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Abstract

Background: Melatonin seems to play a role in breast cancer etiology, but data addressing the association between melatonin levels and breast cancer risk in postmenopausal women is sparse.

Methods: We conducted a nested case-control study in the Nurses’ Health Study cohort. First spot morning urine was collected from 18,643 cancer-free women from March 2000 through December 2002. The concentration of the major metabolite of melatonin, 6-sulfatoxymelatonin (aMT6s), was available for 357 postmenopausal women who developed incident breast cancer through May 31, 2006, along with 533 matched control subjects. We used multivariable conditional logistic regression models to investi-
We used a nested case-control design to conduct a prospective study of the association between melatonin levels in the first morning urine specimen and breast cancer risk among postmenopausal women enrolled in the Nurses’ Health Study cohort. We evaluated the associations in postmenopausal women between creatinine-adjusted aMT6s level and breast cancer risk.

**Study Population and Methods**

**Study Population.** In 1976, 121,700 female registered nurses from 11 large US states, ages 30 to 55 years and of primarily Caucasian descent, were enrolled in the Nurses’ Health Study. At baseline they have completed biennial mailed questionnaires that comprise items about their health status, medical history, and known or suspected risk factors for cancer (17) and heart disease (18). Every 2 y, follow-up questionnaires have been sent to cohort members to update the information on potential risk factors and to identify new diagnoses of cancer and other major medical events. Between 1989 and 1990, blood samples were collected from 32,826 women. Further details of the Nurses’ Health Study blood collection methods have been previously published (19, 20). After identical procedures, from March 2000 through December 2002, a second blood collection was conducted among 18,706 women, 18,643 (99.7%) of whom also provided a first spot morning urine sample along with their blood.

Cases in this analysis are women with no cancer diagnosis (with the exception of nonmelanoma skin cancer) before urine collection and for whom breast cancer was reported anytime after urine collection up to May 31, 2006. Further details of the case identification procedures have been provided elsewhere (21). To each case, we matched 1 (for each postmenopausal case with postmenopausal hormone use) to 2 (all other cases) controls on their year of birth, menopausal status (postmenopausal versus not), recent postmenopausal hormone use defined as use within 3 mo of urine collection versus not, month and time of day of urine collection, and fasting status at urine draw (≥10 h since a meal versus not). A woman was defined as postmenopausal if she reported a natural menopause or bilateral oophorectomy or if she was at least age 56 y (if a nonsmoker) or age 54 y (if a current smoker) after oophorectomy or if she was at least age 56 y (if a nonsmoker) or age 54 y (if a current smoker) after oophorectomy—or if she was at least age 56 y (if a nonsmoker) or age 54 y (if a current smoker) after oophorectomy—by these ages, natural menopause had occurred in 90% of the cohort. The study was approved by the Committee on the Use of Human Subjects in Research at the Brigham and Women’s Hospital.

**Specimen and Questionnaire Data Collection.** Blood draw and a spot morning urine sample were collected on the same day. Collections were not timed within the menstrual cycle (random samples) because over 99% of the cohort were postmenopausal at the time of collection. Each woman was sent a questionnaire on which to record the date and time of urine collection (i.e., whether samples were first spot morning urine or not). In addition, information was gathered on participant’s current weight, current smoking status, and other life-style variables. Information on more detailed life-style factors associated with health, including reproductive history, were available for each participant from the biennial Nurses’ Health Study questionnaires completed in 2000. In addition, we queried the women on difficulty sleeping (all the time, most of the time or good bit of difficulties; some or a little difficulty; none) and total hours slept per day, along with information on how often a women snored (every night, most nights, few nights, to almost never) on the 2000 questionnaire.

Urine specimens from women with breast cancer and matched control subjects were handled identically and assayed together on the same day and in the same run. All samples were taken out of the freezer simultaneously and sent to the laboratory in the same parcel on dry ice. Until then, all specimens had been stored in the vapor phase of nitrogen freezers (at −130°C or colder). Laboratory personnel were blinded to case-control status of all specimens. Analytic error was assessed by including masked quality controls in each assay batch.

Urinary aMT6s was assayed by the Endocrine Core Laboratory of Dr. M. Wilson (Yerkes National Primate Research Center, Emory University, Atlanta, GA), using the Bühlmann ELISA (ALPCO) with a lower detection limit of 0.8 ng/mL for aMT6s. Creatinine levels were also measured for each sample by the same laboratory, using creatinine reagents purchased from Sigma Diagnostics, and aMT6s levels were normalized to the creatinine level of the sample to account for differences arising from variations in urine concentrations. The average within-batch coefficient of variation was 9.5% and 10.3% (first and second batch, respectively) for urinary aMT6s, and 8.5% and 3.1% (first and second batch, respectively) for creatinine.

**Statistical Analyses.** Samples were not available for one case and eight controls because of low urine volume, thus, there were 372 incident breast cancer cases and 598 matched controls available for these analyses and sent to the laboratory. Of these, melatonin values were missing for 11 women and creatinine level was missing for 1 woman due to assay problems. Furthermore, we identified the melatonin values of six women as statistical outliers based on the generalized extreme studentized deviate many-outlier detection approach (22). This resulted in 366 women with breast cancer and their 586 matched controls. We based our primary analyses on women who were postmenopausal at the time of urine collection, excluding the small proportion (9 cases subjects and 53 control subjects) of women who were still premenopausal or of uncertain menopause status at urine collection. Pathology records were evaluated for all remaining 357 cases. In total, 276 were pathologically confirmed invasive breast cancers and 79 were in situ cancers. Although pathology reports had not yet been obtained for 2 of the 276 women, we included them in our analyses because the accuracy of self-reported breast cancer in this cohort is extremely high (99%; ref. 23). Thus, after all exclusions, 357 postmenopausal women with breast cancer and their 533 matched controls with valid melatonin values remained in our final data set. Of these, 27 women had aMT6s levels that were below the limit of detection for the assay (i.e., <0.8 ng/mL); they were retained in the analyses, with their values conservatively set to 0.8 ng/mL. aMT6s levels were normalized to the creatinine level of the sample to
account for differences arising from variations in urine concentrations (expressed as ng of aMT6s per mg of creatinine).

In subanalyses, we excluded women with in situ cancers or current smokers (at the time of urine collection) and stratified by tumor receptor status. To test for differences in hormone levels between case patient and control subjects, we used mixed-effects regression models for clustered data to adjust for possible confounding due to the matching factors and for any residual correlation between case patients and control subjects within the matched set (24). We used conditional regression models to estimate the relative risks of breast cancer [reported as odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI)] by quartiles of urinary aMT6s concentrations, which were derived from urinary aMT6s values for all control subjects. In our primary analyses, these quartiles were based on cut points based on all controls. Because melatonin was assayed in two different batches (sent in 2007 and 2008) and levels varied slightly between them, we also created batch-specific cut points in secondary analyses; however, results were essentially unchanged when using this approach and we therefore based our main analyses on overall cut points. Multivariable models were adjusted for known or possible breast cancer risk factors, including body mass index (BMI; 23, 23-24.9, 25-28.9, or ≥29 kg/m²), history of benign breast disease (yes or no), family history (mother or sister) of breast cancer (yes or no), smoking history (current smoker, yes or no), age at menarche (<12, 12-13, 14, or ≥14 y), age at menopause (<45, 46-50, 51-55, or ≥55 y), alcohol consumption (none or less than 1 drink per day, or 1+ drinks per day), current hormone replacement therapy use [yes or no], parity (nulliparous or 1-2 or 3-4 or ≥5 children), first spot morning urine (yes or no), and current use of antidepressants (yes or no).

We tested for trends by modeling natural aMT6s concentrations continuously and calculating the Wald statistic. We examined the possibly nonlinear relationship between aMT6s and breast cancer risk nonparametrically with restricted cubic splines (25). Tests for nonlinearity used the likelihood ratio test, comparing the model with only the linear term to the model with the linear and the cubic spline terms. We used SAS version 9.1.3 for all analyses. We tested for heterogeneity by tumor invasiveness using polytomous unconditional logistic regression methods. All P values were two-sided.

Results

Baseline Characteristics. Baseline characteristics of the 357 postmenopausal case patients and 533 control subjects are shown in Table 1. The mean time between urine collection and diagnosis was 30 months (±18 months, SD) with a range of 1 to 73 months. Women were ages 54 to 80 years at urine collection (mean age, 67 years). Most baseline characteristics did not differ by case-control status (Table 1). However, the creatinine-adjusted mean urinary aMT6s levels for the case patients with breast cancer was slightly lower than that for control subjects (24.5 ng of aMT6s per milligram of creatinine versus 28.8 ng of aMT6s per mg of creatinine).

Urinary aMT6s Levels and Breast Cancer Risk. We observed an inverse association between urinary aMT6s levels and breast cancer risk (for highest versus lowest quartile of urinary aMT6s concentration: OR, 0.81; 95% CI, 0.60-1.09; \( P_{\text{trend}} = 0.07 \); Table 2), a finding that became statistically significant after additional adjustment for breast cancer risk factors (OR, 0.62; 95% CI, 0.41-0.95; \( P_{\text{trend}} = 0.004 \)). The main confounders in these analyses were age at menopause, a family history of breast cancer, and smoking status. We fitted restricted cubic splines to our conditional logistic regression model to examine nonparametrically the possibly nonlinear relationship between aMT6s and breast cancer risk. However, the test for curvature (i.e., nonlinear relationship) from these analyses was 0.59, whereas the test for a linear relationship was 0.004.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of 357 postmenopausal breast cancer case patients and 533 matched control subjects (in 2000)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Case patients (n = 357)</th>
<th>Control subjects (n = 533)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age, y (SD)</td>
<td>67.2 (6.7)</td>
<td>67.2 (6.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age at menarche, y (SD)</td>
<td>12.6 (1.4)</td>
<td>12.6 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age at menopause*, y (SD)</td>
<td>48.4 (6.0)</td>
<td>47.5 (6.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean No. of births¹ (SD)</td>
<td>3.2 (1.4)</td>
<td>3.2 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of breast cancer, %</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean BMI, kg/m² (SD)</td>
<td>26.9 (5.0)</td>
<td>26.4 (5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean amount of alcohol consumed/day, gm (SD)</td>
<td>6.3 (9.8)</td>
<td>5.4 (9.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker, %</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of benign breast disease, %</td>
<td>64.2</td>
<td>60.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current antidepressant use, %</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postmenopausal hormones ever used, %</td>
<td>67.2</td>
<td>58.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First morning urine, %</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>94.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequently difficulty sleeping, %</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequently snores, %</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average. hours of sleep/day 5 or less h, %</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean aMT6s level, ng/mg creatinine (SD)</td>
<td>24.5 (29)</td>
<td>28.8 (39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean creatinine level, mmol/L (SD)</td>
<td>6.1 (3.8)</td>
<td>5.5 (3.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: BMI, body mass index.

*Natural menopause or menopause due to bilateral oophorectomy.

¹ Among parous women only.
relationship was 0.002, suggesting that the association between aMT6s and breast cancer risk follows a linear relationship (Fig. 1).

Night work and melatonin have been more strongly related to invasive than in situ breast cancer risk (14, 26-28). Thus, in secondary analyses, we excluded 79 case patients who were diagnosed with in situ breast cancer and their matched control subjects. Among women with invasive breast cancer, the inverse association was slightly weaker, although overall very similar (for highest versus lowest quartile of urinary aMT6s concentration: multivariable OR, 0.74; 95% CI = 0.46-1.21; \( P_{\text{trend}} = 0.03 \)). In the small subset of women with in situ cancers, the effect of higher aMT6s levels seemed more pronounced, although based on only 16 cases in the top quartile (for highest versus lowest quartile of urinary aMT6s concentration: multivariable OR, 0.23; 95% CI, 0.08-0.69; \( P_{\text{trend}} = 0.03 \); \( P_{\text{heterogeneity}} = 0.92 \); Table 2).

On the basis of a previous study (29) indicating that an increased level of nocturnal plasma melatonin was inversely associated with tumor estrogen receptor concentration, we conducted analyses stratified on estrogen receptor status. Hormone receptor status was available for 76% of all case patients with breast cancer; 226 (83%) of the 273 tumors for which estrogen receptor status was available were estrogen receptor positive (only 43 women had estrogen receptor-negative breast tumors). When we restricted analysis to women with estrogen receptor–positive breast tumors, the significant inverse association between aMT6s and breast cancer risk remained by and large unchanged (for highest versus lowest quartile of urinary aMT6s: multivariable OR, 0.62; 95% CI, 0.36-1.09; \( P_{\text{trend}} = 0.01 \)). Although there were only 10 case patients in the upper quartile, the risk of estrogen receptor–negative breast cancer seemed lowest among women in the highest quartile of aMT6s concentration (for highest versus lowest quartile of urinary aMT6s: multivariable OR, 0.64; 95% CI, 0.31-1.32). For a subgroup of women (71%), information on HER2 receptor status was also available. Of these, only 39 women had HER2-positive breast tumors. Although with limited power, in stratified analyses, results seemed similar regardless of HER2 receptor status (data not shown).

Because sleep duration may influence absolute melatonin production, we also examined associations between sleep-related variables and urinary aMT6s levels. Although we did not observe substantial differences in aMT6s concentration by level of difficulty sleeping or frequency of snoring, women who slept on average longer seemed to have somewhat lower aMT6s levels than those with fewer hours of sleep reported (aMT6s concentration, geometric mean adjusted for age, 9+ hours of sleep, 14.6 ng/mg creatinine versus 6 or less hours of sleep, 18.0 ng/mg creatinine). However, adjusting for sleep duration, difficulty sleeping, and snoring did not alter our estimates materially. Moreover, the association between melatonin and breast cancer risk did not vary by hours slept (7 or less versus 8 or more), age (<67 versus ≥67 years old), body mass index (stratified along the median, 25.5 kg/m²), or smoking status. To exclude the possibility of preclinical tumors influencing our aMT6s levels, in subset analyses, we excluded case patients diagnosed with breast cancer within 1 or 2 years of urine collection. In these subset analyses, the strength of the association between urinary aMT6s level and breast cancer risk remained similar (for highest versus lowest quartile of urinary aMT6s concentration and risk of breast cancer, excluding cases diagnosed within 1 year: OR, 0.72; 95% CI, 0.45-1.17; \( P_{\text{trend}} = 0.03 \); or 2 years after urine collection: OR, 0.76; 95% CI, 0.44-1.31; \( P_{\text{trend}} = 0.05 \) after urine collection.

Urinary creatinine concentration is influenced by a number of factors, including sex, ethnicity, age, and body mass index (30). Although our study was composed of White women exclusively, differences in

### Table 2. ORs and 95% CIs of breast cancer by quartile of urinary 6-sulfatoxymelatonin (aMT6s) level among 890 postmenopausal women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group and variable</th>
<th>Quartile</th>
<th>( P_{\text{trend}}^* )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urinary aMT6s, ng/mg creatinine</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invasive and in situ cancers combined</td>
<td>&lt;10.2</td>
<td>10.2-21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of case patients/No of control subjects</td>
<td>107/136</td>
<td>109/128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple OR</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.04 (0.80-1.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multivariable OR</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.08 (0.73-1.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invasive cancers only</td>
<td>82/110</td>
<td>85/100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of case patients/No. of control subjects</td>
<td>Simple OR</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multivariable OR</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.17 (0.75-1.83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In situ cancers only</td>
<td>25/26</td>
<td>24/28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of case patients/No of control subjects</td>
<td>Simple OR</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multivariable OR</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.00 (0.36-2.79)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*We tested for trends by modeling log-transformed aMT6s concentrations continuously and calculating the Wald statistic.

1 Simple conditional logistic regression model.

2 Multivariable conditional logistic regression models adjusted for the following breast cancer risk factors: body mass index in 4 categories (≤23, 23-24.9, 25-28.9, or ≥29 kg/m²), history of benign breast disease (yes or no), family history (mother or sister) of breast cancer (yes or no), smoking history (current smoker, yes or no), age at menarche in 4 categories (<12, 13, 14, or >14 y), age at menopause in 4 categories (≤45, 46-50, 51-53, or >53 y), alcohol consumption (none or less than one drink/day, or 1+ drinks/day), current hormone replacement therapy use (yes or no), parity (nulliparous or 1-2 or 3+ or ≥5 children), first spot morning urine (yes or no), and current use of antidepressants (yes or no).
Discussion

We found a statistically significant inverse association between first morning urinary aMT6s level and breast cancer risk in this large group of postmenopausal women. Among the 4,661 (25% of 18,643) women in the highest aMT6s quartile, 75 developed breast cancer during follow-up (average follow-up, 2.4 years), compared with 107 of the 4,661 (25%) women in the lowest aMT6s quartile.

Although several prior studies evaluated the association between circulating melatonin levels and breast cancer risk in women, their results have been inconsistent; however, these studies are hampered by their retrospective design and their small case numbers, making interpretation difficult (29, 31-41). Three prospective studies, to date, have been conducted and they also produced inconsistent results. The first study (15), which used 24-hour urine specimens and comprised 127 cases and 353 control subjects, reported no association between the circulating level of aMT6s and breast cancer risk (OR, 0.99; 95% CI, 0.58-1.70, comparing the highest tertile with the lowest tertile of aMT6s concentration). Whether 24-hour urine samples are the right sample to capture the nocturnal melatonin peak has been questioned subsequently (42). In the Nurses' Health Study II (14), first spot morning urine specimens were used. A strong inverse association between levels of aMT6s in first morning urine specimens and breast cancer risk was reported in the 147 premenopausal women with invasive breast cancer and their 291 matched controls (OR, 0.59; 95% CI, 0.36-0.97, comparing the highest quartile with the lowest quartile of aMT6s concentration). Most recently, in the Hormones and Diet in the Etiology of Breast Cancer Risk cohort, a similarly strong inverse association between aMT6s output as measured in 12-hour overnight urine specimen and breast cancer risk was observed in their postmenopausal women (OR, 0.56; 95% CI, 0.33-0.97 for women in the highest quartile of total overnight aMT6s output versus the lowest quartile; ref. 16).

Our results are in complete agreement with the two more recently published studies using either first morning or overnight urine (14, 16). Moreover, although based on few cases, our study suggests that circulating melatonin level might be more strongly related to in situ than invasive breast tumors. However, it is not entirely clear how frequently in situ tumors progress to invasive tumors (43, 44). No prior study has been able to address the association between melatonin and in situ breast tumors. Thus, although plausible, our finding of a stronger effect of endogenous melatonin on in situ tumors, requires replication in a larger data set to rule out a chance finding.

Strengths of our study are that we were able to consider most important breast cancer risk factors, including sleep duration and night work in our analyses. When we adjusted for life-time duration of night work, which was queried in 1988, results remained unchanged. Excluding cases patients who were diagnosed within the first 2 years after urine collection did not alter our findings; however, the short follow-up (2.5 years average) in our study limited our ability to address this fully. Our study is further limited by the lack of information on circulating sex steroid levels. Finally, we were unable to correct for laboratory measurement error and within-person variability in our data set; however, because of the random nature of this error, we expect that this correction would have strengthened our observed risks. Moreover, the intra-class correlation over a 3-year period in premenopausal women from the Nurses' Health Study II cohort was fairly high (0.72), suggesting that melatonin remains fairly stable over time (45).

In summary, our findings show that melatonin secretion, as assessed by first morning urinary aMT6s concentration, is associated with the risk of developing breast cancer in postmenopausal women. Studies to confirm our findings should have longer follow-up and should also address the importance of interrelationships with sex steroids in these associations.
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