Short Communication

Aspirin and Nonsteroidal Anti-inflammatory Drug Use and Risk of Pancreatic Cancer: A Meta-analysis

Susanna C. Larsson,1 Edward Giovannucci,2,3 Leif Bergkvist,4 and Alicja Wolk1

1Division of Nutritional Epidemiology, The National Institute of Environmental Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden; 2Departments of Nutrition and Epidemiology, Harvard School of Public Health; 3Channing Laboratory, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women’s Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts; and 4Department of Surgery and Centre for Clinical Research, Central Hospital, Västerås, Sweden

Abstract

Background: The association between use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAID), including aspirin, and risk of pancreatic cancer is controversial. We did a meta-analysis to summarize available evidence from epidemiologic studies investigating the relation between use of aspirin or other NSAIDs and the risk of pancreatic cancer.

Methods: We identified potential studies by searching the MEDLINE database (from 1966 to October 2006) and by reviewing the reference lists of pertinent publications. Studies were eligible for inclusion if they met the following criteria: (a) had a case-control or prospective design, (b) examined exposure to aspirin or NSAIDs, (c) the outcome was pancreatic cancer incidence or mortality, and (d) they provided a relative risk (RR) estimate with corresponding confidence interval or sufficient information to permit their calculation. Study-specific RR estimates were pooled using a random effects model.

Results: A total of 11 studies (3 case-control studies, 7 cohort studies, and 1 randomized trial), involving 6,386 pancreatic cancer cases, was included in the meta-analysis. The summary RR estimate did not indicate any association between aspirin/NSAID use and risk of pancreatic cancer [any/regular use versus nonregular/never use: RR, 1.01; 95% confidence interval (95% CI), 0.91-1.11; P heterogeneity = 0.09]. Neither use of aspirin, nonaspirin NSAIDs, nor overall NSAIDs were associated with pancreatic cancer risk. There was also no overall association with frequent (six or more tablets/times per week versus none: RR, 0.86; 95% CI, 0.61-1.23) or long-term (≥20 years) use of aspirin (RR, 1.21; 95% CI, 0.74-1.96).

Conclusions: Current epidemiologic evidence does not indicate that use of aspirin or NSAIDs is associated with the risk of pancreatic cancer. (Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2006;15(12):2561–4)

Introduction

Pancreatic cancer is the most fatal cancer in adults, ranking eighth for cancer mortality in the World (1) and fourth in the United States (2). Survival with pancreatic cancer is dismal; the 5-year survival rate is <4% (2). Therefore, identification of potential chemoprevention agents is highly desirable.

Considerable evidence from experimental, epidemiologic, and clinical studies indicates that nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAID) have promise as chemopreventive agents (3). Most epidemiologic studies have found an inverse relationship between use of NSAIDs, particularly aspirin, and risk of colorectal (3), gastric (4), and esophageal cancer (5). However, whether NSAID use may reduce the risk of pancreatic cancer remains controversial. Although data from animal and laboratory studies have shown that aspirin and other NSAIDs may inhibit pancreatic carcinogenesis (6-11), findings from observational epidemiologic studies of aspirin (12-18) and NSAID use (13, 19-21) in relation to pancreatic cancer risk have been inconsistent. In the Women’s Health Initiative trial, the number of incident cases of pancreatic cancer during an average follow-up of 10 years was nonsignificantly higher among women receiving 100 mg aspirin on every other day than among women receiving placebo (22).

We used meta-analysis as a systematic approach to summarize all available epidemiologic evidence about the relation between aspirin and NSAID use and risk of pancreatic cancer.

Materials and Methods

Study Selection. Studies were identified by searching MEDLINE using the search terms “aspirin,” “NSAIDs,” or “nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs” combined with “pancreatic cancer” or “pancreatic neoplasm.” The search covered the period from 1966 to October 2006. We also manually searched the reference lists of the retrieved articles to identify additional studies. No language restrictions were imposed. Studies were eligible for inclusion if they fulfilled the following criteria: (a) had a case-control or prospective study design, (b) evaluated exposure to aspirin or NSAIDs, (c) the outcome was pancreatic cancer incidence or mortality, and (d) they reported a relative risk (RR) estimate (rate ratio, hazard ratio, or odds ratio) with corresponding confidence interval or sufficient information to permit their calculation.

Statistical Analysis. RR was used as a measure of the association between use of aspirin/NSAIDs and risk of pancreatic cancer. Because pancreatic cancer is rare, odds ratio in case-control studies and rate ratio in cohort studies yield similar estimates of RR (23). Summary RR estimates and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were calculated with the method of DerSimonian and Laird (24) by use of the assumption
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of a random effects model, which considers both within-study and between-study variation. One study reported results separately for aspirin and nonaspirin NSAIDs (13). To avoid double counting of the cases, we included only the results for aspirin in the overall summary estimate. Statistical heterogeneity among studies was assessed with the Q and I² statistics (25). For the Q statistic, \( P < 0.1 \) was considered representative of statistically significant heterogeneity. I² is the proportion of total variation contributed by between-study variation (25). Publication bias was evaluated with the Egger's regression asymmetry test (26); \( P < 0.1 \) was considered representative of statistically significant publication bias. All analyses were carried out using Stata software version 9.1 (StataCorp, College Station, Texas), and all statistical tests were two sided.

### Results

We identified three case-control studies (14, 19, 20), seven regression asymmetry test (26); variation (25). Publication bias was evaluated with the Egger's proportion of total variation contributed by between-study risk of pancreatic cancer (Table 1). Nine studies were randomized trial (22) of aspirin and/or NSAID use in relation prospective cohort studies (12, 13, 15-18, 21), and one two sided.

The RR (and its 95% CI) was derived by pooling the RRs for categories 7 to 13 and \( \geq 7 \) tablets per week. We used the random effects model, which considers both within-study and between-study variation. One study reported results separately for aspirin and nonaspirin NSAIDs (13). To avoid double counting of the cases, we included only the results for aspirin in the overall summary estimate. Statistical heterogeneity among studies was assessed with the Q and I² statistics (25). For the Q statistic, \( P < 0.1 \) was considered representative of statistically significant heterogeneity. I² is the proportion of total variation contributed by between-study variation (25). Publication bias was evaluated with the Egger's regression asymmetry test (26); \( P < 0.1 \) was considered representative of statistically significant publication bias. All analyses were carried out using Stata software version 9.1 (StataCorp, College Station, Texas), and all statistical tests were two sided.

### Results

We identified three case-control studies (14, 19, 20), seven prospective cohort studies (12, 13, 15-18, 21), and one randomized trial (22) of aspirin and/or NSAID use in relation to risk of pancreatic cancer (Table 1). Nine studies were conducted in the United States (12-14, 16, 17, 19, 20, 22) and two in Denmark (15, 21). The outcome was pancreatic cancer mortality in two studies (17, 18) and pancreatic cancer incidence in the remaining nine studies.

The 11 studies included in the meta-analysis involved 6,386 cases. The overall summary estimate did not reveal any association between use of aspirin/NSAIDs and risk of pancreatic cancer (any/regular use versus nonregular/never use: RR, 1.01; 95% CI, 0.91-1.11), but there was evidence of heterogeneity among studies (Q = 16.34; \( P = 0.09; I^2 = 38.8\% \)). There was no indication of publication bias (\( P = 0.78 \), Egger's test). The summary estimate did not change materially when excluding the clinical trial (RR, 1.00; 95% CI, 0.91-1.10; ref. 22) or the three studies based on aspirin/NSAID prescriptions (RR, 0.94; 95% CI, 0.81-1.09; refs. 15, 20, 21). The summary estimates were similar for case-control (RR, 1.01; 95% CI, 0.83-1.23) and cohort studies (RR, 0.99; 95% CI, 0.88-1.13). Neither use of aspirin, nonaspirin NSAIDs, nor overall NSAIDs were associated with risk of pancreatic cancer (Fig. 1).

Overall, frequent aspirin use (six or more tablets/times per week) was not associated with pancreatic cancer risk, but there was statistically significant heterogeneity among the results.

### Table 1. Characteristics of studies included in the meta-analysis of aspirin and NSAIDs and risk of pancreatic cancer, ordered by exposure and year of publication

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study and country</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Controls or cohort size</th>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Exposure definition</th>
<th>RR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Adjustments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schreinemachers and Everson (12); United States</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12,668</td>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>Any use of aspirin in the last 30 days</td>
<td>0.67 (0.33-1.36)</td>
<td>Age, sex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson et al. (13); United States</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>28,283</td>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>Any use vs no use</td>
<td>0.58 (0.36-0.90)</td>
<td>Age, smoking, diabetes, multivitamin use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menezes et al. (14); United States</td>
<td>Hospital-based case-control</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>582</td>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>( \geq 6 ) times/wk or ( \geq 1 ) tablet/wk for ( \geq 6 ) mo or ( \geq 7 ) tablets/wk or ( \geq 10 ) y</td>
<td>0.40 (0.20-0.82)</td>
<td>Age, smoking, family history of pancreatic cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friis et al. (15); Denmark</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>29,470</td>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>Prescriptions of low-dose (75-150 mg) aspirin</td>
<td>1.10 (0.80-1.50)</td>
<td>Age, sex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schernhammer et al. (16); United States</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>88,378</td>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>( \geq 2 ) tablets/wk or ( \geq 7 ) tablets/wk or ( \geq 20 ) y or ( \geq 2 ) tablets/wk or ( \geq 10 ) y</td>
<td>1.20 (0.87-1.65)</td>
<td>Age, smoking, BMI, physical activity, diabetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacobs et al. (17); United States</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>4,577 (deaths)</td>
<td>987,590</td>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>Any use vs no use</td>
<td>0.96 (0.92-1.01)</td>
<td>Age, sex, race, smoking, BMI, diabetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratnasingehe et al. (18); United States</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>78 (deaths)</td>
<td>22,834</td>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>Any use vs no use</td>
<td>0.87 (0.42-1.77)</td>
<td>Age, sex, race, poverty index, education, BMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cook et al. (22); United States</td>
<td>Randomized trial</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>39,876</td>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>Low-dose (100 mg) aspirin every other day for 10 y vs placebo</td>
<td>1.42 (0.81-2.49)</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson et al. (13); United States</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>28,283</td>
<td>NA-NSAIDs</td>
<td>Any use vs no use</td>
<td>1.19 (0.76-1.88)</td>
<td>Age, smoking, diabetes, multivitamin use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sørensen et al. (21); Denmark</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>172,057</td>
<td>NA-NSAIDs</td>
<td>Any prescription ( \geq 10 ) prescriptions ( \geq 4 ) d/wk for ( \geq 3 ) mo</td>
<td>1.10 (0.90-1.30)</td>
<td>Age, sex, education smoking, family history of digestive cancer, other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coogan et al. (19); United States</td>
<td>Hospital-based case-control</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>5,833</td>
<td>NSAIDs</td>
<td>Any prescription ( \geq 7 ) prescriptions during mo 13-36 before index date</td>
<td>1.15 (0.88-1.49)</td>
<td>Age, smoking</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: NA-NSAIDs, nonaspirin NSAIDs; BMI, body mass index.

*The RR (and its 95% CI) was derived by pooling the RRs for categories 7 to 13 and \( \geq 14 \) tablets per week.

†Randomized \( 2 \times 2 \) factorial trial evaluating the effects of low-dose aspirin (100 mg every other day) and vitamin E (600 IU every other day) in the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease and cancer.

‡The RR (and its 95% CI) was derived by pooling the RRs for 1, 1 to 6, and \( \geq 7 \) prescriptions during months 13 to 36 before index date.
from the four studies (Fig. 2). The summary estimate based on data from two cohort studies showed no significant association between long duration (≥20 years) of aspirin use and risk of pancreatic cancer (Fig. 2).

**Discussion**

Long-term use of aspirin and other NSAIDs has almost consistently been associated with a reduced risk of colorectal cancer (3, 27), and there is some evidence, although more limited and mainly from case-control studies, that aspirin may have a favorable effect on gastric (4) and esophageal cancer (5). In the present meta-analysis, however, we found no evidence that use of aspirin, nonaspirin NSAIDs, or overall NSAIDs may lower pancreatic cancer risk.

The majority of the studies included in this meta-analysis showed no significant association between use of aspirin or NSAIDs and pancreatic cancer incidence or mortality. However, one prospective cohort of 28,283 U.S. postmenopausal women found a statistically significant 60% reduction in risk of pancreatic cancer associated with use of six or more aspirin tablets per week (13). This cohort study was based on a relatively small number of cases (n = 80) and indicated no association with NSAID use. In contrast, in the Nurses’ Health Study, women who reported >20 years of regular use of aspirin (two or more tablets per week) had a statistically significant 58% increase in pancreatic cancer risk compared with nonusers (16). Similarly, in the randomized trial involving ~40,000 women, slightly more cases of pancreatic cancer were diagnosed among women who received low-dose aspirin (100 mg on alternate days) than among women who received placebo (30 versus 21 cases), but this difference was not statistically significant (RR, 1.42; 95% CI, 0.81-2.49; ref. 22).

There are some potential limitations that should be considered when interpreting the results from this meta-analysis. First, as the meta-analysis is based on observational studies, we cannot rule out the possibility that the lack of association between aspirin/NSAID use and pancreatic cancer risk is due to bias or confounding inherent in the original studies. Recall and selection bias could be a problem in case-control studies and could result in an underestimation or an overestimation of the true exposure effect. However, summary estimates were very similar for case-control and cohort studies, suggesting that this aspect of study design was not important. Confounding is an important consideration when evaluating results from both case-control and cohort studies. Individual studies may have failed to control for potential confounders, which could have concealed a possible association with aspirin/NSAIDs. Second, misclassification of exposure to aspirin/NSAIDs is likely to be present. If exposure is measured on a dichotomous scale (exposed Figure 2. RRs of pancreatic cancer associated with frequent (six or more tablets/times per week) and long duration (≥20 years) of aspirin use. Squares, study-specific RRs (size of the square reflects the study-specific statistical weight, that is, the inverse of the variance); horizontal lines, 95% CIs; diamonds, summary RR estimates with corresponding 95% CIs. Test for heterogeneity: frequent use, \(Q = 10.98, P = 0.14, I^2 = 36.3\%\); nonaspirin NSAIDs, \(Q = 0.11, P = 0.75, I^2 = 0\%\); NSAIDs, \(Q = 2.25, P = 0.13, I^2 = 55.6\%\). from the four studies (Fig. 2). The summary estimate based on data from two cohort studies showed no significant association between long duration (≥20 years) of aspirin use and risk of pancreatic cancer (Fig. 2).
versus nonexposed), the consequence of nondifferential misclassification would be to bias the findings toward the null, potentially obscuring a true decrease or increase in risk of pancreatic cancer associated with use of aspirin/NSAIDs. Finally, the possibility of publication bias is always a concern in meta-analyses of published studies. In this meta-analysis, we found no evidence for such bias.

In summary, current epidemiologic evidence does not indicate that use of aspirin or NSAIDs lowers the risk of pancreatic cancer. Whether regular use of aspirin for long duration increases the risk of pancreatic cancer remains unclear and warrants further study.
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