Endometrial Cancer Incidence Trends in Europe: Underlying Determinants and Prospects for Prevention
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Abstract

More than one in 20 female cancers in Europe are of the endometrium. Surveillance of incidence rates is imperative given the rapidly changing profile in the prevalence and distribution of the underlying determinants. This study presents an analysis of observed and age-period-cohort–modeled trends in 13 European countries. There were increasing trends among postmenopausal women in many Northern and Western countries. Denmark and possibly France and Switzerland were exceptions, with decreasing trends in postmenopausal women. In premenopausal and perimenopausal women, declines were observed in Northern and Western Europe, most evidently in Denmark, Sweden, and the United Kingdom, affecting consecutive generations born after 1925. These contrast with the increasing trends regardless of menopausal age in some Southern and Eastern European countries, particularly Slovakia and Slovenia. These observations provide evidence of changes in several established risk factors over time and have implications for possible primary prevention strategies. In postmenopausal women, changes in reproductive behavior and prevalence of overweight and obesity may partially account for the observed increases, as well as hormone replacement therapy use in certain countries. Combined oral contraceptive use may be responsible for the declines observed among women aged <55 years. Whereas there are some prospects for chemoprevention in premenopausal women as oral contraceptive use becomes more widespread in Europe, increases in obesity and decreases in fertility imply that endometrial cancer in postmenopausal women will become a more substantial public health problem in the future. (Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2005;14(5):1132–42)

Introduction

Endometrial cancer comprises about 4% of all cancers in women globally and occurs predominantly after the menopause. Some of the highest incidence rates worldwide are found within European populations (1), with rates varying only by a factor of two between countries (2). Cross-sectional incidence rates have been increasing among postmenopausal women in most European populations, whereas mortality rates have been declining, with few exceptions (3). In premenopausal and perimenopausal women, declines were observed in Northern and Western Europe, most evidently in Denmark, Sweden, and the United Kingdom, affecting consecutive generations born after 1925. These contrast with the increasing trends regardless of menopausal age in some Southern and Eastern European countries, particularly Slovakia and Slovenia. These observations provide evidence of changes in several established risk factors over time and have implications for possible primary prevention strategies. In postmenopausal women, changes in reproductive behavior and prevalence of overweight and obesity may partially account for the observed increases, as well as hormone replacement therapy use in certain countries. Combined oral contraceptive use may be responsible for the declines observed among women aged <55 years. Whereas there are some prospects for chemoprevention in premenopausal women as oral contraceptive use becomes more widespread in Europe, increases in obesity and decreases in fertility imply that endometrial cancer in postmenopausal women will become a more substantial public health problem in the future. (Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2005;14(5):1132–42)
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Materials and Methods

Incidence Data. The incidence and population data sets were extracted from the EUROCIM software package and database (18) according to registry, the 5-year periods of diagnoses available, and for ten 5-year age groups (30-34, 35-39, ... , 75-79). The inclusion requirement was compilation in each of the last three volumes (VI-VIII) of Cancer Incidence in Five Continents (19-21), an indicator of consistent quality of data with time, given the editorial process involves a detailed assessment of comparability, completeness, and validity of incidence data. For the 13 countries, the span of registry data available varied from 15 to 45 years (Table I, columns A-C). In France, Italy, Switzerland, and Spain, a number of regional registries were aggregated to obtain an estimate of the national incidence. The varying span of data available from these regional registries required an aggregation of the data sets that maximized the registration period and the number of registries represented in each country. The countries are presented by European area (Northern, Eastern, Southern, and Western) according to the United Nations classification.

Statistical Analysis. We present the observed incidence rates by birth cohort and period of diagnosis for each of the 13 countries. Whereas the graphical display may outline the complexity of the disease trends and indicate particular relationships with period and cohort, we fitted the age-period-cohort model (APC) to the trends to aid our understanding of the temporal patterns and formally assess alternative descriptions of the data (22). Assuming the incidence rates were constant within the 5-year age classes a = 1, 2, ..., A and 5-year periods of diagnosis P = 1, 2, ..., P, the APC (22-24) model was fitted in Stata (25), with the rates in each country described by a likelihood for the observations that

\[
\log(\lambda(a, p)) = \alpha_a + \beta_p + \gamma_c
\]

Birth cohorts were derived from period and age such that c = p – a for c = 1, 2, ..., C with C = A + P – 1. The variables \(\alpha_a\), \(\beta_p\), and \(\gamma_c\) refer to the fixed effects of age group a, period p, and birth cohort c, respectively.

The APC model cannot estimate the individual linear components of the age, period, and cohort effects due to their linear interdependence. We used the method of Holford (22), partitioning the age, period, and cohort effects in terms of their linear and curvature elements. Specification of the assumed “true” slope for age, period, or cohort leads to unique estimates of the other two slopes. We present two possible sets of age, period, and cohort trends, circumventing the nonidentifiability issue by assuming that:

(i) the steady-state (period and cohort adjusted) age-specific incidence curve for endometrial cancer in each population reflects the sensitivity of the target organ to unopposed estrogens. Moolgavkar (26) suggested the possibility of a generalized age curve and a rather stable risk in women ages >60 years possibly due to their low levels of ancillary estrogens. Such an age curve was fixed for each country by choosing the age slopes \(\alpha_L\), for which point estimates at ages 65 to 70, 70 to 74, and 75 to 79 would be reasonably flat (i.e., by selecting the estimate of \(x_L\) for which \(x_L - x_{L-1} = 0\)).

(ii) the cohort effects predominate the trends. Fixing the linear slope of the period effects to zero \((\beta_0 = 0)\) allows the cohort slope to take up the entire net drift, the identifiable sum of the period and cohort slopes \(\beta_L + \gamma_L\) while still allowing for nonlinear period effects. The latter assumption implies that risk of endometrial cancer over time is mediated only by a changing distribution and prevalence of the known and putative risk factors in successive generations.

On adding together the linear and curvature components, the individual categories of each effect are obtained. The \(a\)th age effect can be expressed as \(\alpha_a = (a - (A + 1) / 2) \times x_L + \phi_a\) with \(\phi_a\) representing the departures from the linear trend, \(\beta_L\) and \(\gamma_L\), the slopes for period and cohort, can be defined in the same way.

In presenting the model variables, the period and cohort effects were reparameterized to rate ratios with reference

Table 1. Populations included in the analysis (columns A-E), recent rate (column F), and APC model fit (columns G-J)

| A | European area | B | Country | C | Period* | D | Incidence† | E | Person-years‡ | F | ASR√ | G | APC model | H | Residual deviance | I | df| J | P
| A | Northern | Denmark | 1979-1998 | 4 | 535 | 1.5 | 31.8 | APC | 14.0 | 16 | 0.60 |
| A | Estonia | 1971-2000 | 6 | 170 | 0.4 | 34.1 | APC | 43.7 | 32 | 0.08 |
| A | Finland | 1955-1999 | 9 | 605 | 1.6 | 35.9 | APC | 116.8 | 56 | <0.01 |
| A | Norway | 1953-1997 | 9 | 416 | 1.2 | 33.1 | APC | 67.3 | 56 | 0.14 |
| A | Sweden | 1964-1998 | 7 | 1087 | 2.6 | 37.3 | APC | 76.0 | 40 | <0.01 |
| A | United Kingdom | 1978-1997 | 4 | 3765 | 15.5 | 22.8 | APC | 37.1 | 16 | <0.01 |
| A | Eastern | Czech Republic | 1985-1999 | 3 | 1496 | 3.0 | 44.9 | APC | 11.7 | 8 | 0.16 |
| A | Slovakia | 1968-1997 | 6 | 601 | 1.5 | 41.9 | APC | 56.5 | 32 | <0.01 |
| A | Southern | Italy | 1983-1997 | 3 | 520 | 1.3 | 30.3 | APC | 29.3 | 18 | 0.04 |
| A | Spain | 1983-1997 | 3 | 285 | 0.7 | 29.4 | AD | 16.5 | 30 | 0.26 |
| A | Western | France | 1978-1997 | 4 | 311 | 0.8 | 27.8 | A | 34.5 | 30 | 0.26 |
| A | Switzerland | 1983-1997 | 3 | 291 | 0.7 | 32.7 | AD | 22.5 | 19 | 0.26 |

NOTE: Abbreviations: A, age; AD, age + drift; AC, age + drift + cohort; AP, age + drift + period; APC, age + drift + period + cohort.

†Data available according to period of diagnosis, value in parentheses represent number of 5-year periods available in the analysis.

‡Average annual number of cases and person-years (per million) obtained from most recent 5-year period.

√Truncated age-standardized rates (Europe) for ages 30 to 79 obtained using most recent 5-year period.

*Refers to the most parsimonious final model providing a good fit.

1 To determine the goodness-of-fit, the deviance was compared with the chi-squared distribution on the degrees of freedom (df) determined by the model. \(P < 0.05\) indicates the full APC model does not yield an adequate fit.

ªAggregation of England, Scotland.

**Aggregation of Florence, Varese Province, Parma Province, Ravagna Province, Turin.

††Aggregation of Catalonia, Tarragona; Granada, Murcia, Navarra, Zaragoza.

Aggregation of Bas-Rhin, Calvados, Doubs, Iser, Somme, Terr.

Aggregation of Basel, Geneva, Neuchatel, St. Gall-Appenzell, Vaud, Zurich.
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points $P - 1$ and $A + P - 6$, respectively. These variables thus described the risk of cancer of the corpus uteri in a given generation or period of diagnosis, relative to a reference category that was dependent on the particular time period analyzed in each country. The reference midpoints varied from 1990 to 1993 for period and from 1938 to 1941 for birth cohort.

APC models were also fitted in strata according to menopausal status (ages 30-54 and 55-79 years). However, the generated models did not substantially alter the results obtained for the ages 30 to 79 years, in terms of the significance of individual effects, the overall fit of the hierarchal models, or the interpretation of the variables. We thus present the results solely based on incidence data covering both premenopausal and postmenopausal age groups. It should be noted that due to a paucity of relevant data either by country, age, or over time, the person-years at risk in these analyses remain unadjusted for hysterectomy status.

### Results

Age-adjusted rates of cancer of the corpus uteri in the most recent period available varied 2-fold among the 13 countries, from >40 per 100,000 in Slovakia and the Czech Republic to <30 per 100,000 in France, Spain, and the United Kingdom (Table 1, column F). Rates were lowest in the United Kingdom (23 per 100,000). The trends in age-specific rates by birth cohort and period of diagnosis are rather complex (Fig. 1). Figure 2 attempts to summarize these data using variables obtained from the APC model based on the two sets of assumptions specified above. Simpler models tended to yield adequate fits to the data where fewer periods (three or four) were available (Table 1, columns G-J). The full APC model was required elsewhere, and for some countries, particularly those for which the incidence data spanned a longer time period, a significant lack-of-fit was observed (Table 1, columns G-J).

The rarity of events in women under the age of 45 is problematic in that it makes interpretation of trends among the most recent cohorts difficult. Nevertheless, some general observations emerge from Figs. 1 and 2, related mainly to a changing risk pattern according to menopausal status: both cohort and period effects were involved in risk changes in postmenopausal women, and a diverse pattern of cohort-oriented risk patterns in women of premenopausal age. These are described below by European region.

**Northern Europe.** There have been rather uniform increases in the incidence of cancer of the corpus uteri in women ages >55 years in the Northern European countries except Denmark, and it is not clear as to whether these upsurges may be apportioned more to generational or period influences (Fig. 1). The full APC model was required in all six countries (Table 1, columns G-J). Steady increases in risk are most evident among women born successively from the late 19th century and diagnosed from the early 1960s onwards. An acceleration in risk among Swedish women over 55 is also seen, but later, in generations born after 1915. This phenomenon is also observed in the United Kingdom, although the available cohort data do not go further back than 1910. Denmark is the exception in the region; decreasing rather than increasing trends are seen in women ages >55 years (Fig. 1). Cohort effects seemingly dominate the Danish trends, with successive declines in risk among generations of women born after 1925 (Fig. 2).

The upsurge in rates in older women contrasts with the more favorable trends seen in women ages <55 years in some of these countries, for which the consequence of generational changes are more evident (Figs. 1 and 2). The modeled trends however implicate (depending on the assumptions specified) some importance of period effects, with increasing risk possibly more marked during the 1980s and 1990s in the long-term trends in Finland and Sweden (Fig. 2). Downward trends are discernible in younger women in Sweden, Finland, and the United Kingdom, with risk decreasing among successive cohorts born around 1925 to 1935 (Fig. 2). In Norway, a cohort-led decline is suggested but less clear; uniform period increases are strongly indicated in Fig. 2, on assuming the general age curve.

There have been continuously downward trends in all Northern European countries (except Estonia) when attention is restricted to women of a perimenopausal age (45-54 years). The observation may relate specifically to a declining risk in consecutive generations of women born after 1920 (Figs. 1 and 2). Finland is the exception; there is a suggestion that risk of cancer of the corpus uteri is increasing in women ages <45 years born after the Second World War (Figs. 1 and 2).

**Eastern Europe.** Trends in the Czech Republic are difficult to interpret; there are increases in women ages ≥55 years and declines in the 45 to 54 age groups, and these seem to follow a cohort pattern (Figs. 1 and 2). Increasing risk is suggested among the youngest women in the study, most notably among successive cohorts born since 1945 (Fig. 2). Uniformly increasing trends in incidence are observed in Slovakia, although it is not clear as to the specific importance to period or cohort-specific influences; nonlinear effects of both types were significant (data not shown). One might consider period influences are more evident in view of the parallelism of the declining trends in women diagnosed during the 1970s followed by increases thereafter (Fig. 1), an observation supported by the modeled trends (Fig. 2).

**Southern Europe.** Trends in Slovenia are similar to those in Slovakia with uniform increases in incidence rates of cancer of the corpus uteri in premenopausal and postmenopausal women by birth cohort and period of diagnosis (Figs. 1 and 2). The trends in Spain and Italy are more difficult to interpret, although increases in endometrial cancer rates are evident in postmenopausal women in both countries, as well as in women ages 45 to 54 years in Spain (Fig. 1).

**Western Europe.** The trends in postmenopausal women in both France and Switzerland suggest the importance of cohort factors, with downward incidence trends seen in consecutive generations born after 1920 and before 1950 (Fig. 1). These trends can be considered similar to those observed in Denmark. The suggestion of increases in younger generations in France (Fig. 2) cannot be confirmed or refuted, given the degree of randomness underlying these trends.

### Discussion

Our study has shown there are distinct patterns in the age-specific trends of cancer of the corpus uteri. A general profile emerged of increasing risk in postmenopausal women (ages >55 years), and decreasing or stable trends in premenopausal and perimenopausal women (ages 30-54 years), particularly in Northern and Western countries. The most consistent declines in these regions were observed in women ages 45 to 54 years in Spain (Fig. 1).

An acceleration in risk among Swedish women over 55 is also seen, but later, in generations born after 1915. This phenomenon is also observed in the United Kingdom, although the available cohort data do not go further back than 1910. Denmark is the exception in the region; decreasing rather than increasing trends are seen in women ages >55 years (Fig. 1). Cohort effects seemingly dominate the Danish trends, with successive declines in risk among generations of women born after 1925 (Fig. 2).

The upsurge in rates in older women contrasts with the more favorable trends seen in women ages <55 years in some of these countries, for which the consequence of generational changes are more evident (Figs. 1 and 2). The modeled trends however implicate (depending on the assumptions specified) some importance of period effects, with increasing risk possibly more marked during the 1980s and 1990s in the long-term trends in Finland and Sweden (Fig. 2). Downward trends are discernible in younger women in Sweden, Finland, and the United Kingdom, with risk decreasing among successive cohorts born around 1925 to 1935 (Fig. 2). In Norway, a cohort-led decline is suggested but less clear; uniform period increases are strongly indicated in Fig. 2, on assuming the general age curve. In Estonia, trends seem relatively stable among recent cohorts.

There have been continuously downward trends in all Northern European countries (except Estonia) when attention is restricted to women of a perimenopausal age (45-54 years). The observation may relate specifically to a declining risk in consecutive generations of women born after 1920 (Figs. 1 and 2). Finland is the exception; there is a suggestion that risk of cancer of the corpus uteri is increasing in women ages <45 years born after the Second World War (Figs. 1 and 2).

Eastern Europe. Trends in the Czech Republic are difficult to interpret; there are increases in women ages ≥55 years and declines in the 45 to 54 age groups, and these seem to follow a cohort pattern (Figs. 1 and 2). Increasing risk is suggested among the youngest women in the study, most notably among successive cohorts born since 1945 (Fig. 2). Uniformly increasing trends in incidence are observed in Slovakia, although it is not clear as to the specific importance to period or cohort-specific influences; nonlinear effects of both types were significant (data not shown). One might consider period influences are more evident in view of the parallelism of the declining trends in women diagnosed during the 1970s followed by increases thereafter (Fig. 1), an observation supported by the modeled trends (Fig. 2).

Southern Europe. Trends in Slovenia are similar to those in Slovakia with uniform increases in incidence rates of cancer of the corpus uteri in premenopausal and postmenopausal women by birth cohort and period of diagnosis (Figs. 1 and 2). The trends in Spain and Italy are more difficult to interpret, although increases in endometrial cancer rates are evident in postmenopausal women in both countries, as well as in women ages 45 to 54 years in Spain (Fig. 1).

Western Europe. The trends in postmenopausal women in both France and Switzerland suggest the importance of cohort factors, with downward incidence trends seen in consecutive generations born after 1920 and before 1950 (Fig. 1). These trends can be considered similar to those observed in Denmark. The suggestion of increases in younger generations in France (Fig. 2) cannot be confirmed or refuted, given the degree of randomness underlying these trends.
Figure 1. Age-specific incidence rates of cancer of the corpus uteri by calendar period and birth cohort in 13 countries by European area, women ages 30 to 79 years. Age-specific rates on the cohort scale are identified by the midyear of the quinquenniums.
Figure 1. Continued
short-term future patterns of risk, these are unfortunately only interpretable in a few countries where sufficient numbers of cases are available. Additionally, the narrow span of period data for a number of countries (only three periods of incidence were available in five countries) made interpretation of the corresponding trends in these populations difficult.

The modeled component involved an APC analysis. Such an undertaking results in difficulties at the analysis, presentation, and interpretation stages (27). In this article, we have sought to present one set of trends for which an element of biological plausibility is preserved. The nonidentifiability problem was circumvented by fixing the underlying age structure via the longstanding consideration that endometrial cancer is a consequence of the physiologic action of unopposed estrogens that increase the cell proliferation, opposed by progestins which instigate differentiation to a secretory state (7, 28). As a comparison, a second set of age, period, and cohort trends were obtained on simply assuming an overall period slope of 0 (29), whereby the changing distribution and prevalence of the component causes are presumed to show up mainly as generational influences in each country, with the nonspecific linear trend (drift) attributable to birth cohort only. In this formulation, nonlinear period effects are also considered. The estimates presented in Fig. 2 must clearly be interpreted with considerable caution, given our inability to quantify the slopes for period and cohort. Nevertheless, our estimates are based on two plausible assumptions that serve to aid interpretation of the observed rates in Fig. 1.

These difficulties notwithstanding, the trends provide clues to changing distribution of the primary risk factors, including use of exogenous estrogens, reproductive factors, overweight and obesity, and smoking, and the potential for successful preventative strategies aimed at the population level in Europe. Below, we compare our results with previous temporal studies and in light of the well-established risk determinants, according to menopausal status.

**Trends in Postmenopausal Women.** The rising trends in women ages ≥55 years, as observed in many Northern and Western European countries, imply both period and birth cohort influences are in operation. Possible underlying mechanisms include temporal changes in reproductive behavior and in the prevalence of overweight and obesity. Early age at menarche has been consistently described as a risk factor for endometrial cancer (4). During the last 150 years, age at menarche has declined at a rate of about 2 to 3 months per decade (30). Completed family size has declined among female cohorts born during the 20th century in most European countries (31). The most substantial decreases occurred following the post-war “baby boom;” the peak in total fertility rates in the early to mid-1960s was followed by declines in successive generations born after the mid-1930s (32). Nulliparity decreased in most European countries for cohorts born from 1930 to 1945 (32). In England and Wales, where data are available for a longer period, the decline in nulliparity began for cohorts born since 1910 (15). A recent study indicated that reproductive patterns may account for about half of the cases of endometrial cancer in Sweden in women diagnosed from 1961 to 2002 (33), although the importance of reproductive behavior on risk has been shown to decrease at older ages (34).

The use of HRT has been common in the Nordic countries and Western Europe, first during the 1960s as estrogens without added progestins, and from the mid-1970s, as a succession of preparations combining estrogens and progestins either sequentially, cyclically, or continuously (8). Several studies confirmed a strong association between use of estrogens without progestins and endometrial cancer risk (4), and a few studies also showed that the addition of progestins sequentially or cyclically to estrogens increases risk too (35, 36). Risk increases with duration of HRT use and remains increased some years after cessation (8, 37, 38).

Part of the increase in risk of endometrial cancer among postmenopausal women may be related to the use of HRT in the European regions where use has been widespread (i.e., in Northern and Western Europe; ref. 39). There are uniform increases in rates with time in Finland, Norway, Sweden, and the United Kingdom, and to a much lesser extent, in Estonia. In Finland and Norway, the cohort-specific increases in endometrial cancer began in women born towards the end of the 19th century, for which exogenous estrogens are an unlikely explanation; these women were ages >65 years when HRT was first introduced. Women born from 1910 onwards would have in theory the possibility of having being exposed to HRT. Indeed the main acceleration in risk among postmenopausal women in Sweden is seen after 1910 and coincides with the market introduction in the mid-1960s, and peak of sales in the mid-1970s (11). The decreases in sales of estrogens without added progestins for treatment of menopausal symptoms

---

Figure 1. Continued

![Graphs showing trends in endometrial cancer incidence](image-url)
Endometrial Cancer Incidence Trends in Europe

thereafter (11) might imply a decline would be observed in more recent cohorts born thereafter. This is not the case however, and in Sweden, as in Finland, Norway, and the United Kingdom, the postmenopausal increases suggest women born from at least 1920 up to 1945 have been at consecutively increasing risk of endometrial cancer. One possible explanation relates to prevalence of overweight and obesity, which are markedly increasing to epidemic proportions (40). Obesity, both in premenopausal and postmenopausal ages, is a strong risk factor for endometrial cancer (5). Indeed, 34% of endometrial cancers have been attributed to obesity in the United States during the period of 1988 to 1994 and 40% in 1999 to 2000 (41). Bergstrom et al. (42), from a meta-analysis of articles published between 1966 and 1997, also estimated that about 40% of cases were due to overweight and obesity in developed or industrialized countries. Endometrial cancer is also known to be more frequent among women suffering from diabetes mellitus (43-45), a condition closely associated with overweight, obesity, and lack of physical exercise. The incidence of diabetes mellitus is increasing in Europe (46), as is the incidence of overweight and obesity (40). Obesity-related factors probably explain at least part of the temporal pattern of endometrial cancer risk in postmenopausal women. This may be particularly the case in Southern and Eastern Europe, although fertility has also been declining in these countries (47). Moreover, low levels of physical activity and high energy intake are increasingly commonly found in European populations and seem to increase risk for endometrial cancer independently of body mass index (48, 49).

Systematic declines in Denmark in women born since 1925 were observed, with no long-term increases in rates in postmenopausal women. This is at odds with other Northern European countries and reverses the findings of a Danish study from the earlier period 1943 to 1980 for which steady increases in all age groups was observed (10). Comparisons of temporal data with other Nordic countries regarding COC use and a late age at last birth suggests few dramatic differences and therefore cannot provide a satisfactory explanation for the observation. The Danish trends do share some similarities however to those seen in France and Switzerland, for which endometrial cancer rates are also declining from around 1925. One conjecture is that smoking has affected the trends, given it is associated with a reduced risk of endometrial cancer, that is perhaps confined to postmenopausal women (9). In both Denmark and France, trends in lung cancer mortality, a strong marker for previous tobacco consumption, have been uniformly increasing in successive cohorts born throughout the 20th century up to 1950 (50). Rates are highest in Denmark among the previous 13 Member State E.U. and shifted from ranking third in 1975 to first in 1995 (50).

**Trends in Premenopausal Women.** We observed systematic decreases in incidence of endometrial cancer in women ages 45 to 54 years born in most Northern European countries, and in the Czech Republic, France, and Italy, with successively declining risk most evident in women born between 1930 and 1945. COCs have a well-established protective effect (4, 37), even after short durations of use of 1 to 3 years (7). They have become increasingly available in Europe from the 1960s onwards, and women born after about 1925 have had the opportunity to use them. The trends in premenopausal women are however heterogeneous; in Denmark, Sweden, and the United Kingdom, for instance, there are rapid declines in risk in women ages <45 years. These translate to a successively decreasing risk in women born around 1925, as has been reported in Sweden (11), through to the most recent cohorts born in the 1950s and 1960s. A high exposure to COCs was particularly common among cohorts born after 1950. In England and Wales, the proportion of “ever users” of hormonal contraceptives was about 40% for women born in the 1930s (51) compared with ~70% in birth cohorts of 1940s and 80% to 90% for those born in the 1950s (15). In other European countries, the prevalence of use of COCs has varied, being quite high in Northern and Western Europe, to less common in Southern and Eastern Europe before the 1980s (39).

The use of hormonal contraceptives may, at least in part, be responsible for the decreases in incidence in several countries. Steadily increasing incidence rates of endometrial cancer in the Czech Republic and Slovakia in Eastern Europe, as well as in Slovenia and Spain in Southern Europe have been observed both in younger and older women. An explanation for the endometrial cancer trends in premenopausal ages may be that women in these regions have failed to benefit from the protective effects of COC due to their unavailability. Fertility has also been on the decline, although more slowly than seen elsewhere in Europe (47).

The declines seen in Sweden are not evident among premenopausal women in Finland and Norway. Furthermore, there is some indication that there are increasing trends in young women in Finland and possibly elsewhere (e.g., France). It is at present difficult to explain such a trend, should it be genuine. In any case, it is too early to conclude whether the observed incidence pattern might project itself into the future, given the underlying random variation arising from small numbers.

The above findings are in broad agreement with previous temporal studies in Europe either at the international level (52), or within individual countries. Declines in incidence have been reported either in terms of cross-sectional declines in younger women or in successive generations of women born after 1920 in Sweden (11), England and Wales (14-16), East Germany (12), and Switzerland (13).

We are not aware of major changes in routine diagnostics for endometrial cancer in Europe that may have affected the results presented. New cases of uterine cancer with subsite unspecified represent a small proportion of all uterine cancers in each of the populations studied and over time (18-21). One possible artifact involves countries where HRT is most prevalent. Women who are users of menopausal hormones are likely to be more intensively investigated, and early precancerous or cancerous lesions more readily detected and reported to the cancer registries as cancers.

Hysterectomy in Europe is not as common a procedure as it is in the United States, where over 30% of postmenopausal women may have undergone hysterectomy (53). Recent evidence suggests that adjustment for hysterectomy affects the magnitude and direction of trends in endometrial cancer (54). We did not take into account hysterectomy rates in our analysis due to a lack of data for different countries in different periods and ages, and the varying prevalence of hysterectomies may have affected the trends. The incidence of hysterectomy has been increasing in Finland (54), Denmark (55), and England and Wales (16), and the unadjusted trends presented here may underestimate the overall time trend and thus the cohort-specific trends. As noted by Ewertz and Jensen (10), the assumption of a decline after the menopause used here to present one set of age, period, and cohort trends may be artificially distorted by a declining number of “susceptibles” (i.e., women who have not had a hysterectomy).

**Conclusions**

We have observed uniform increases in rates of endometrial cancer incidence among the main risk group, postmenopausal women, in most European countries studied, with Denmark being an important exception. Both calendar period and birth cohort effects seem in operation. The reasons for
Figure 2. Incidence rate ratios of cancer of the corpus uteri by calendar period and birth cohort in 13 countries by European area, women ages 30 to 79 years. Estimates are obtained from the APC model with solid lines referring to assumption that age curve is fixed by choosing the age slope for which $a_A - a_A - z = 0$, and dashed lines that the linear slope of the period effects is 0 ($\beta_L = 0$; see Materials and Methods).
Figure 2. Continued
the increases in countries as diverse as Sweden and Slovakia may include a general Europe-wide shift towards declining fertility rates and marked increases in overweight and obesity, although only in Sweden and several other affluent countries can the effects of HRT be responsible for the rising trends. In premenopausal and perimenopausal women, rates are declining in Sweden, Denmark, and the United Kingdom, mostly evidently in successive cohorts born since 1930. The downward trends in young women are presumably the result of increasing use of COCs in these countries, because they became available mainly to cohorts born in the mid-1920s and thereafter. In a number of Southern and Eastern countries (notably Slovakia), trends are increasing regardless of menopausal age, countries for which the lack of availability of COCs has offered little protection to younger women. Prevention of endometrial cancer will possibly be realized in these areas as COC use becomes increasingly widespread. The continuing increases in obesity and decreases in fertility however forewarns that endometrial cancer, as a postmenopausal disease, will become a more important public health problem in Europe in future years.
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