Prostate Cancer Risk and ESR1 TA, ESR2 CA Repeat Polymorphisms
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Abstract

Background: Experimental evidence has suggested that estrogen receptor α (coded by the gene ESR1) might increase prostate cancer risk, whereas estrogen receptor β (coded by the gene ESR2) might reduce prostate cancer risk.

Methods: We investigated the relationship with prostate cancer risk of both a TA repeat polymorphism in the ESR1 5’ region, ESR1 (TA)n, and with a CA repeat polymorphism in intron 5 of ESR2, ESR2 (CA)n, in a case-control study (545 cases and 674 controls) nested in the Physicians’ Health Study.

Results: Prostate cancer risk was highest for carriers of ESR1 (TA)24 and ESR1 (TA)25. Replacing one modal ESR1 (TA)14 allele with one ESR1 (TA)24 allele yielded an odds ratio of 1.42 (95% confidence interval, 1.00-2.00; P = 0.05). Replacing one ESR1 (TA)14 allele with one ESR1 (TA)25 allele yielded an odds ratio of 2.10 (95% confidence interval, 1.15-3.84; P = 0.02). ESR2 (CA)n showed no effects on prostate cancer risk.

Conclusions: The ESR1 (TA)n polymorphism might play a role in prostate cancer risk. (Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2007;16(11):2233–6)

Introduction

Effects on risk of prostate cancer of endogenous estrogens and other sex steroid hormones remain controversial. Both estrogen receptors (ER) α and β (coded by the genes ESR1 and ESR2, respectively) are expressed in human and murine prostate tissue and regulate epithelial growth (1-3). Whereas ERα expression has been proposed to increase prostate cancer risk (3), recent evidence suggests that ERβ expression might reduce risk by binding with an androstanediol, 5α-androstan-3β,17β-diol (4-6). Accounting for different estrogen pathways could help to resolve contradictory findings about the effects of endogenous estrogens and androstanediols on prostate cancer risk.

A thymine-adenine (TA)n dinucleotide repeat polymorphism in the 5’ promoter region of the ESR1 gene might affect ERα activity. In Caucasian populations, the frequency of repeat lengths exhibits a bimodal distribution peaking sharply at (TA)14 followed by very low allele frequency between (TA)16 and (TA)19 and a shallower peak at (TA)23. Most prior epidemiologic research has compared long versus short alleles, defined in various ways (7-13), with some exceptions (14-17).

Longer (TA)n is associated with increased risk of coronary artery disease (8, 10), lower concentrations of small low-density lipoprotein particles in women (7), blunted endothelial plasminogen activator release in women (18), and reduced adenosine-stimulated myocardial perfusion in men (9). Longer (TA)n has also been associated with higher osteoarthritis risk (14), reduced bone mineral density and greater history of fractures (11), and reduced risk of endometriosis (15, 16), suggesting reduced ERα expression. However, only a small and nonsignificant reduced risk of endometrial cancer has been associated with longer alleles, defined as (TA)z<22, relative to (TA)z<19 repeats (12).

A cytosine-adenine (CA)n dinucleotide repeat polymorphism in intron 5 of the ESR2 gene has been linked to ERβ expression. Longer (CA)n defined as (CA)z≥24 has been associated with reduced testosterone levels and increased sex hormone binding globulin in women, relative to (CA)z<24 repeats (13). Longer repeat lengths have also been associated with higher osteoarthritis risk (14), lower bone mineral density in women (19, 20), higher blood pressure (21), and less severe menstrual symptoms (22). However, a large study of endometrial cancer risk found no association with long repeat length, defined as (CA)z<22, relative to (CA)z≥22 (23).

One study has found an association between a polymorphism in codon 10 of ESR1 and prostate cancer risk (24). Another recent study detected an effect of a different ESR1 SNP (rs2234693) on prostate cancer grade at diagnosis, but not total risk of either familial or sporadic prostate cancer (25), and no association with one ERβ SNP. They also investigated both ESR1 (TA)n and ESR2 (CA)n with risk of sporadic and familial prostate cancer (25). They modeled additive effects of all alleles and found no evidence for an overall effect of...
either polymorphism. We report the results of a study that also investigated the association between prostate cancer risk and ESR1 (TA)$_n$ and ESR2 (CA)$_n$ among participants in the Physicians’ Health Study.

Materials and Methods

Participant Selection and Medical Record Review. Prostate cancer cases were identified from participants in the Physicians’ Health Study, which began in 1982 as a randomized trial of aspirin and β-carotene among 22,071 U.S. male physicians (26). Men with a history of myocardial infarction, stroke, transient ischemic attack, unstable angina, cancer (other than nonmelanoma skin cancer), or current renal or liver disease, peptic ulcer, gout, use of platelet-active agents, vitamin A, or β-carotene supplements were excluded from enrollment. Baseline information, including age, smoking history, and race, was collected by self-administered questionnaire, and 93% classified their race as Caucasian. Before randomization, 14,916 men provided a blood sample.

Prostate cancer diagnoses were initially identified by annual follow-up questionnaires, and all cases were confirmed by review of medical records. We follow participants for occurrence of metastases and death. In this article, we defined advanced stage as Whitmore-Jewett stage C or D at diagnosis or having been diagnosed with metastases or having died of prostate cancer during follow-up. We defined high grade as Gleason ≥7 or “poorly differentiated” tumors.

For our nested case-control study, prostate cancer cases were matched with one or two controls by age (within 1 year if younger than 55 or within 5 years if older) and smoking status (past, current, or never). Genetic analyses reported in this article include 545 cases and 674 matched controls from diagnosed 1982 to 1995 and who had also provided blood samples.

Genotyping. Genomic DNA was prepared from frozen blood (QIAtips, Qiagen, Inc.). For both polymorphisms, genomic DNA (50 ng) was amplified using 22.5 pmol of each primer in 25 μL PCR buffer B (Invitrogen Corp.) containing 2 mmol/L MgCl$_2$ (pH 8.5) plus 1.6 mmol/L dNTP and 1 unit of Platinum Taq Polymerase (Invitrogen). The PCR had an initial denaturing temperature at 95°C (2 min) followed by 35 cycles of denaturing (95°C; 30 s), annealing (60°C; 30 s), and extension (72°C; 30 s). A 7-min extension at 72°C followed the final cycle. Mixed with Gene Scan-500 ROX Size Standard (Applied Biosystems), PCR products were applied to a POP-4 capillary array that was linked to an automated fluorescence detection system, ABI PRISM 3100 Gene Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). The primers for the ESR1 (TA)$_n$ polymorphism were 5’-FAM-GTATAAAACTATCCAAGATTA-3’ and 5’-GCCAGAACATCATCAGATG-3’ and those for the ESR2 (CA)$_n$ polymorphism were 5’-HEX-GTATAAACTATCCAAGATTA-3’ and 5’-AACAAATTGTTGAATGATGGG-3’. Using analysis software, GeneScan Analysis 2.1 (Applied Biosystems), ESR1 (TA)$_n$ was calculated as (fragment length – 144 bp) / 2 and ESR2 (CA)$_n$ as (fragment length – 117 bp) / 2. The genetic laboratory was blind to the case-control status of samples.

Statistical Methods. Each repeat length allele was scored as 0, 1, or 2 (27, 28) depending on the number of alleles carried by the participant and entered into an unconditional logistic regression following Cunningham et al. (25). Regression models were adjusted for matching factors: age, smoking, and follow-up time.

We also conducted further analyses stratifying cases by stage and grade at diagnosis. We report the likelihood ratio test of each full additive model with a model containing only covariates. Given the large number of alleles for each of these polymorphisms, 22 for ESR1 (TA)$_n$ and 14 for ESR2 (CA)$_n$, the power of these global tests is low. Therefore, we also discuss contrasts with modal alleles (Table 1).

Results

Polymorphisms showed statistically significant global effects neither on total prostate cancer risk [ESR1 (TA)$_n$]
In summary, we found some preliminary evidence linking a TA repeat polymorphism in the 5′ region of ESR1 with both prostate cancer and hormone levels. Our results suggest that ERα activity may reduce prostate cancer risk.
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Figure 2. ESR2 (CA)n distribution by case/control status. Global P = 0.69.

Discussion
We did not detect significant global effects of either ESR1 (TA)n or ESR2 (CA)n on risk of total, high-grade, or advanced prostate cancer. However, two alleles of ESR1 (TA)n (n = 24 and n = 25) were disproportionately carried by cases, relative to modal ESR1 (TA)14 allele with one ESR1 (TA)24 allele (odds ratio, 2.10; 95% confidence interval, 1.15–3.94). However, two alleles of ESR1 (TA)n (n = 24 and n = 25) were disproportionately carried by cases, relative to modal ESR1 (TA)14 allele with one ESR1 (TA)24 allele (odds ratio, 2.10; 95% confidence interval, 1.15–3.94; P = 0.02; Figs. 1 and 2).


