Evaluation of Risk Factors for Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma in High-Risk Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma Families in Taiwan
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Abstract

A study of nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) families with two or more affected members was conducted in Taiwan (265 families with 2,444 individuals, 502 affected and 1,942 unaffected) to determine the association between NPC and potential etiologic factors in NPC high-risk families. Similar to results from a previous case-control study in Taiwan, Guangdong salted fish consumption during childhood, exposure to wood, and betel nut consumption were all associated with elevated NPC risk using conditional logistic regression, although these associations were not as strong as in the case-control study possibly due to shared environment among family members. Risk associated with cumulative wood exposure and salted fish consumption before age 10 was stronger in families with early NPC age-onset [odds ratio (ORwood), 5.10; 95% confidence interval (95% CI), 1.50-17.34; ORfish, 3.94; 95% CI, 1.47-10.55] or three or more affected members (ORwood, 4.41; 95% CI, 1.58-12.30; ORfish, 4.27; 95% CI, 1.10-16.47). In contrast, a tendency for elevated risk was noted for betel nut use in late age-onset families (OR, 2.44; 95% CI, 1.16-5.13) and the CYP2E1 c2 allele in families with less than three affected members (OR, 2.06; 95% CI, 1.04-3.35). Risk estimates associated with these exposures were similar when the analyses were restricted to EBV-seropositive subjects. To better adjust for degree of relationship among family members and residual genetic components model. The results from the two methods were similar indicating that the risk estimates from conditional logistic regression were unbiased.

Introduction

Nasopharyngeal cancer (NPC) is a rare disease in most parts of the world where the incidence rate is generally <1 per 100,000 person-years. However, it is one of the most common tumors occurring in individuals in southern China and Southeast Asia, with the incidence of 20 to 40 per 100,000 person-years (1). Over the years, numerous studies have shown that the etiology of NPC is multifactorial, including genetic, environmental, and virological factors. Among these factors, EBV seems to be the most important. It has been well established that there is a strong association between infection of EBV and NPC (2). However, given the universality of EBV infection but the strong association between infection of EBV and NPC (2).
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associated with these exposures to that of sporadic NPC. A better understanding of factors involved in the etiology of NPC is essential for counseling high-risk family members and for guiding future research of familial NPC kindreds.

Materials and Methods

Study Population. This study originated from a family study initiated in 1996 by NIH and National Taiwan University. The design of the family study was previously described. Briefly, 475 potentially eligible families with two or more nonparent-offspring NPC subjects (in first-, second-, and third-degree relatives) were identified after an extensive review of records from the nationwide tumor registry in Taiwan, supplemented by information from listings obtained from 10 tertiary care hospitals throughout the Island and prospective identification at select outpatient clinics that treat NPC. In addition to recruiting all available affected family members, we also recruited up to five unaffected siblings and the parents of affected subjects. If NPC cases had adult children, we recruited their spouses and up to three unaffected offspring. When NPC cases were more distant relatives such as cousins, we recruited their shared second-degree relatives such as unaffected aunts and uncles to genetically connect the NPC cases. All subjects provided informed consent to participate, and the protocol was reviewed and approved by human subjects review committees in Taiwan and the United States.

Questionnaire. A risk factor questionnaire was given to all eligible individuals, as detailed previously (9). About half (n = 203, 42.5%) of the NPC cases were deceased at the time of interview and proxy interviews were conducted for these individuals with a close family member [spouses (38%), siblings (33%), and children (29%)]. Most unaffected family members (96.6%) were self-respondents. Risk factor interviews obtained information on demographic factors (age, sex, ethnicity, and education), cigarette smoking, betel nut and alcohol consumption, diet, and occupational exposures. A dietary questionnaire included questions about the consumption of Guangdong moldy salted fish, Guangdong firm salted fish, and other types of salted fish during childhood (before age 10) and as an adult (between age 10 and 30). Information on occupational history was obtained by reports of all jobs held for ≥1 year since the age of 16. The assessment of the occupational history data was detailed previously (20). Briefly, occupational history data were obtained from each participant and reviewed by an expert industrial hygienist. The intensity of exposure to wood, formaldehyde, and organic solvents was classified on a scale of 0 (not exposed) to 9 (strong) as intensity index for each exposure. For each subject, this information was combined with duration data to estimate the cumulative exposure (defined as duration of exposure × average intensity). In addition, duration of exposure was also calculated with the exclusion of exposures occurring in the 10 years preceding diagnosis (cases) or interview (controls).

Biological Specimen Collection and Testing. Each study participant was asked to consent to the collection of 30 mL blood for genotyping and EBV antibody testing. Serum was tested for antibody titers to several anti-EBV antibodies known to be associated with NPC, including viral capsid antigen IgA, EBV nuclear antigen 1 IgA, and anti-DNase antibodies, according to the methods described previously (22). Individuals positive for any of these EBV markers were considered seropositive and individuals negative to all were classified as seronegative. For CYP2E1 genotyping, DNA extracted from peripheral blood mononuclear cells was tested for three polymorphisms of CYP2E1 (RSA1, PST1, and DRA1) by PCR-based restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis described previously (9).

Data Analysis. Conditional logistic regression was used to obtain odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) between NPC risk and each exposure, matching on families. Several measures of substance (cigarette, alcohol, and betel nut) use and occupational exposures (wood, formaldehyde, and organic solvents) were analyzed separately [ever/never, total years of exposure, years of exposure before diagnosis (substance use), years of exposure excluding 10 years before the diagnosis/interview (occupational), age at first exposure, and cumulative exposure]. ORs obtained by using each individual variable resembled one another; thus, we selected years of consumption of substances before diagnosis and cumulative occupational exposures in final analyses. For diet analysis, adult consumption and cumulative fish consumption of each food group were analyzed separately. The effect of EBV seropositivity on risk of NPC associated with viral capsid antigen IgA, EBV nuclear antigen 1 IgA, and anti-DNase antibodies, and other EBV-associated antigens before treatment compared with patients consistently show higher antibody titers to various EBV-associated antigens before treatment compared with controls (1), we considered all NPC cases as EBV positives. The effect of EBV seropositivity on risk of NPC associated with other exposures was assessed by analyses restricted to all cases (n = 502) and EBV seropositive controls (n = 501). To reduce possible reporting bias by surrogate respondents, we also repeated all analyses restricted to self-respondents. Because the results were essentially unchanged, we combined self-respondents and surrogate respondents in the subsequent analyses. We also assessed risk according to degree of relationship of controls to NPC cases. There were only 29 (1.5%) unaffected individuals who did not have a first-degree affected relative and 172 (8.9%) who were spouses of a NPC case. ORs between NPC risk and all variables calculated among first-degree relatives were quite similar to those obtained from all individuals. Therefore, we included all

subjects in the final model. All conditional logistic regression analyses were done using the PROC PHREG procedure included in the SAS (version 8.0, SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC) software.

Risk estimates associated with cigarette smoking, betel nut use, occupational exposures to wood and formaldehyde, Guangdong fish consumption during childhood, and RSA at risk allele were also calculated by comparing the familial cases to community controls collected from our previously conducted case-control study (9), using unconditional logistic regression (PROC LOGISTIC in SAS).

Previous work indicated that for rare diseases like NPC with a multifactorial/polygenic etiology, use of conditional logistic regression models that condition on family will yield largely unbiased estimates of environmental risk factors if residual genetic correlations among family members are modest (23). To assess if the presented estimates are unbiased, the final choice of variables was also analyzed in a variance components model proposed by Pfeiffer et al. (24), which accounts for different residual correlations among family members. Risk estimates from the variance components model for each variable were compared with those obtained from conditional logistic regression. All statistical tests were two sided.

Results

Risk factor questionnaire data was available from 265 families consisting of a total of 2,444 (502 affected and 1,942 unaffected) individuals. The distribution of the number of affected and unaffected individuals within these families is shown in Table 1. Demographic (age, sex, ethnicity, and education) and substance (cigarette smoking, betel use, and alcohol drinking) consumption data were available for most (>99%) respondents. Occupational and dietary data were available for 59% (65% cases and 57% controls) and 89% (82% cases and 91% controls) subjects, respectively. EBV testing results were available for 1,771 unaffected family members (91.1%). All NPC cases were considered EBV positive. Genotyping results for RSA1 of CYP2E1 were available on 103 affected (21%) and 553 (28%) unaffected individuals.

NPC cases were older (mean age = 51.6 years) than controls (mean age = 46.5 years) at the time of the interview and more often male (71.7%) among NPC cases compared with controls (47.2%). In addition, cases were less educated than controls; 41.9% of cases finished senior high school or higher education versus 52.7% of controls. Cases and controls were similar with regard to ethnicity, diagnosis of other types of cancer, consumption of alcohol and salted fish (moldy and firm) after age 10, and occupational exposure to formaldehyde and solvents (data not shown). In age- and sex-adjusted analysis, the measured variables were not associated with statistically significant elevations in NPC risk, although OR for heavy wood exposure (≥25) was suggestive (OR, 1.90; 95% CI, 0.88-4.13). Results of the multivariable regression analysis of multiple risk factors of NPC are shown in Table 2. The adjusted OR for cumulative wood exposure (≥25) was significant; the ORs for longer betel use (≥20 years) and frequent intake of Guangdong salted fish (≥1/wk) during childhood were suggestive but not significant (Table 2). Interestingly, cigarette smoking, especially with longer duration (≥20 years), seemed inversely related to NPC risk. The patterns observed were similar in analyses restricted to all cases and 501 controls seropositive for antibodies against EBV, except for the attenuated effect of RSA allele (Table 2).

To assess if the presented estimates are unbiased, the final multivariate model was also analyzed in a variance components model. Results from the variance components model were very similar to the OR estimates obtained from conditional models, with the changes of ORs all under 10% (range, ±0.05% to 7.6%). We therefore used conditional logistic regression in all subsequent stratified analyses.

To further determine whether the risk associated with exposure variables was modified by genetic background, we evaluated the subset of families with a higher potential for genetic risk of NPC. We did stratified analyses based on the numbers of affected NPC cases and age-onset of NPC within a family. Families with ≥3 affected cases or families with at least one affected individual diagnosed before age 40 were defined as families with high genetic risk. The risk of NPC associated with heavy wood exposure (≥25) and frequent Guangdong salted fish intake (≥1/wk) during childhood was elevated in families with high genetic risk (Table 2). The test for interaction between genetic risk (≥3 affected cases) and heavy wood exposure was statistically significant (P = 0.018). An interaction between genetic risk (≥3 affected cases) and frequent salted fish intake was not statistically significant (P = 0.23), possibly due to the small number in the exposed group. Betel nut use and RSA allele, on the other hand, seemed to have stronger effects in families with low genetic risk (Table 2). Long duration of cigarette smoking (≥20 years) showed a consistently inversely related effect in all stratified analyses (Table 2).

To examine the possible effect of shared environment among affected and unaffected family members on the risk estimates, we compared NPC risk associated with these exposures between familial cases (n = 502) and community controls (n = 327) ascertained in the previously conducted case-control study in Taiwan (9). Table 3 shows the risk estimates obtained from the comparisons of sporadic cases against community controls, familial cases against family-based controls, and familial cases against community controls, respectively. Compared with the data from the community-based case-control study, the risk of NPC associated with each of these exposures was attenuated in the family-based study. However, when comparing familial cases with the community controls, age- and sex-adjusted ORs associated with betel nut use, formaldehyde exposure, and Guangdong salted fish consumption during childhood showed stronger effects, with ORs even higher than those obtained from the community-based case-control comparisons. Risks associated with smoking, RSA allele, and wood exposure did not change significantly regardless of which control group was used. To match the geographic location of the community controls selected in the Taipei area (9), we restricted the familial cases to Taipei residents and the results were not measurably changed (data not shown).

Discussion

In this study, we evaluated some potential NPC risk factors in NPC multiplex families with two or more affected individuals collected in Taiwan and compared the risk associated with

---

Table 1. Distribution of affected and unaffected individuals in NPC high-risk families (n = 265)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. NPC subjects in family*</th>
<th>Total no. of families</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. unaffected in family*</th>
<th>Total no. of families</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≤3</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*These values reflect number of recruited cases within a family; All families have two or more affected individuals; however, most families had two or less cases recruited in this study.
these exposures to that of sporadic NPC estimated from a previously reported case-control study also conducted in Taiwan. Overall, a similar pattern of associations was observed in the two studies, with the exception of cigarette smoking. Similar to the results obtained from the case-control study, Guangdong salted fish consumption during childhood (>25 years), and possibly, having a family history of NPC (≥25 years), betel nut consumption (≥20 years), and wood exposure (≥20 years), and possibly, having a family history of NPC (≥25 years) and wood exposure (≥20 years) may be a significant contributor to the familial clustering of NPC. The lower risk in families with high genetic risk may reflect the higher frequency of this polymorphism in these families, even among unaffected people. These findings suggest that different environmental exposures may affect, to varying degrees and with varying directions, the risk of NPC in familial NPC kindreds. However, these results have to be interpreted with caution because of the small number in heavy exposure groups. Further research is needed to examine interactions between these exposures and genetic predisposition.

The etiology of NPC has been indicated by numerous studies to be multifactorial, involving both genetic and environmental factors. More recently, Jia et al. did a segregation analysis of a total of 1,903 Cantonese pedigrees ascertained in Guangzhou, China. The results of that study supported a multifactorial mode of inheritance, with no evidence for a substantial role of major genes in the inheritance of NPC. These data indicate that shared environmental exposures among family members may be a significant contributor to the familial clustering of NPC. Most of the risk factors measured in our study seemed to have a weaker effect in familial NPC compared with sporadic NPC. To further examine whether this was due to the shared environment among family members, we compared the risk estimates obtained from the family-based case-control comparisons with those observed from familial case-community control comparisons that were measured from the previously conducted case-control study (9, 13, 18, 20, 21). Compared with family-based case-control comparisons and community-based case-control comparisons, familial case against population control comparisons showed stronger effects associated with polymorphism in families with lower genetic risk is similar to the risk in the general population, our result is consistent with the previous report that the RSA at risk allele is a risk factor for NPC. The lower risk in families with high genetic risk may reflect the higher frequency of this polymorphism in these families, even among unaffected people. These findings suggest that different environmental exposures may affect, to varying degrees and with varying directions, the risk of NPC in familial NPC kindreds. However, these results have to be interpreted with caution because of the small number in heavy exposure groups. Further research is needed to examine interactions between these exposures and genetic predisposition.

The etiology of NPC has been indicated by numerous studies to be multifactorial, involving both genetic and environmental factors. More recently, Jia et al. did a segregation analysis of a total of 1,903 Cantonese pedigrees ascertained in Guangzhou, China. The results of that study supported a multifactorial mode of inheritance, with no evidence for a substantial role of major genes in the inheritance of NPC. These data indicate that shared environmental exposures among family members may be a significant contributor to the familial clustering of NPC. Most of the risk factors measured in our study seemed to have a weaker effect in familial NPC compared with sporadic NPC. To further examine whether this was due to the shared environment among family members, we compared the risk estimates obtained from the family-based case-control comparisons with those observed from familial case-community control comparisons that were measured from the previously conducted case-control study (9, 13, 18, 20, 21). Compared with family-based case-control comparisons and community-based case-control comparisons, familial case against population control comparisons showed stronger effects associated with polymorphism in families with lower genetic risk is similar to the risk in the general population, our result is consistent with the previous report that the RSA at risk allele is a risk factor for NPC. The lower risk in families with high genetic risk may reflect the higher frequency of this polymorphism in these families, even among unaffected people. These findings suggest that different environmental exposures may affect, to varying degrees and with varying directions, the risk of NPC in familial NPC kindreds. However, these results have to be interpreted with caution because of the small number in heavy exposure groups. Further research is needed to examine interactions between these exposures and genetic predisposition.

The etiology of NPC has been indicated by numerous studies to be multifactorial, involving both genetic and environmental factors. More recently, Jia et al. did a segregation analysis of a total of 1,903 Cantonese pedigrees ascertained in Guangzhou, China. The results of that study supported a multifactorial mode of inheritance, with no evidence for a substantial role of major genes in the inheritance of NPC. These data indicate that shared environmental exposures among family members may be a significant contributor to the familial clustering of NPC. Most of the risk factors measured in our study seemed to have a weaker effect in familial NPC compared with sporadic NPC. To further examine whether this was due to the shared environment among family members, we compared the risk estimates obtained from the family-based case-control comparisons with those observed from familial case-community control comparisons that were measured from the previously conducted case-control study (9, 13, 18, 20, 21). Compared with family-based case-control comparisons and community-based case-control comparisons, familial case against population control comparisons showed stronger effects associated with polymorphism in families with lower genetic risk is similar to the risk in the general population, our result is consistent with the previous report that the RSA at risk allele is a risk factor for NPC. The lower risk in families with high genetic risk may reflect the higher frequency of this polymorphism in these families, even among unaffected people. These findings suggest that different environmental exposures may affect, to varying degrees and with varying directions, the risk of NPC in familial NPC kindreds. However, these results have to be interpreted with caution because of the small number in heavy exposure groups. Further research is needed to examine interactions between these exposures and genetic predisposition.
the long duration of betel nut use, frequent intake of Guangdong salted fish during childhood, and heavy formaldehyde exposures (Table 3), indicating that the attenuation of risk estimates with familial case to family control comparisons was at least partly due to the shared environment among affected and unaffected family members.

Results from the epidemiologic studies examining the association between cigarette smoking and the risk of NPC have been inconsistent. Whereas some studies suggested cigarette smoking to be a risk factor for NPC (17, 18, 26, 27), others failed to observe this association (28-31). In our previously reported case-control study conducted in Taiwan, long duration of smoking before diagnosis was significantly associated with NPC (18). In contrast, in our family study, smoking before diagnosis seemed inversely related to NPC risk, especially for long duration (≥25 years). Similar results were obtained from analyses using ever/never smoking status, smoking intensity, and pack-years of smoking (data not shown). In addition, in contrast to an earlier report that age at start of smoking was inversely associated with the risk of NPC (32), our data showed no such association (OR age 18-21, 0.92; 95% CI, 0.66-1.28; OR age ≥22, 1.03; 95% CI, 0.75-1.42). The inversely related effect of cigarette smoking was even stronger in familial case to population control comparisons, suggesting that familial cases smoked less compared with either control groups. Because data from about half of the familial cases were collected from proxy interviews, we restricted our analyses to self-respondents to avoid the potential bias from surrogate report. Results from self-respondent data were generally consistent with those obtained from about half of the cases were collected from proxy respondents, because many cases were deceased at the time of interview. To evaluate whether proxy

Table 3. Risk estimates from case-control and family studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Case-control study (n case=525, n control=327), OR* (95% CI)</th>
<th>Family study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Familial cases to family controls (n case=502, n control=1,944)</td>
<td>Familial cases to population controls (n case=502, n control=327)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of smoking (y)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;25</td>
<td>1.20 (0.80-2.00)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.63-1.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥25</td>
<td>1.70 (1.09-2.74)</td>
<td>0.75 (0.53-1.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of betel nut use (y)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>1.08 (0.57-2.07)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.42-1.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥20</td>
<td>1.37 (0.61-3.10)</td>
<td>1.36 (0.81-2.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative wood exposure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;25</td>
<td>1.20 (0.58-2.50)</td>
<td>0.49 (0.20-1.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥25</td>
<td>2.40 (1.20-5.10)</td>
<td>1.90 (0.88-4.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative formaldehyde exposure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;25</td>
<td>1.30 (0.70-2.40)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.60-1.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥25</td>
<td>1.50 (0.88-2.70)</td>
<td>1.31 (0.87-1.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guangdong salted fish consumption (age &lt;10)</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>1.40 (0.47-4.50)</td>
<td>0.92 (0.54-1.57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c1&gt;c</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c1≤c</td>
<td>0.83 (0.60-1.20)</td>
<td>1.44 (0.82-2.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c2&gt;c-2</td>
<td>2.60 (1.20-5.74)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.16-2.86)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ORs obtained from the case-control study for duration of smoking before diagnosis, cumulative wood and formaldehyde exposure, Guangdong salted fish consumption before age 10, and RSA allele were previously published (9, 18, 20, 21). OR for the duration of betel nut use before diagnosis was calculated using data collected in the same case-control study using unconditional logistic regression. ORs for smoking, betel nut use, Guangdong salted fish consumption, and RSA allele carrier were adjusted for age and sex. ORs for wood and formaldehyde exposure were adjusted for age, sex, education, and ethnicity.

1 All ORs were calculated using conditional logistic regression and were adjusted for age and sex; Guangdong salted fish consumption was presented as ever/never to compare with that of the case-control study.

2 All ORs were calculated using unconditional logistic regression and were adjusted for age and sex.

3 To compare with the published result from the case-control study, RSA c1>c and c2>c genotype were analyzed separately; however, because only three cases in the family study had c2>c, the risk estimate in this category from the conditional logistic regression might not be accurate.
In conclusion, the results from this study suggested that NPC risk factors, such as salted fish consumption, wood exposure, betel nut use, and possibly, CYP2E1 polymorphism, might be associated with an increased risk of familial NPC. People at putatively higher genetic risk might be at further increased risk if they have some of these exposures. In contrast to what has been suggested in some population-based studies, smoking was not associated with increased NPC risk in our family study. Overall, our results confirmed the risk of these exposures and emphasized the need for additional research focusing on the interplay of genetic and environmental factors in the etiology of NPC.

Appendix 1

The Chinese and American Genetic Epidemiology of NPC Study Team includes Czau-Siung Yang and Jen-Yang Chen (Department of Microbiology, National Taiwan University), Mei-Ying Liu (National Taipei College of Nursing), Mow-Ming Hsu and Tzung-Shian Sheen (Department of Otolaryngology, Chung-Shan Medical and Dental College Hospital), Ming-Tsai (Department of Otolaryngology, China Medical College Hospital), Sen-Tien Tsai and Wen-Yuan Chao (Department of Otolaryngology, National Cheng-Kung University Hospital), Pei-Rong Chen (Department of Otolaryngology, Buddhist Tzu-Chi General Hospital), Ih-Jen Su and Hey-Chi Hsu (Department of Pathology, National Taiwan University Hospital), Chi-Long Chen (Department of Pathology, China Medical College Hospital), Ching-Kuang Tai (Gee-Tien Ear Nose and Throat Hospital), Luo-Ping Ger (Department of Medical Education and Research, Kaohsiung Veteran General Hospital), and I-How Chen and Ji-Hong Hong (Taipei Chang-Gung Memorial Hospital).
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