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**Introduction**

Evidence to date reveals an inconsistent association between petrochemical exposure and brain tumors (1-5). Community concerns have been raised regarding air pollution caused by the petrochemical industry in southern Taiwan, whereas water pollution has been less addressed because of the central reservoir water supply system. Air monitoring of Kaohsiung conducted by the Taiwanese Environmental Protection Administration (available from January 1995; http://taqm.epa.gov.tw/emp/default.aspx?pid = b0601&cid = b0601) has shown poorer air quality as compared with the average levels in Taiwan (Table 1). However, these pollutants are not spread by the petrochemical industry alone, showing the need for an improved exposure assessment method. Thus, we developed a procedure using geographic information system tools to assign individual residential exposure estimates by accounting for subject mobility, length of stay at each residence, distance to relevant petrochemical plant(s), monthly prevailing wind direction, and multiple petrochemical plant pollution sources (6).

We present the results from a population-based case-control study from 143 cases and 364 controls to assess the association between residential petrochemical exposure and brain tumor risk.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study design.** Details regarding the design of our study (cases, n = 143; controls, n = 364) were described previously (6, 7). Briefly, this is a population-based case-control study conducted in the metropolitan area of Kaohsiung, southern Taiwan, excluding the rural areas to avoid the potential confounding effects from pesticide exposure. The study area is ~657.1 km². There are four petrochemical industrial complexes in the study area, producing various products from upstream to downstream petrochemicals. Subjects were recruited between November 1997 and June 2003. Eligible cases included all pathologically confirmed incident primary brain tumor cases (benign and malignant: International Classification of Diseases-9 codes, 191-192, 194.3-194.4, and 225) ages 0 to 29 years and currently residing in the study area. Controls were cancer-free current residents of the study area, selected randomly from the population registry data based on the personal identification number system of the Taiwanese government. Each case was matched with three controls on age (±1 year) and sex. In-person interviews were conducted with the parents and/or subjects to collect information regarding descriptive characteristics, residential history, medical history, as well as environmental, occupational, and behavioral factors.

**Exposure assessment.** Details of the exposure assessment have been described previously (6). Briefly, all long-term residences of each study subject were recorded and then geocoded by a commercial company (MapAsia, Hong Kong). Cases and controls were comparable in address data quality as assessed by geocoding results (non-geocodable addresses: 7% for cases and 9% for controls). Based on previous studies (8-10), we defined potentially exposed areas as areas located within a 3 km radius from the geographic centroid of any of the four petrochemical complexes. Additionally, our group developed a procedure using geographic information system software (ArcInfo, Redlands, CA) to estimate residential petrochemical exposures on an individual level. The exposure estimates from our approach—which we called the exposure opportunity score (EOS)—accounts for subject mobility, length of stay at each residence, distance to petrochemical plant(s), monthly prevailing wind direction, and multiple petrochemical pollution sources. The EOS was log-transformed for normality and was entered in the conditional logistic regression model to calculate the odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI).

**Results**

There were no differences in the proportions of exposed individuals according to disease status. Also, the distributions of log-transformed EOS among the exposed individuals did not vary by disease status. No association was seen between the log-transformed EOS and risk of brain tumors (adjusted OR, 0.96; 95% CI, 0.79-1.17; Table 2). Age-stratified analyses did not materially change our results. The inclusion of occupational petrochemical exposure of the subjects...
Our study had 80% power at a two-sided $z = 0.05$ level to detect an OR of 1.90 for residential petrochemical exposure. We did not detect any association between residential exposure to petrochemicals and the risk of brain tumors when cumulative exposure levels were assessed by our geographic information system–based approach. In a population-based study in Sweden, occupational exposure to petrochemicals was found to be associated with increased risk of glioma (11). The Swedish study is not directly comparable with ours, however, because of differences in population characteristics, the choice of controls from a population registry, (b) the use of complete residential history (rather than only the current residence or the longest held residence) when assigning individual exposure estimates, and (c) the incorporation of monthly prevailing wind directions when estimating exposure levels.

Recall bias—differential recall on exposure among cases and controls—is a major concern in case-control studies, as cases may recall exposure more thoroughly than controls (12). In our study, however, the proportions of non-geocodable residences did not vary by disease status (7% for cases and 9% for controls). Because we used geocoded addresses as the basis of exposure assessment, recall bias is not a likely threat to the validity of our study.

Several factors may have contributed to the null association found in this study. First, brain tumor itself is a broad term describing diverse histologic types (benign and malignant) and each of them could have a different etiology. Our sample size was not large enough to assess all subtypes of brain neoplasms. Also, although our approach has significantly reduced some commonly challenged uncertainties in estimating personal exposure, there are still possible sources of exposure misclassification—such as not accounting for indoor pollution sources and not pinpointing specific chemical agents. These sources of exposure misclassification are nondifferential by disease status and would attenuate our OR estimates towards the null. Despite these limitations, our data do not support such an association.

**Discussion**

Our study had 80% power at a two-sided $z = 0.05$ level to detect an OR of 1.90 for residential petrochemical exposure. We did not detect any association between residential exposure to petrochemicals and the risk of brain tumors when cumulative exposure levels were assessed by our geographic information system–based approach. In a population-based study in Sweden, occupational exposure to petrochemicals was found to be associated with increased risk of glioma (11). The Swedish study is not directly comparable with ours, however, because of differences in population characteristics, the choice of controls from a population registry, (b) the use of complete residential history (rather than only the current residence or the longest held residence) when assigning individual exposure estimates, and (c) the incorporation of monthly prevailing wind directions when estimating exposure levels.

Recall bias—differential recall on exposure among cases and controls—is a major concern in case-control studies, as cases may recall exposure more thoroughly than controls (12). In our study, however, the proportions of non-geocodable residences did not vary by disease status (7% for cases and 9% for controls). Because we used geocoded addresses as the basis of exposure assessment, recall bias is not a likely threat to the validity of our study.

Several factors may have contributed to the null association found in this study. First, brain tumor itself is a broad term describing diverse histologic types (benign and malignant) and each of them could have a different etiology. Our sample size was not large enough to assess all subtypes of brain neoplasms. Also, although our approach has significantly reduced some commonly challenged uncertainties in estimating personal exposure, there are still possible sources of exposure misclassification—such as not accounting for indoor pollution sources and not pinpointing specific chemical agents. These sources of exposure misclassification are nondifferential by disease status and would attenuate our OR estimates towards the null. Despite these limitations, our data do not support such an association.

**Appendix**
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**Table 1. Comparison of criteria pollutant levels in 2003: Kaohsiung versus Taiwan**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>PM$_{10}$ ($\mu g/m^3$)</th>
<th>SO$_2$ (ppb)</th>
<th>NO$_2$ (ppb)</th>
<th>CO (ppm)</th>
<th>O$_3$ (ppb)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kaohsiung</td>
<td>72.90</td>
<td>7.47</td>
<td>23.38</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>29.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>55.42</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>19.92</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>27.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiwanese Air Quality Standards*</td>
<td>65.00</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>9.00 (8 h) or 35.00 (1 h)</td>
<td>80.00 (8 h) or 120.00 (1 h)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Taiwanese Air Quality Standards indicates legal requirements for annual average unless otherwise indicated.

**Table 2. The association between residential petrochemical exposure and brain tumors in Kaohsiung, southern Taiwan**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Exposed individuals n (%)</th>
<th>Log-transformed EOS among exposed Median (min, max)</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)*</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All subjects (143 cases and 364 controls)</td>
<td>26 (18)</td>
<td>2.43 (1.16, 4.47)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.83-1.12)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.79-1.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases (all disease types)</td>
<td>15 (15)</td>
<td>2.16 (1.29, 4.47)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.65-1.20)</td>
<td>0.82 (0.59-1.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls†</td>
<td>34 (18)</td>
<td>2.24 (0.42, 4.41)</td>
<td>1.08 (0.86-1.38)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.79-1.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ages 0 to 19 years (72 cases and 186 controls)</td>
<td>11 (15)</td>
<td>2.76 (1.16, 3.86)</td>
<td>2.72 (0.70, 4.55)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ages 20 to 29 years (71 cases and 177 controls)</td>
<td>15 (21)</td>
<td>2.76 (1.16, 3.86)</td>
<td>2.72 (0.70, 4.55)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ORs and CIs calculated for one unit increase in log-transformed EOS.
†Calculated from conditional logistic regression; adjusted for smoking status, maternal educational status (if subject was aged 0-19 years) or subject educational status (if subject was aged 20-29 years), and diagnostic irradiation.
‡Reference category.
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