may help elucidate the relationships that underlie these observations.
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