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Abstract

Background: Increasing knowledge about human papillomavirus (HPV) and HPV vaccine is a potentially important way to increase vaccination rates, yet few education interventions have addressed these topics. We report the results of an education intervention targeting three key groups who have contact with adolescent females.

Methods: We conducted HPV education intervention sessions during 2008 and 2009 in Guilford County, North Carolina. Parents (n = 376), healthcare staff (n = 118), and school staff (n = 456) attended the one-time sessions and completed self-administered surveys. Analyses used mixed regression models to examine the intervention’s effects on participants’ self-rated HPV knowledge, objectively assessed HPV and HPV vaccine knowledge, and beliefs about HPV vaccine.

Results: Participants had relatively low levels of objectively assessed HPV and HPV vaccine knowledge prior to the intervention. The education intervention increased self-rated HPV knowledge among all three key groups (all \( P < 0.001 \)), and objectively assessed knowledge about many aspects of HPV and HPV vaccine among healthcare and school staff members (all \( P < 0.05 \)). Following the intervention, more than 90% of school staff members believed HPV and HPV vaccine education is worthwhile for school personnel and that middle schools are an appropriate venue for this education. Most parents (97%) and school staff members (85%) indicated they would be supportive of school-based vaccination clinics.

Conclusions: Our education intervention greatly increased HPV and HPV vaccine knowledge among groups influential to the HPV vaccination behaviors of adolescent females.

Impact: Education interventions represent a simple yet potentially effective strategy for increasing HPV vaccination and garnering stronger support for school-based vaccination clinics.

Introduction

Guidelines currently recommend that 11- to 12-year-old females receive the 3-dose regimen of human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine, with catch-up vaccination for 13- to 26-year-old females (1). Widespread vaccination may greatly reduce cervical cancer incidence, perhaps by as much as 77% (2), and anal cancer incidence (3). However, recent data suggest fewer than half of 13- to 17-year-old females in the United States have received 1 or more doses of HPV vaccine, and only 32% have completed the 3-dose regimen (4).

Parents, healthcare providers, and school staff members are key stakeholders in the HPV vaccination behaviors of adolescent females. Parents are typically the main decision makers in choosing whether their adolescent daughters receive HPV vaccine (5). Healthcare providers are also important, since most females receive HPV vaccine at doctors’ offices (6) and provider recommendation is a key determinant of HPV vaccine uptake (7–9). Teachers and school administrators are likely to play an increasingly important role in influencing whether adolescent females receive HPV vaccine. School-based HPV vaccination programs, which have been exceptionally effective in Australia and the United Kingdom (10–12), have now started to expand to the United States (13). Few schools in the United States, however, currently provide vaccines of any type to students (14), likely because of issues concerning billing, insurance, and costs to the schools.

It is critical that key stakeholders possess adequate knowledge about HPV and the vaccine. Knowledge is important in the early stages of behavior change...
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According to multiple frameworks that characterize the stages of adoption of health behaviors (15, 16). Furthermore, adequate knowledge is a prerequisite for making informed decisions about vaccination and vaccine policies. For this reason, providing information (to improve knowledge) is a common component of behavioral interventions (17).

Despite the role knowledge may play in HPV vaccination behaviors, several studies have shown HPV knowledge to be relatively low among parents and adult women in the United States (18–21). Parents have also indicated that one of the main reasons why their daughters have not received HPV vaccine is a lack of information or knowledge about HPV and the vaccine (9, 22, 23). Many physicians and nurses in the United States lack knowledge about certain aspects of HPV and HPV vaccine as well (24–27). We were not able to locate any studies examining HPV knowledge among school faculty and administrators. In addition to actual knowledge about HPV and the vaccine, it is important that these stakeholders have high levels of self-rated knowledge so that they feel confident discussing HPV vaccine with adolescent females and making informed decisions regarding vaccination.

Given inadequate HPV and HPV vaccine knowledge, education interventions designed to improve knowledge levels represent a potentially important initial step toward increasing vaccination rates among adolescent females. Education interventions may also influence individuals’ beliefs and attitudes about HPV vaccination, such as the acceptability of school-based HPV vaccination programs. Only a few intervention studies, however, have been conducted, all of which showed that simple strategies (e.g., providing written materials or verbal information) improved knowledge among parents, adult women, or students (28–33). To the best of our knowledge, no studies have assessed the effectiveness of education interventions among healthcare providers or school employees. In this report, we describe the results of an HPV and HPV vaccine education intervention targeting 3 key groups who have contact with adolescent females: parents, healthcare staff, and school staff members. Results provide valuable information for future programs designed to increase not only knowledge about HPV and HPV vaccine but also vaccination rates.

Materials and Methods

Participants and recruitment

The Guilford County HPV Campaign sought to create a model for school-based HPV vaccination programs through collaborative partnerships between the local school system and local health department. Guilford County, North Carolina has the potential to benefit greatly from HPV vaccination since its cervical cancer mortality rate is among the highest in the state (6.1 deaths per 100,000 women annually; ref. 34). A year prior to the launch of the school HPV vaccination campaign in Guilford County (results of which we report separately; ref. 35), we conducted an HPV education campaign called "Don’t Wait…. Educate!". The campaign consisted of education intervention sessions throughout the county that targeted caregivers of middle school students (referred to as "parents"), nurses and health educators (referred to as "healthcare staff"), and school administration and faculty members (referred to as "school staff"). We conducted this initial phase of the project to increase knowledge about HPV and HPV vaccine among key groups who have contact with adolescent females, the target population for HPV vaccination. To assist with intervention development and implementation, we formed an advisory team comprised of individuals from the Guilford County Department of Public Health, the local school system, and the community.

After gaining approval from appropriate members of the Guilford County School System, we began conducting education sessions in middle schools. In participating schools, we held separate sessions for parents and school staff, which commonly occurred in locations such as the school library or media room. To reach a greater number of parents, we conducted additional sessions on-site at various community events and sites. Parent sessions were open to anyone interested in attending. For healthcare staff, we held education sessions for school health nurses, congregational nurses, student nurses, community health educators, and various professional medical societies. We also conducted an education session at the Guilford County Department of Public Health for all interested healthcare workers in the community. All education sessions occurred during 2008 and 2009.

To increase attendance at the education sessions, we developed a marketing and publicity plan to promote the sessions. We held many of the events outside of normal business hours or on weekends, and we held sessions for school staff during mandatory staff meetings. School websites and voicemail systems helped notify and remind parents about the education sessions. Participants did not receive incentives for attending a session. We report data from 118 healthcare staff members (from 5 education sessions), 456 school staff members (from 11 education sessions), and 376 parents (from 16 education sessions), excluding data for 5 healthcare staff members and 25 school staff members who did not complete both pre- and postintervention surveys.

Intervention

We developed an educational PowerPoint presentation on HPV and HPV vaccine that provided information on HPV prevalence and transmission, diseases associated with HPV infection, vaccine recommendations, dosage schedule, vaccine efficacy and safety, and insurance coverage for the vaccine. The presentation lasted about 30 to 40 minutes including time for questions. A community health educator who was part of the advisory team gave the presentations. We also developed an educational handout to accompany the presentation that had similar information. We instructed participants from all 3 groups to refrain from using...
the educational handout when completing surveys. School and healthcare staff members completed self-administered written surveys both before and after the education intervention. Preintervention surveys were distributed, completed, and collected prior to presentations, and postintervention surveys were distributed and completed following presentations. On the basis of advisory team members’ advice that multiple surveys may discourage parents’ participation, we asked parents to complete surveys only following presentations.

**Measures**

We designed the surveys mainly to assess participants’ knowledge about HPV and HPV vaccine (items shown in Table 1). To reduce respondent burden, we omitted some items for some groups. We asked participants to rate their knowledge of HPV from 1 to 10, with 10 being the highest level (i.e., self-rated knowledge). Both pre- and postintervention surveys contained this item for school and healthcare staff members. On parents’ postintervention surveys, they rated their current knowledge and estimated their knowledge before the educational presentation. School and healthcare staff surveys (pre- and postintervention) contained multiple choice items regarding the number of new HPV cases diagnosed each year in the United States (about 6 million), the percent of these cases that occur in 15 to 24 years old (74%), and the percent of cervical cancer cases in which HPV can be found (99%; ref. 36). For each item, we scored responses as “correct” or “incorrect.”

School and healthcare staff surveys (pre- and postintervention) also included true/false items about HPV and the vaccine. We asked healthcare staff members whether: (i) a woman in the United States dies every 2.5 hours from cervical cancer (true); (ii) vaccinated females no longer need to receive regular Pap smear tests (false); (iii) HPV vaccine has shown virtually 100% efficacy in preventing HPV infection among virus-naive females but does not protect against or treat existing infections (true); and (iv) half of women diagnosed with cervical cancer between the ages of 35 and 50 were mostly likely exposed to HPV in their teens or early twenties (true). School staff surveys only included the last 2 true/false items. The

### Table 1. Survey measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Healthcare staff</th>
<th>School staff</th>
<th>Parents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Knowledge (self-rated)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Knowledge (objectively assessed)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of new HPV cases each year in the United States</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of new HPV cases that occur in 15 to 24 years old</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of cervical cancers where HPV can be found</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccine is effective in virus-naive females but does not protect against or treat existing infections</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half of cervical cancer cases between ages 35 to 50 were likely exposed to HPV in teens or early twenties</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A woman dies every 2.5 hours from cervical cancer in the United States</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular Pap smear tests are no longer needed after HPV vaccination</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beliefs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community education on HPV and HPV vaccine is valuable</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Would choose to vaccinate daughter if they had one in the approved age range for HPV vaccine</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPV and HPV vaccine education is worthwhile for school personnel</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle schools are an appropriate venue for HPV and HPV vaccine education</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course of action if a student approached them with questions about HPV or HPV vaccine</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Would be supportive of a school-based vaccination clinic</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:** Surveys delivered before or after education intervention.

**a**On postintervention surveys, parents self-rated their current HPV knowledge and estimated their knowledge before the educational presentation.
presentation and handout provided information needed to correctly answer the items.

The surveys also assessed beliefs surrounding HPV vaccine, education programs, and school-based vaccination clinics. We asked school staff (pre- and postintervention) if they believed HPV and HPV vaccine education is worthwhile for school personnel (yes or no), whether middle schools are an appropriate venue for this education (yes or no), and what they would do if a student approached them with questions about HPV or the vaccine. For the last item, response options included: (i) feel comfortable or confident enough in their knowledge about HPV to talk with the student themselves; (ii) advise the student to speak with the school nurse; (iii) advise the student to speak with a parent; and (iv) tell the student they are too young to be concerned with such things. We allowed participants to select more than one answer for this item. We asked school staff (pre- and postintervention) and parents (postintervention) if they would be supportive of school-based vaccination clinics. Health care staff surveys (pre- and postintervention) assessed whether they believed community education on HPV and HPV vaccine is valuable and whether they would choose to vaccinate their daughter if they had one in the approved age range for HPV vaccine. We collected data on gender, age, and race/ethnicity for all 3 groups, and occupation for healthcare staff members.

**Data analysis**

For all groups, we compared pre- and postintervention self-rated HPV knowledge. For healthcare and school staff, we compared pre- and postintervention objectively assessed HPV knowledge and beliefs regarding HPV and HPV vaccine. We also compared self-rated HPV knowledge across the 3 groups, using the Bonferroni correction to account for multiple comparisons. We used mixed regression models for analyses as participants were clustered within education sessions. Data were analyzed with SAS Version 9.2 using 2-tailed statistical tests and a critical alpha of 0.05.

**Results**

**Healthcare staff**

About 60% of healthcare staff members were health educators, and just more than 40% were nurses. Most were female (93%), older than 40 years of age (58%), and non-Hispanic white (50%) or non-Hispanic African American (46%; Table 2). Healthcare staff members indicated much higher levels of self-rated HPV knowledge on their postintervention surveys (mean = 8.12, SD = 1.09) compared with their preintervention surveys (mean = 5.69, SD = 2.01, \( P < 0.001 \); Fig. 1). The education intervention substantially increased staff members’ objectively assessed knowledge about HPV and HPV vaccine (Table 3). Compared with preintervention surveys, more staff members indicated on their postintervention surveys that there are about 6 million new HPV cases in the United States each year (31% vs. 85%, \( P < 0.001 \)), that 74% of these new cases occur among 15 to 24 years old (59% vs. 95%, \( P < 0.001 \)), that HPV can be found in 99% of cervical cancer cases (20% vs. 84%, \( P < 0.001 \)), that HPV vaccine will not protect against or treat existing infections (77% vs. 97%, \( P < 0.001 \)), and that a woman dies every 2.5 hours from cervical cancer in the United States (77% vs. 87%, \( P < 0.05 \)).

Healthcare staff members tended to hold positive beliefs about HPV vaccine. Most would choose to vaccinate their daughters against HPV if they were in the vaccine’s approved age range (pre = 86%, post = 91%, \( P = 0.21 \)) and thought community education on HPV and HPV vaccine is valuable (pre = 98%, post = 100%, \( P \) value could not be calculated; Table 4).

**Table 2.** Characteristics of healthcare staff (n = 118), school staff (n = 456), and parents (n = 376)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Healthcare staff n (%)</th>
<th>School staff n (%)</th>
<th>Parents n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>109 (93)</td>
<td>347 (77)</td>
<td>343 (91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>8 (7)</td>
<td>104 (23)</td>
<td>33 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;40</td>
<td>49 (42)</td>
<td>253 (57)</td>
<td>190 (51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;40</td>
<td>67 (58)</td>
<td>191 (43)</td>
<td>181 (49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White, Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>57 (50)</td>
<td>300 (68)</td>
<td>166 (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American, Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>53 (46)</td>
<td>118 (27)</td>
<td>183 (49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4 (4)</td>
<td>26 (6)</td>
<td>25 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurse</td>
<td>48 (41)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health educator</td>
<td>70 (59)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Totals may be less than stated sample size due to missing data. Dashes (–) indicate item was not applicable.
School staff

Most school staff members were female (77%), 40 years of age or younger (57%), and non-Hispanic white (68%). About a quarter were non-Hispanic African American (27%; Table 2). School staff members rated their HPV knowledge higher following the intervention (mean = 7.36, SD = 1.79) than before (mean = 4.75, SD = 2.36, P < 0.001; Fig. 1). The education intervention also increased the proportion of staff members who answered HPV knowledge items correctly (Table 3). Compared with preintervention surveys, more staff members responded correctly following the intervention that there are about 6 million new HPV cases in the United States each year (38% vs. 87%, P < 0.001), that 74% of these new cases occur among 15 to 24 years old (51% vs. 89%, P < 0.001), that HPV can be found in 99% of cervical cancer cases (12% vs. 69%, P < 0.001), that HPV vaccine will not protect against or treat existing infections (78% vs. 97%, P < 0.001), and that half of cervical cancer cases between ages 35 to 50 were exposed to HPV in their teens or early twenties (92% vs. 98%, P < 0.001).

Although school staff members tended to support HPV education and vaccination programs prior to the intervention, a higher proportion believed postintervention that HPV and HPV vaccine education is worthwhile for school personnel (80% vs. 91%, P < 0.001), that middle schools are an appropriate venue for such education (86% vs. 91%, P < 0.05), and would be supportive of school-based vaccination clinics (79% vs. 85%, P < 0.05; Table 4). More school staff members reported after the intervention that they felt comfortable or confident enough in their knowledge to talk about HPV if approached by a student (14% vs. 42%, P < 0.001), and about the same proportion would advise the student to talk with a parent (32% vs. 33%, P = 0.60). After the intervention, fewer would need to refer the student to the school nurse (77% vs. 57%, P < 0.001). Only 2 staff members indicated on their preintervention surveys they would tell the student they are too young to be concerned with such things; none indicated this on postintervention surveys.

Parents

Most parents were female (91%), 40 years of age or younger (51%), and non-Hispanic white (44%) or non-Hispanic African American (49%; Table 2). About 31% (115/354) of parents indicated they had daughters who had already received at least one dose of HPV vaccine. After the intervention, parents recalled having moderate

![Figure 1. Self-rated knowledge about HPV. Parents self-rated their current knowledge and estimated their knowledge before the educational presentation on postintervention surveys. Bars indicate SE.](image-url)

### Table 3. HPV and HPV vaccine knowledge pre- and postintervention [n correct/n total (%)]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Healthcare staff</th>
<th></th>
<th>School staff</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre Post</td>
<td>Pre Post</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of new HPV cases each year in the United Statesa</td>
<td>36/115 (31) 99/116 (85)**</td>
<td>169/445 (38) 393/452 (87)**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of new HPV cases that occur in 15 to 24 years oldb</td>
<td>69/117 (59) 111/117 (95)**</td>
<td>228/446 (51) 405/454 (89)**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of cervical cancers where HPV can be foundc</td>
<td>24/118 (20) 97/116 (84)**</td>
<td>54/443 (12) 311/450 (69)**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccine is effective in virus-naive females but does not protect against or treat existing infections</td>
<td>90/117 (77) 113/117 (97)**</td>
<td>347/443 (78) 437/449 (97)**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half of cervical cancer cases between ages 35 to 50 were likely exposed to HPV in teens or early twenties</td>
<td>113/118 (96) 117/118 (99)</td>
<td>408/442 (92) 443/450 (88)**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A woman dies every 2.5 hours from cervical cancer in the United States</td>
<td>91/118 (77) 103/118 (87)**</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular Pap smear tests are no longer needed after HPV vaccinationd</td>
<td>115/118 (97) 117/117 (100)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Analyses used mixed regression models because participants were clustered within education sessions. Sample sizes differ due to missing data. Dashes (—) indicate item was not assessed.

**Multiple choice item with responses scored as "correct" or "incorrect." All other items used a true/false response scale.

*Correct response was "false."

*P < 0.05; **P < 0.001.
increases (with healthcare and school staff experiencing smaller knowledge, the largest increase was seen among parents, although all groups experienced an increase in self-rated knowledge (mean $\pm SD = 8.76, 3.2$, $P < 0.001$; Fig. 1). Most parents indicated they would be supportive of a school-based vaccination clinic (97%; Table 4).

**Comparisons across groups**

School staff members reported lower self-rated HPV knowledge on both pre- (compared with both parents and healthcare staff) and postintervention (compared with parents) surveys (all $P < 0.05$; Fig. 1). Self-rated knowledge among parents did not differ from that of health care staff and school staff members answered correctly postintervention compared to preintervention. Interestingly, the items most frequently missed during preintervention surveys were similar for these 2 groups (i.e., knowing how common HPV infections are, the age distribution of infections, and the percent of cervical cancer cases where HPV can be found). Past studies have also shown that healthcare providers often lack knowledge about some epidemiologic aspects of HPV and HPV-related disease (24, 27). Future education programs are needed for these groups, and a brief education session may be sufficient to provide the necessary information about HPV and HPV vaccine.

In addition to actual knowledge, our intervention also increased the self-rated knowledge about HPV among parents, healthcare staff, and school staff. It is important that members of these groups feel confident in making informed decisions regarding HPV vaccination. Interestingly, school staff members tended to report lower self-rated knowledge compared with parents and healthcare staff. As school-based HPV vaccination programs continue to develop in the United States (13), it becomes increasingly important to ensure that school staff members feel (and are) knowledgeable about HPV and how it affects adolescents. To our knowledge, our study provides important initial insight into what this group currently knows about HPV and HPV vaccine.

Following the intervention, more than 90% of school staff members believed HPV and HPV vaccine education is worthwhile for school personnel and that middle schools are an appropriate venue for this education. Furthermore, about 85% would be supportive of a school-based vaccination clinic, which is encouraging since school staff support is critical to the success of any school-based vaccination program. In fact, one of the main lessons learned from the few existing school-based HPV vaccination programs in the United States is the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4. Beliefs pre- and postintervention [n endorsed/n total (%)]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Healthcare staff</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pre</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community education on HPV and HPV vaccine is valuable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Would choose to vaccinate daughter if they had one in the approved age range for HPV vaccine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPV and HPV vaccine education is worthwhile for school personnel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle schools are an appropriate venue for HPV and HPV vaccine education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Would be supportive of a school-based vaccination clinic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Analyses used mixed regression models because participants were clustered within education sessions. Sample sizes differ due to missing data. Dashes (–) indicate item was not assessed.

* $P < 0.05$; ** $P < 0.001$. 

Discussion

HPV vaccination rates among adolescent females in the United States continue to lag behind other developed countries (4, 10–12). Thus, it is important to implement intervention strategies to improve vaccine uptake. Given relatively low HPV and HPV vaccine knowledge levels observed among parents and healthcare providers (18–21, 24–27), education interventions represent a simple and promising, yet underexplored, strategy. The few education interventions conducted among parents, adult women, or students have produced encouraging results (28–33), yet such interventions have not included other potentially influential groups.

Similar to these past interventions (28–33), our education intervention increased actual HPV and HPV vaccine knowledge levels among participants. For most knowledge items in our surveys, higher proportions of healthcare staff and school staff members answered correctly postintervention compared to preintervention. Interestingly, the items most frequently missed during preintervention surveys were similar for these 2 groups (i.e., knowing how common HPV infections are, the age distribution of infections, and the percent of cervical cancer cases where HPV can be found). Past studies have also shown that healthcare providers often lack knowledge about some epidemiologic aspects of HPV and HPV-related disease (24, 27). Future education programs are needed for these groups, and a brief education session may be sufficient to provide the necessary information about HPV and HPV vaccine.

In addition to actual knowledge, our intervention also increased the self-rated knowledge about HPV among parents, healthcare staff, and school staff. It is important that members of these groups feel confident in making informed decisions regarding HPV vaccination. Interestingly, school staff members tended to report lower self-rated knowledge compared with parents and healthcare staff. As school-based HPV vaccination programs continue to develop in the United States (13), it becomes increasingly important to ensure that school staff members feel (and are) knowledgeable about HPV and how it affects adolescents. To our knowledge, our study provides important initial insight into what this group currently knows about HPV and HPV vaccine.

Following the intervention, more than 90% of school staff members believed HPV and HPV vaccine education is worthwhile for school personnel and that middle schools are an appropriate venue for this education. Furthermore, about 85% would be supportive of a school-based vaccination clinic, which is encouraging since school staff support is critical to the success of any school-based vaccination program. In fact, one of the main lessons learned from the few existing school-based HPV vaccination programs in the United States is the
importance of forming an open and honest partnership with the school systems (13). Parents were also very supportive of a school-based vaccination clinic following their education sessions, which is consistent with our past research showing many mothers were willing to get their adolescent daughters HPV vaccine at school (37). Taken together, our findings suggest that having an education component as an initial part of school-based HPV vaccination programs may garner even stronger support for the programs among parents and school staff, 2 important stakeholders in determining the success of such programs. Future research is needed to further examine the acceptability of school-based vaccination programs, particularly among school staff, and what factors influence whether U.S. schools adopt such programs.

Our study had many strengths including focusing on 3 key groups important to vaccinating adolescent females against HPV, using various strategies to increase attendance at education sessions, and using an advisory team to assist with intervention development and implementation. Limitations include conducting education sessions among convenience samples in only one county in North Carolina, having relatively few male participants, and not having physicians as part of the healthcare staff group. We also did not conduct a preintervention survey for parents, instead asking them to self-rate their current HPV knowledge and estimate their knowledge before the educational presentation on postintervention surveys. Some parents who completed surveys may not have had female children in the approved age range for HPV vaccination, as these sessions were open to anyone interested in attending. Because one group pre- and poststudy designs may overstate effect sizes (38), it is important for future controlled studies to confirm our findings.

An important first step in increasing HPV vaccination rates among adolescent females in the United States is to improve knowledge levels among key groups influential to the adolescents’ vaccination behaviors. In this study, we showed that a simple education intervention greatly improved objectively assessed and self-rated HPV and HPV vaccine knowledge levels among parents, healthcare staff, and school staff members. Such interventions offer a relatively low effort and potentially effective strategy for increasing HPV vaccination. Future research is needed to determine if education interventions affect vaccine uptake.
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