Increased Childhood Liver Cancer Mortality and Arsenic in Drinking Water in Northern Chile

Jane Liaw,1 Guillermo Marshall,2,3 Yan Yuan,1 Catterina Ferreccio,2 Craig Steinmaus,1,4 and Allan H. Smith1

1Arsenic Health Effects Research Program, University of California, Berkeley, California; 2Departamento de Salud Pública, Escuela de Medicina; 3Departamento de Estadísticas, Facultad de Matemáticas, Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile; and 4Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, California Environmental Protection Agency, Oakland, California

Abstract

Arsenic in drinking water is an established cause of lung, bladder, and skin cancers in adults and may also cause adult kidney and liver cancers. Some evidence for these effects originated from region II of Chile, which had a period of elevated arsenic levels in drinking water, in particular from 1958 to 1970. This unique exposure scenario provides a rare opportunity to investigate the effects of early-life arsenic exposure on childhood mortality; to our knowledge, this is the first study of childhood cancer mortality and high concentrations of arsenic in drinking water. In this article, we compare cancer mortality rates under the age of 20 in region II during 1950 to 2000 with those of unexposed region V, dividing subjects into those born before, during, or after the peak exposure period. Mortality from the most common childhood cancers, leukemia and brain cancer, was not increased in the exposed population. However, we found that childhood liver cancer mortality occurred at higher rates than expected. For those exposed as young children, liver cancer mortality between ages 0 and 19 was especially high: the relative risk (RR) for males born during this period was 8.9 (95% confidence interval [95% CI], 1.7-45.8; P = 0.009); for females, the corresponding RR was 14.1 (95% CI, 1.6-126; P = 0.018); and for males and females pooled, the RR was 10.6 (95% CI, 2.9-39.2; P < 0.001). These findings suggest that exposure to arsenic in drinking water during early childhood may result in an increase in childhood liver cancer mortality. (Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2008;17(8):1982–7)

Introduction

Arsenic has been found in drinking water at high levels in many parts of the world, including Bangladesh, India, Argentina, and Chile, and it has been shown to cause numerous health effects, such as skin, bladder, and lung cancer (1–5). Antofagasta, the second largest city in Chile, and neighboring city Mejillones experienced a distinct period of very high arsenic levels in drinking water when their water supply was supplemented in 1958 with water from rivers that contained arsenic at concentrations near 1,000 µg/L. By comparison, the current WHO recommendation for maximum arsenic concentration in drinking water is 10 µg/L. Before 1958, Antofagasta had arsenic concentrations of ~90 µg/L, but from 1958 onwards, levels were on average at 870 µg/L until 1971 when an arsenic removal plant was installed. Arsenic levels in the drinking water thus dropped suddenly to ~110 µg/L and since then have been further reduced (Fig. 1; ref. 6). Because the area of Antofagasta and Mejillones is extremely dry, there are few individual water sources, and the whole population drinks from the municipal water source. Until very recently, Chile was divided into 13 regions, and Antofagasta and Mejillones are located in region II. Together, these two cities make up more than half of the region II population. All other major cities and towns in region II also had high concentrations of arsenic in region II for varying overlapping periods (2, 6). The large population, distinct period of very high exposure, and well-documented exposure history make region II a highly unique and advantageous area to investigate the health effects of ingested arsenic. The widespread nature and uniformity of the high exposures in this area and the presence of a nearby comparable unexposed area minimize ecologic fallacy and other biases that some researchers commonly associate, sometimes mistakenly, with ecologic studies.

We recently showed that childhood and in utero exposure to arsenic in Antofagasta and Mejillones resulted in markedly increased risks of bronchiectasis and lung cancer in young adults (3). These findings were unexpected, and the magnitude of increased risks from early-life exposure is without precedent. Finding adult cancer resulting from early-life exposure to arsenic led us to also investigate childhood cancer following early-life exposure. The study we report here takes advantage of the unique exposure situation in region II to assess childhood cancers caused by in utero and/or early-life exposure.

Materials and Methods

Computerized mortality data were obtained for regions II and V of Chile from the Ministry of Health for the period...
The large majority of death certificates in region II (89.8%) originated. Because it was impractical and prohibitively expensive for the study team to code causes of death for all of the death certificates for the entire country of Chile for the years 1950 to 1970, death certificates for region II and a referent region were photographed and coded by trained nosologists according to the 9th revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-9). The nosologists were kept blind to the region from which each death certificate originated. Because it was impractical and prohibitively expensive for the study team to code causes of death for all of the death certificates for the entire country of Chile for the years 1950 to 1970, a smaller referent population was chosen. Region V was used as the referent population because of its sociodemographic similarity to Chile as a whole, its population size, and its low levels of arsenic exposure. Region V has had a population about four times the size of the region II population over the course of the study period, from 1950 to 2000. Having a referent population that is significantly larger than that of region II maximizes the statistical precision in the estimation of mortality rate ratios. In preliminary investigations, it was determined that key sociodemographic factors were similar between region V and the rest of Chile. For example, per capita income in region V in 1990 was similar to that of the rest of the country (US$2,053 versus US$2,011). Region V has had low exposures to arsenic in drinking water: in data collected from water companies for the period 1990 to 1994, arsenic water levels in the city of Valparaiso (the largest city in region V) were found to be below the analytic detection limit of 20 μg/L, and there is no evidence to suggest that Valparaiso or any other city or town in region V had any past exposures to arsenic in drinking water (7). All of the above information indicates that using region V as a referent population would be a suitable substitute for using all of Chile as a referent population.

Causes of death were coded according to ICD-9 for 1971 to 1998 and according to the 10th revision for the years 1999 to 2000, including leukemia (ICD-9 204-208 and ICD-10 C91-C95), brain cancer (ICD-9 191 and ICD-10 C71), and liver cancer (ICD-9 155 and ICD-10 C22). The large majority of death certificates in region II (89.8%) and region V (94.5%) were certified by physicians. Annual estimates of the population living in regions II and V were obtained for the period 1950 to 2000 from the National Institute of Statistics (Instituto Nacional de Estadísticas), stratified by age and sex.

We considered childhood cancer deaths in the range from age 0 to 19. All childhood cancer mortality combined and the two most common childhood cancers, leukemia and brain cancer, were examined first along with all “other” cancers combined. The “all other” cancer category displayed unusually elevated relative risks (RR) for girls in region II compared with region V. The individual cancer types in this category (including liver cancer) were therefore examined separately to see if there were increases in some individual cancer sites.

We used Poisson regression analysis to calculate RRs between region II and region V mortality rates and the associated 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) for boys and girls separately and combined for the age group 0 to 19 at time of death. Poisson regression analysis was done using the FPROC GENMOD procedure provided in Statistical Analysis System software (version 8.2; SAS Institute, Inc.). RRs were calculated for the groups of persons born in 1950 to 1957 (before high exposure), 1958 to 1970 (during high exposure), and 1971 to 1981 (after high exposure), as the observed number of deaths divided by the expected number of deaths, using region V as the referent population.

Results

Childhood cancer mortality data for exposed region II and unexposed region V for the years 1950 to 2000 are presented in Table 1 for all childhood cancers combined and for childhood leukemia, brain cancer, and all other cancers. Subjects who were born before 1958 had high exposure as young children, those born between 1958 and 1970 had exposure in utero and as young children, whereas those born after 1970 were never exposed to high levels of arsenic in drinking water.

There was little evidence of any increased cancer risks for all childhood cancers combined nor for the individual sites of brain cancer and leukemia. For leukemia, in males born in 1950 to 1957 just before high exposure, the RR was 1.4 (95% CI, 0.8-2.2), whereas for those born during high exposure and after high exposure the RRs were 0.8 (95% CI, 0.5-1.3) and 0.7 (95% CI, 0.4-1.5), respectively. As seen in Table 1, RRs for leukemia in females were all near 1.0 [1950-1957: 0.8 (95% CI, 0.4-1.8); 1958-1970: 0.7 (95% CI, 0.4-1.2); 1971-1981: 0.9 (95% CI, 0.4-2.1)].

For all other cancers combined, the RR among females of region II was elevated especially for the time period 1971 to 1981 (RR, 1.6; 95% CI, 1.1-2.3). Because of this unusual finding, we decided to analyze the component cancers for the all other cancer group separately. This led to unexpected findings for liver cancer among both boys and girls.

For both males and females, liver cancer deaths occurred at much higher numbers than expected, especially for those born in 1950 to 1957 just before the high exposure period and who would have been exposed as young children (Table 2; Fig. 2). For males born between 1950 and 1957, the RR was 8.9 (95% CI, 1.7-45.8; P = 0.009), whereas for females born between 1950 and 1957...
1957, the RR was 14.1 (95% CI, 1.6-126.2; \( P = 0.018 \)). The pooled RR estimate for boys and girls was 10.6 (95% CI, 2.9-39.3; \( P < 0.001 \)). For those born between 1958 and 1970 (during the high exposure period), the male liver cancer mortality RR was 1.2 (95% CI, 0.1-11.5), whereas the female liver cancer mortality RR was 1.2 (95% CI, 0.1-11.5). After the period of high exposure, during the years between 1971 and 1981, the male mortality RR was at 1.1 (95% CI, 0.1-10.4), the female mortality RR was 3.2 (95% CI, 0.2-51.3), and the pooled RR was at 1.6 (95% CI, 0.3-8.8; Fig. 2).

All but one of the liver cancer deaths among those born in 1950 to 57 was aged 10 to 19 at the time of death. Thus, there were eight liver cancer deaths in region II aged 10 to 19, and none in region V, which had a population three times larger. The probability of this occurring by chance is less than 1 in 100,000. Childhood liver cancer mortality is normally extremely rare, and finding no comparable deaths in region V is not surprising. From 1972 onwards, we have mortality data for all of Chile, and there were only 13 liver cancer deaths aged 10 to 19 during 1972 to 1982 in the whole of the rest of Chile.

Table 2. Male, female, and pooled liver cancer mortality rates from 1950 to 2000 for those born before high exposure (1950-1957), during high exposure (1958-1970), and after high exposure (1971-1981) for ages 0 to 19

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause of death</th>
<th>Year of birth</th>
<th>Region II mortality</th>
<th>Region V mortality</th>
<th>Person-years (region II)</th>
<th>Person-years (region V)</th>
<th>RR (95% CI)</th>
<th>( P )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>1930-1939</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>79,145</td>
<td>282,706</td>
<td>3.6 (0.25-25.4)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1940-1949</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>204,760</td>
<td>752,514</td>
<td>1.8 (0.3-9.8)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1950-1957</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>429,640</td>
<td>1,526,848</td>
<td>8.9 (1.7-45.8)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1958-1970</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>805,712</td>
<td>2,900,314</td>
<td>1.2 (0.8-11.5)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1971-1981</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>833,134</td>
<td>2,689,758</td>
<td>1.2 (0.4-3.4)</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1982-2000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>826,691</td>
<td>2,489,933</td>
<td>0.8 (0.3-2.1)</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>1930-1939</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>78,833</td>
<td>292,225</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1940-1949</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>313,431</td>
<td>1,123,930</td>
<td>1.2 (0.1-11.5)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1950-1957</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>433,183</td>
<td>1,528,021</td>
<td>14.1 (1.6-126.2)</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1958-1970</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>801,664</td>
<td>2,872,533</td>
<td>1.2 (0.1-11.5)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1971-1981</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>813,999</td>
<td>2,689,758</td>
<td>1.2 (0.4-2.1)</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1982-2000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>794,560</td>
<td>2,389,023</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pooled</td>
<td>1930-1939</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>157,978</td>
<td>574,931</td>
<td>2.4 (0.4-14.4)</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1940-1949</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>623,139</td>
<td>2,232,402</td>
<td>1.5 (0.4-5.9)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1950-1957</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>862,823</td>
<td>3,054,869</td>
<td>10.6 (2.9-39.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1958-1970</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1,607,376</td>
<td>5,772,847</td>
<td>1.2 (0.2-5.9)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1971-1981</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1,647,133</td>
<td>5,299,565</td>
<td>1.6 (0.3-8.8)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1982-2000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1,621,251</td>
<td>4,878,956</td>
<td>0.5 (0.1-4.2)</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
excluding region II. Using these data to calculate childhood liver cancer rates for the earlier time period in region II, we have estimated the rate ratio in 1950 to 1957 to be 27.3 for boys (95% CI, 9.3-80; \( P < 0.001 \)) and 53.7 for girls (95% CI, 10.8-265; \( P < 0.001 \)).

Further information on the eight liver cancer deaths in region II is given in Table 3, including data from death certificates and birth certificates. We could not locate the birth certificates for two cases. The birth certificate of the first case on the list was given as 1949 and not 1950 as calculated from information on the death certificate. We retained this case in some analyses because making corrections based on birth certificates obtained for just five cases could introduce bias in the analyses. However, we also estimated RR with this case excluded: for males born in 1950 to 1957, the RR after the exclusion was 7.11 (95% CI, 1.30-38.8; \( P = 0.02 \)), and for males and females pooled, RR was 9.44 (95% CI, 2.50-35.6; \( P < 0.001 \)). It is likely that the same 1-year discrepancy in year of birth occurred with others, and making corrections based on birth certificates obtained for five cases would introduce bias in the analyses. Of the eight cases of liver cancer, five were confirmed to have been born in region II, in the cities of Antofagasta (one), Calama (two), Pedro de Valdivia (one), and Tocopilla (one). One case was born in region IV, in the city of Barraza, but resided in Calama in region II for an unknown period before her death. In all region II cities, arsenic levels in drinking water were substantially higher during the years of exposure than the WHO recommended maximum concentration of arsenic in drinking water, 10 \( \mu \)g/L, or levels in the rest of the country. In Pedro de Valdivia and Tocopilla, the arsenic concentration in drinking water from 1950 to 1970 was 250 \( \mu \)g/L, whereas in Calama, the levels were an average of 150 \( \mu \)g/L (8).

Children born in the period 1958 to 1970 would have also experienced some childhood exposure as well as in utero exposure. Overall, there was no evidence of increased risks for the age range 0 to 19 (Table 2). However, focusing on the age range 10 to 19, there was one liver cancer death in region II and one in region V, giving a rate ratio estimate of 3.60 (95% CI, 0.23-57.5). The confidence interval is very wide and no conclusion can be drawn from this risk estimate.

**Discussion**

This is the first study to find evidence that ingestion of arsenic in drinking water in early childhood might increase the risks of childhood liver cancer mortality. Arsenic in drinking water is established to be a major cause of adult cancer in exposed populations (9) and we previously reported marked increases in lung and bladder cancer in the same study population in region II of Chile (10), including increased risks of lung cancer in young adults following early childhood exposure (3). In light of these findings, it is reassuring not to find increased overall risks for childhood cancer mortality. However, among the subjects exposed as young children born in 1950 to 1957 based on death certificate data, we found that liver cancer mortality was markedly increased for both boys and girls aged 0 to 19 [for males, RR was 8.9 (95% CI, 1.7-45.8); for females, the RR was 14.1 (95% CI, 1.6-126.2)]. In the age range of 10 to 19 years, all eight liver cancer cases died in region II, and none in the much larger population of region V, a finding that had a probability of being due to chance of less than 1 in 100,000. Although the overall number of cases was relatively small, the large exposed and unexposed populations we studied, the high magnitude of the RRs identified, and the low associated \( P \) values along with the consistency of findings in both genders all suggest that these findings are not due to chance or bias.

![Liver cancers](image)

**Figure 2.** Liver cancer RRs for boys and girls before high exposure period (1950-1957), during high exposure period (1958-1970), and after high exposure period (1971-1981).

### Table 3. Liver cancer deaths ages 10 to 19 in region II among those born between 1950 and 1957 in region II of Chile

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Year of birth from death certificate</th>
<th>Place of birth</th>
<th>Place of death</th>
<th>Year of death</th>
<th>Age of death</th>
<th>Cause of death on death certificate*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>1950</td>
<td>Tocopilla</td>
<td>Tocopilla</td>
<td>1969</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Cancer de higado</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>1954</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Antofagasta</td>
<td>1968</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma hepatocellular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>1954</td>
<td>Pedro de Valdivia</td>
<td>Antofagasta</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Cancer hepático</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>1955</td>
<td>Antofagasta</td>
<td>Antofagasta</td>
<td>1967</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Néo hepatocellular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>1956</td>
<td>Calama</td>
<td>Calama</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Cancer primario de hígado</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>1953</td>
<td>Barraza</td>
<td>Antofagasta</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Cancer hepático</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>1955</td>
<td>Calama</td>
<td>Calama</td>
<td>1965</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Cancer de hígado, hepatoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>1956</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Region II city unknown</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Previously ICD coded as liver cancer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abbreviation: Neo, neoplasm.

**Carcinoma” is Spanish for liver, “hepático” is Spanish for “of the liver,” and “hepatoma” means liver cancer.

1 Year of birth was derived from death certificate data for the study. When the birth certificate was obtained, it was discovered that this boy was actually born in 1949. However, identical death certificate data had been used for regions II and V, so the boy was included in data analysis.
Arsenic exposure has been suggested to increase hepatic cancer in adults, primarily in studies in Taiwan (11). However, we have previously reported that there was no increase in adult liver cancer mortality in region II of Chile (2). Liver cancer is a rare cancer in children and usually comprises only ~1% of childhood cancers (12). Childhood hepatic cancers comprise two main types, hepatoblastoma, which is the predominant form in children under the age of 5, and hepatocellular carcinoma, which predominates in older children. In a recent United States study, among children 5 years and younger, hepatoblastoma accounted for 91% of cases, whereas hepatocellular carcinoma accounted for 87% of cases among those 15 to 19 years of age (13). In our study, all but one of the children who died from liver cancer was in the age range 10 to 19. A limitation of this study is that we do not have pathology reports, although in two cases hepatocellular carcinoma was the diagnosis given on the death certificate. Because hepatitis B is a major risk factor for childhood hepatocellular carcinoma (13-17), we considered the possibility that the increased risks we identified were due to hepatitis B in region II. However, the pattern of marked increases in liver cancer mortality in both boys and girls coinciding with the very high arsenic exposure period in region II, with subsequent decreases in risks following the end of this high exposure period, suggests that arsenic in water was a more likely explanation. Furthermore, to our knowledge, the relationship between hepatitis B and childhood liver cancer has been in populations with chronic hepatitis B infection rather than occurring in sudden outbreaks.

There is little other information on childhood cancers and exposure to arsenic. We conducted a study of childhood cancer incidence in Nevada and arsenic in drinking water and did not find overall evidence of increased childhood cancer incidence with exposure, and there was only one case of liver cancer in 20 years (18). However, the highest exposure category involved a range from 35 to 90 g/L of arsenic in water. We also investigated a remarkable childhood leukemia cluster that occurred in the city of Fallon where water concentrations were ~90 g/L (19). Eleven cases were diagnosed between 1999 and 2001, resulting in an age-standardized rate ratio in the county of 12.0 (95% CI, 6.0-21.4). However, there was no basis for linking this cluster solely to arsenic in the water because the cluster had occurred only relatively recently, whereas arsenic levels in this area had been at the same concentration for about the last 50 years.

Arsenic exposure was assessed in one population-based case-control study of childhood leukemia based in Quebec, Canada (20). The authors reported slightly increased RRs for childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia related to postnatal exposure to arsenic (odds ratio, 1.94; 95% CI, 0.64-5.83) but not for prenatal period exposure. These exposures were very low, at an average water concentration of 5 g/L, more than 100 times lower than the exposure of children in region II of Chile in the peak exposure period. Falk et al. (21) reported four female cases of childhood hepatic angiosarcoma, one of whom was exposed to arsenic through multiple sources. Her father worked at a mine, and the child was exposed to arsenic via soil around her house, water, and dust on the father’s work clothes. One case of angiosarcoma of the liver has also been reported in region II of Chile diagnosed in 1971 at the age of 22, who also had arsenic-related skin lesions (22). He lived in Pedro de Valdivia like one of the cases we have listed in Table 3. Unfortunately, it is not now possible to obtain medical records to search for pathology reports for the liver cancer deaths in Table 3, all of whom died more than 35 years ago, but as we noted above, two of the death certificates make specific mention of hepatocellular carcinoma and there is no mention of angiosarcoma.

A limitation of this study is the lack of individual data on exposure. However, the evidence suggests that virtually everyone in region II was exposed to much higher concentrations of arsenic in drinking water than in region V. Almost all drinking water in region II is supplied by a few large municipal water sources, and almost the entire region II had high concentrations of arsenic in their drinking water during various periods. The population-weighted average arsenic concentration in drinking water for the entire region was ~580 μg/L for around 13 years from 1958 to 1970 (23). Because almost everyone in region II was exposed, ecological fallacy, which can occur if one cannot be sure if those with the outcome were actually exposed, is highly unlikely in this study. In addition, the increases in RRs are too large to result from factors such as migration. In fact, any immigration of people from other regions of Chile would most probably have diluted the RR effects caused by arsenic in water.

In conclusion, we found marked increases in liver cancer mortality in the age range 10 to 19 among children who had exposure to high concentrations of arsenic in drinking water starting soon after birth. We did not find overall increases in childhood cancer mortality in region II of Chile. The importance of these findings is in part due to region II of Chile having the largest population in the world uniformly exposed to very high concentrations of arsenic in drinking water. This gives reassurance that arsenic in water probably does not increase overall childhood cancer mortality. However, the uniqueness of the exposure scenario in region II also means that it will be difficult to identify other large enough populations with high, well-documented arsenic exposure to confirm our findings about childhood liver cancer.
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