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Abstract

Background: The renin-angiotensin system plays an important role in homeostasis and lately, its main effector, angiotensin II, has been attributed with angiogenic and growth factor actions in the breast tissue. Previous studies have shown that the insertion/deletion (I/D) polymorphism in the angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) gene accounts for the variability of ACE plasma concentrations. The use of ACE inhibitors and the ACE I/D polymorphism may be linked to breast cancer risk. In this study, we evaluate the relationship of the ACE I/D polymorphism with breast cancer risk in Caucasian postmenopausal women.

Methods: The ACE I/D polymorphism was genotyped in 4,117 women participants in the Rotterdam Study. Baseline information was obtained through a questionnaire. We conducted a logistic regression and survival analysis to assess the risk of breast cancer by the ACE genotype.

Results: The DD carriers showed a significantly increased risk of developing breast cancer when compared with the II carriers (odds ratio, 1.86; 95% confidence interval, 1.06-3.27; P = 0.03). This association remained after adjusting for other risk factors, including body mass index, age at menarche, age at menopause, hormone replacement therapy, and hypertension. Our survival analysis showed that the cancer-free survival was significantly reduced in DD compared with II carriers (hazard ratio, 1.80; 95% confidence interval, 1.07-3.01; P = 0.03).

Conclusions: Our results suggest that the ACE I/D polymorphism plays an important role in breast cancer risk and disease-free survival in Caucasian postmenopausal women. (Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2005;14(9): 2143–6)

Introduction

Breast cancer presents a serious public health risk in both developed and developing countries. With 1 million new cases diagnosed in the world annually, it accounts for 18% of all female malignancies (1, 2). Risk factors for this disease vary from lifestyle to genetic factors (3), which are estimated to account for 15% to 25% of the cases (4). Germ line mutations in high-penetrance genes, such as BRCA 1 and BRCA 2, explain <5% of all breast cancer cases (4). Most likely, the genetic susceptibility to breast cancer is explained by multiple highly penetrant mutations and a larger number of low penetrance mutations (5). The genes involved in breast cancer are expected to be responsible for key processes in cell growth regulation and cell proliferation, including angiogenesis (6). One of the newly studied angiogenic and growth factors is angiotensin II (7), which has a wide spectrum of target tissues, including breast epithelial cells. It has a variety of functions, acting as a growth factor both in normal and cancer epithelial breast cells and promoting angiogenesis (7, 8). Angiotensin II is converted from angiotensin I by the angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE). Studies conducted to assess the role of ACE and ACE inhibitors in both breast cancer and cancer in general show contradicting results. Whereas ACE inhibitors have been shown to block the processes of angiogenesis and tumor growth both in vivo and in vitro (9, 10), findings on the protective effect of ACE inhibitors on cancer still remain inconsistent. Whereas Lever et al. (11) found a decreased risk of cancer in patients taking ACE inhibitors, Li et al. (12) and Friis et al. (13) showed no protective effect of these drugs. An alternative way to study the role of ACE in cancer is to study the gene encoding for this enzyme. The ACE gene, which is located in chromosome 17q23, has many polymorphisms. The most commonly studied is a 287 bp Alu insertion/deletion (I/D) polymorphism in intron 16 that accounts for 50% of the variability in circulating ACE levels (14-16) and has been shown to be in complete linkage disequilibrium with the putative ACE-linked quantitative trait locus in Caucasians (15, 16). Furthermore, Koh et al. (17) showed that Chinese women who carried the I allele of the ACE I/D polymorphism had lower risk of developing breast cancer.

In this study, we evaluated the relationship of the I/D polymorphism in the ACE gene to breast cancer risk in a population-based study of Caucasian postmenopausal women.

Patients and Methods

Study Population. Our study is part of the Rotterdam Study, a population-based follow-up study of determinants of diseases in the elderly. All inhabitants of Ommoord, a suburb of Rotterdam, ages 55 years or older were invited to participate, of whom 7,983 agreed (78.1%). The design of the study has been previously described (18). Informed consent was obtained from all subjects, and the Medical Ethics Committee of the Erasmus Medical Center approved the study. The study population consisted of all 4,878 female postmenopausal participants.
Table 1. General characteristics of the study population stratified by ACE I/D genotype

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>DD</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total studied (%)</td>
<td>1,170 (28.4%)</td>
<td>2,247 (49.7%)</td>
<td>900 (21.9%)</td>
<td>4,117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age of entry (SD)</td>
<td>70.49 (9.82)</td>
<td>70.48 (9.54)</td>
<td>69.48 (9.80)</td>
<td>71.65 (10.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age at death</td>
<td>83.93 (9.41)</td>
<td>84.45 (8.51)</td>
<td>84.05 (8.62)</td>
<td>84.20 (8.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age at menopause (SD)</td>
<td>48.76 (5.23)</td>
<td>48.9 (5.04)</td>
<td>48.72 (5.27)</td>
<td>48.88 (5.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean no. children</td>
<td>2.06 (1.73)</td>
<td>2.09 (1.69)</td>
<td>2.17 (1.76)</td>
<td>2.10 (1.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity: % ≥1 child</td>
<td>885 (78.3%)</td>
<td>1,562 (79.3%)</td>
<td>683 (79.3%)</td>
<td>64.17 (76.03%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hormone replacement therapy (%)</td>
<td>126 (10.8%)</td>
<td>220 (10.7%)</td>
<td>105 (11.7%)</td>
<td>451 (10.95%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of antihypertensives</td>
<td>160 (13.9%)</td>
<td>257 (12.8%)</td>
<td>98 (11.1%)</td>
<td>515 (12.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension (%)</td>
<td>430 (36.8%)</td>
<td>752 (36.7%)</td>
<td>299 (33.2%)</td>
<td>1,481 (35.97%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean BMI (SD)</td>
<td>26.91 (4.12)</td>
<td>26.67 (4.12)</td>
<td>26.79 (4.02)</td>
<td>26.71 (4.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smokers (%)</td>
<td>181 (15.5%)</td>
<td>351 (17.1%)</td>
<td>171 (19%)</td>
<td>433 (10.52%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: All \( P \) values ≥ 0.05.

Results

Of a total of 4,878 postmenopausal women included in our study, 4,117 (84.4%) were successfully genotyped. Of these women, 8.1% were lost to follow-up. Loss of follow-up was not associated with ACE genotype or to risk factors for breast cancer. The frequencies of the I/D genotypes of the ACE gene were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium proportions (\( P = 0.96 \)).

The distribution of the studied variables was not significantly different between genotypes (Table 1). The distribution of ACE inhibitors use between genotypes was not different across genotypes (data not shown).

There were 87 (2.1%) women who entered the study with previously diagnosed breast cancer and 114 (3.4%) were diagnosed during follow-up. The prevalent cases were excluded from all analyses. The number of breast cancer cases by genotype is shown in Fig. 1. The figure shows that the number of breast cancer patients increases as the number of D alleles increases (\( P_{\text{trend}} = 0.02 \)).

The logistic regression yielded an odds ratio (OR) of 1.86 [95% confidence interval (95% CI), 1.06-3.27; \( P = 0.03 \)] for DD carriers. Further adjustment of this model for hormone replacement therapy produced the same results. Adjustment for antihypertensive drug use provided an OR of 1.90 (95% CI, 1.04-3.21; \( P = 0.03 \)) for DD versus II carriers. This association remained significant when additionally adjusting for parity (OR, 1.79; 95% CI, 1.06-3.27; \( P = 0.03 \)), smoking (OR, 1.83; 95% CI, 1.04-3.21; \( P = 0.03 \)), and BMI (OR, 2.06; 95% CI, 1.14-3.71, \( P = 0.02 \)).

![Figure 1. Frequency of breast cancer cases by genotype.](cancerbiomarkers.aacrjournals.org)
We conducted an association study to evaluate the relationship between breast cancer–free survival by ACE I/D markers will yield little extra information. ACE levels or cardiovascular disease outcomes. The polymorphism is in strong linkage disequilibrium with the population, this polymorphism explains around 28% of the 16. It has been previously reported that in a subset of our gene. Here, we only tested the ACE I/D polymorphism in intro women. They had a large sample within each ethnic group, it was not consistent in all ethnic groups. Furthermore, although the association between the II genotype and breast cancer risk in multiethnic cohort where they observed a modest positive but, Haiman et al. (38) did a case-control study in a Chinese postmenopausal women in which they found that individuals carrying the II genotype had a significantly reduced risk of breast cancer independently of environmental factors for the disease. On the other hand, Haiman et al. (38) did a case-control study in a multiethnic cohort where they observed a modest positive association between the II genotype and breast cancer risk in African Americans. They did not, however, see the association consistently in all ethnic groups. Furthermore, although they had a large sample within each ethnic group, it was not large enough to evaluate ethnic specific risks, and their patients included both premenopausal and postmenopausal women.

A large number of polymorphisms are known in the ACE gene. Here, we only tested the ACE I/D polymorphism in intro 16. It has been previously reported that in a subset of our population, this polymorphism explains around 28% of the variability of plasma ACE levels (39). Furthermore, this polymorphism is in strong linkage disequilibrium with the functional ones in this gene, as measured as the relation to ACE levels or cardiovascular disease outcomes (15, 16). The strong linkage disequilibrium implies that testing additional markers will yield little extra information.

Thus far, and to our knowledge, no follow-up study has been done to assess breast cancer–free survival by ACE I/D genotype. Our study is the first to investigate the risk of breast cancer longitudinally, and find that it was significantly increased in DD versus II carriers independently of all our proposed known risk factors. Hormone replacement therapy and parity did not weaken our association between the I/D polymorphism and breast cancer risk.

Our results suggest that the ACE I/D polymorphism may play an important role as susceptibility factor in breast cancer risk and disease-free survival in Caucasian postmenopausal women.

**Table 2. Hazard ratios for breast cancer by genotype**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>DD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>3,724</td>
<td>1.23 (0.75-2.03)</td>
<td>1.80 (1.07-3.01)*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No use of hormone replacement therapy</td>
<td>3,290</td>
<td>1.60 (0.90-2.84)</td>
<td>2.13 (1.18-3.86)*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use hormone replacement therapy</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>0.25 (0.07-0.913)*</td>
<td>0.79 (0.26-2.42)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P < 0.05.

Hazard ratios for breast cancer risk for the DD and ID genotypes are shown in Table 2. In our first model, we used age as the underlying time of the model and adjusted for age at menopause. By age 90 years, 4% of the DD carriers had developed breast cancer compared with 2.3% of II carriers and 2.8% of ID carriers. This translates into a hazard ratio for breast cancer of 1.80 (95% CI, 1.07-3.01; P = 0.026) for DD, which is maintained at all ages (Fig. 2).

**Discussion**

We conducted an association study to evaluate the relationship between the ACE I/D polymorphism and the risk of breast cancer, and we did so in two steps. Our analysis showed that DD carriers have an increased risk of developing breast cancer. When analyzing this group, all further adjusted models showed significantly increased risks for DD carriers when compared with II carriers. We also report a linear increase of breast cancer risk with the presence of the D allele of I/D polymorphism in the ACE gene.

For premenopausal women, the BRCA 1 and BRCA 2 genes have been associated with an increased risk for breast cancer (5, 27, 30-32). A vast literature suggests that variants in genes that regulate cell growth are involved in the development of this disease (5, 33). Moreover, several studies have shown that angiotensin II acts as a growth factor in normal and breast cancer cells through phospholipase C activation (6, 34-37). Koh et al. (17) conducted a study among Chinese postmenopausal women in which they found that individuals carrying the II genotype had a significantly reduced risk of breast cancer independently of environmental and other familial risk factors for the disease. On the other hand, Haiman et al. (38) did a case-control study in a multiethnic cohort where they observed a modest positive association between the II genotype and breast cancer risk in African Americans. They did not, however, see the association consistently in all ethnic groups. Furthermore, although they had a large sample within each ethnic group, it was not large enough to evaluate ethnic specific risks, and their patients included both premenopausal and postmenopausal women.

A large number of polymorphisms are known in the ACE gene. Here, we only tested the ACE I/D polymorphism in intro 16. It has been previously reported that in a subset of our population, this polymorphism explains around 28% of the variability of plasma ACE levels (39). Furthermore, this polymorphism is in strong linkage disequilibrium with the functional ones in this gene, as measured as the relation to ACE levels or cardiovascular disease outcomes (15, 16). The strong linkage disequilibrium implies that testing additional markers will yield little extra information.

Thus far, and to our knowledge, no follow-up study has been done to assess breast cancer–free survival by ACE I/D genotype. Our study is the first to investigate the risk of breast cancer longitudinally, and find that it was significantly increased in DD versus II carriers independently of all our proposed known risk factors. Hormone replacement therapy and parity did not weaken our association between the I/D polymorphism and breast cancer risk.

Our results suggest that the ACE I/D polymorphism may play an important role as susceptibility factor in breast cancer risk and disease-free survival in Caucasian postmenopausal women.
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**Figure 2.** Cancer-free survival by ACE I/D polymorphism.
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